

PROFILE

Chao Tang: a self-motivated scientist pursues interdisciplinary excellence

Yadan Huang¹, Yimeng Ye², Jiang Zhang^{3,*}

¹ School of Software and Microelectronics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

² Law School, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

³ Office of Global Communications/Peking University News, Publicity Department, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

* Correspondence: zhangjiang@pku.edu.cn

Received February 25, 2020

Editor's Note: Quantitative Biology is a broad field at the cross of several theoretical, analytical and experimental disciplines, and it penetrates into many research areas in biology, mathematics, physics, and information science and technology. Scientists working on quantitative biology come from various disciplines, and there is no common career route that can well characterize all their successes. From this issue on, our journal will launch a new column of *Profile* to introduce some key players in various areas of Quantitative Biology by sharing their career development stories and their understanding of the field. We hope this new column will bring the authors and readers of QB closer, and hope readers especially those in their early career stages find the Profile articles informative, enjoyable and inspiring for their own academic careers. We start from profiles of some board members but the column is not limited to board members. Proposals on *Profile* candidates are welcome and can be sent to the editor directly via email.

Xuegong Zhang, Executive Editor-in-Chief

Chao Tang is a Chair Professor of Physics and Quantitative Biology at Peking University, China. He had his undergraduate training at the University of Science and Technology of China, and received a Ph.D. degree in Physics from the University of Chicago, USA. He was a Professor at the University of California San Francisco before returning to China full-time in 2011. He is a Fellow of the American Physical Society, the founding director of the interdisciplinary Center for Quantitative Biology at Peking University and the founding Co-Editor-in-Chief of this journal. In 2019, he was elected as an academician of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Tang is a pioneer who challenges boundaries and pursues interdisciplinary excellence. As a physicist, he proposed the concept and theory of self-organized criticality; as a quantitative biologist, he tries to explain life's secrets with quantitative methods.

EARLY YEARS AS A PHYSICIST

In youth, he never lacked enthusiasm and interest in



Professor Chao Tang

physics. He was captivated by “One Hundred Thousand Whys”, a popular science book series in China, especially the Physics volume. He took *Gaokao* in 1977 and was admitted by the University of Science and Technology of

China where he majored in mechanics rather than physics. However, physics intrigued him so much that he often audited physics classes. His perseverance and hard work paid off—in 1981, he grasped the opportunity to pursue his doctorate degree in physics in the University of Chicago through the China-U.S. Physics Examination and Application (CUSPEA) program organized by Professor Tsung-Dao Lee. Tang was delighted in remembering later on that “both *Gaokao* and CUSPEA have changed my life.”

In the University of Chicago, Tang was interested in explaining power-law distributions theoretically. By then, there were many empirical observations of power-law distributions in space and time, such as the brightness of stars, the flowrate of a river, the energy release in earthquakes, and the magnitude of forest fires. But no one gave these phenomena a general and unified explanation. Tang was intrigued by the ubiquity of these widespread phenomena. He continued to work on this problem in Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) as a postdoc after graduation. In 1987, Tang, with his colleagues, introduced the concept of the self-organized criticality (SOC). In their seminal paper, they proposed the classical Bak-Tang-Wiesenfeld sandpile model as an example of a dynamical system displaying SOC [1]. The discovery of SOC is tremendously influential, which was considered a prototypical example of disruptive science [2]. Tang was proud of himself not only because “SOC provides a new mathematical and theoretical framework for a wide range of complex problems in nature”, but also because he “studied this problem from the scratch, motivated by enthusiasm and interest.”

CHALLENGE BOUNDARIES: APPLY QUANTITATIVE APPROACHES TO BIOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

SOC earned Tang very high esteem as a physicist but his interest in solving scientific problems was not restricted to the traditional domains of physics—by the early 1990s, as a principal investigator (PI) in NEC Labs, he was looking for new problems. Biology intrigued him. “I found many biological problems speculative and need to be explained theoretically, yet no unifying mathematical formalism has been elaborated so far.” Thus, he tried to get his head around quantitative biology. But the first step is always the hardest—“I worked on statistical physics in my early career and my colleagues in NEC Labs came from physics and computer science without biology background. So, I had to study biology independently and confronted with many difficulties.”

Things turned well after six months to one year. A research talk about protein folding, given by a professor of physics at Stanford University, inspired him a lot. He

tried to use statistical physics to explain why and how nature has selected protein folds. After years of efforts, he and other colleagues put forward the theory that protein structures are preferred in nature because they are readily designed and the “designability principle” behind nature’s selection of protein folds [3,4]. From his personal experience, Tang believes that “Opportunity knocks for the prepared. Had I not speculated on biological problems before the research talk, I would not come up with the ideas.” Since then, he has been working on a variety of problems in quantitative biology [5,7].

