

# Erratum to: Research on Travel Preferences of Wheelchair Users in Barrier-Free Environments and Improvement Strategies for Adaptive Urban Roads

Qiling CHEN\*, Yanan HAN, Ziai ZHOU, Mingrui MAO

Beijing UrbanXYZ Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing 100027

## \*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Address: B12-13, Tianding 218 Cultural and Financial Park, No. 16 Zhushikou East Street, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100027, China

Email: chenql@urbanxyz.com

Erratum to *Landscape Architecture Frontiers*, 2024, 12(4), 26–37, <https://doi.org/10.15302/J-LAF-1-020100>

The last sentence of Chapter 3.3, on Page 34, is corrected as:

Generally, bricks had a low bumpiness, but different paving patterns exhibited varied bumpiness, where stretcher-bond paving performed better than herringbone 45° and basket-weave patterns (Table 9, Fig. 9).

The Table 9 on Page 35 is corrected as:

Table 9: Comparative analysis results of the bumpiness of different paving patterns of brick

Paving pattern	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Variance
Herringbone 45°	38.04	0	4.49	21.98
Stretcher-bond	34.2	0	7	17.29
Basket-weave	55.57	0	3.36	26.81

# 《城市道路无障碍环境轮椅使用者出行偏好与适应性道路改善策略研究》更正

陈琪玲\*, 韩亚楠, 周梓艾, 茅明睿

北京城市象限科技有限公司, 北京 100027

## \*通讯作者

地址: 北京市东城区珠市口东大街甲16号天鼎218文化金融园B12-13

邮编: 100027

邮箱: chenql@urbanxyz.com

《景观设计学》2024年第12卷第4期(总第70期), 第38—45页,  
<https://doi.org/10.15302/J-LAF-1-020100>

第43页, 3.3章节最后一句更正为:

青砖虽然整体颠簸度较低, 但不同铺面形式的颠簸度仍呈现出一定差异, 工字铺形式的颠簸度较人字铺和田字铺更高(表9, 图9)。

第44页, 表9更正为:

表9: 青砖不同铺面形式颠簸度对比分析结果

铺面形式	最大值	最小值	平均值	方差
人字铺	38.04	0	4.49	21.98
工字铺	34.2	0	7	17.29
田字铺	55.57	0	3.36	26.81

第45页, 图9图说更正为:

图9. 青砖不同铺面形式照片: 从左到右为人字铺、田字铺和工字铺。