In Tang’s eyes, quantitative biology is a very interdisciplinary field which brings together physicists, mathematicians, engineers and biologists. The central theme and goal of quantitative biology is to apply, develop and integrate theoretical, computational and experimental methods to address key biological questions. “Life science is more complex and complicated than physical science. But since living systems are part of nature, I speculate that some fundamental principles play a role in life, and that life has evolved tricks that utilize the physical world.” Just like Isaac Newton who invented calculus in order to explain planetary motion, Tang believes that quantitative biology also urges the creation of unique mathematical language to study the life’s secrets. “Of course, the progress in life science will in turn promote the development of physics and mathematics.”

PURSUE INTERDISCIPLINARY EXCELLENCE IN PEKING UNIVERSITY

In late 1990s, Tang came back to China every summer to attend academic meetings where he met with many excellent faculty members from Peking University, China. They came from very different backgrounds but shared common interest in quantitative studies of biological systems. With the support from Professor Tsung-Dao Lee and PKU leadership, Tang helped to establish the Center for Theoretical Biology (CTB) in 2001 and was invited to be the director of CTB.

Since then, Tang came back more frequently and stayed for longer time every year so as to instruct students at CTB and promote interdisciplinary studies in Peking University.

In 2011, Tang officially returned to Peking University as a full-time faculty. Reflecting his decision on returning, he expressed his gratitude to his family: “It was a turning point in Chinese history when it faced both challenges and opportunities. I felt the urge to join the tide of change and to make some contributions. It is not an easy decision. I want to thank my wife and my two children who returned China with me. My wife was always behind me, and my children though not fully understood my decision, still supported me unconditionally.”

Tang continues his career in quantitative biology and biological physics as Chair Professor at Peking University, executive dean of the Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies (AAIS), founding director of Center for Quantitative Biology (CQB) and director of Academic Committee of Center for Life Sciences (CLS). He devotes himself to interdisciplinary researches and education at Peking University. After years of efforts, AAIS has been steadily growing into a mature organization. Tang regards AAIS as “a leading institution in China to cultivate young minds with interdisciplinary perspectives”. However, compared with most advanced universities abroad, he thinks “the current funding system and evaluating system show that Chinese academia as a whole are still weak in thinking across traditional boundaries between disciplines or schools of thoughts. Thus, Peking University and AAIS still have a long way to go in promoting interdisciplinary research and education.”

RESEARCH MANAGEMENT: FREEDOM KEEPS CREATIVITY FLOWING

Free exploration is what Tang benefited most from Professor Leo Kadanoff, his doctoral advisor at University of Chicago. “Instead of poking his nose into students’ own projects, Professor Kadanoff created an excellent research atmosphere and cultivated our taste for science by showing good scientific research examples and structuring our critical thinking.”

Tang tries to pass on this idea in his lab. He adopts “a hands-off approach to direct students” because he believes that freedom keeps creativity flowing in his lab. “I let my students explore their research interest freely by themselves. It can be really painful experience in the beginning, but they’ll learn how to do research independently.” Moreover, CQB holds “Happy Hour” events every Thursday afternoon where lab members and PIs can exchange their ideas, expand their own knowledge and spark creativity.

In terms of evaluation, CQB and CLS refuse the blind

pursuit of papers, titles, degrees and awards. Instead, they adopt the practice of inviting international experts every five years to evaluate whether members have done something interesting and meaningful with an open standard.

Outside his lab, Tang believes that China has made considerable progress in cultivating creativity and innovative spirit society widely. But there is still room for improvement in terms of celebrating differences and encouraging diversity. Tang observes that “promoting creativity is far more complex than just supporting free exploration and encouraging idea-exchanging in research labs. It is a complicated issue with joint influence from cultural factors, social notions as well as evaluating systems.”

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICS GUIDELINES

The authors Yadan Huang, Yimeng Ye and Jiang Zhang declare that they have no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

1. Bak, P., Tang, C. and Wiesenfeld, K. (1987) Self-organized criticality: an explanation of the $1/f$ noise. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 381–384
2. Wu, L., Wang, D. and Evans, J.A. (2019) Large teams develop and small teams disrupt science and technology. *Nature*, 566, 378–382
3. Li, H., Helling, R., Tang, C. and Wingreen, N. (1996) Emergence of preferred structures in a simple model of protein folding. *Science*, 273, 666–669
4. Li, F., Long, T., Lu, Y., Ouyang, Q., and Tang, C. (2004) The yeast cell-cycle network is robustly designed. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 101, 4781–4786
5. Ma, W., Trusina, A., El-Samad, H., Lim, W., and Tang, C. (2009) Defining network topology that can perform biochemical adaptation. *Cell*, 138, 760–773
6. Shu, J., Wu, C., Wu, Y., Li, Z., Shao, S., Zhao, W., Tang, X., Yang, H., Shen, L., Zuo, X. *et al.* (2013) Induction of pluripotency in mouse somatic cells with lineage specifiers. *Cell*, 153, 963–975