



# Controllability of fractional-order damped systems with time-varying delays in control\*

Bin-bin HE<sup>1</sup>, Hua-cheng ZHOU<sup>†2</sup>, Chun-hai KOU<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Urban Railway Transportation, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410075, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China

E-mail: hebinbin45@126.com; hczhou@amss.ac.cn; kouchunhai@dhu.edu.cn

Received Dec. 28, 2019; Revision accepted Apr. 9, 2020; Crosschecked Apr. 30, 2020

**Abstract:** In this study, we focus on the controllability of fractional-order damped systems in linear and nonlinear cases with multiple time-varying delays in control. For the linear system based on the Mittag-Leffler matrix function, we define a controllability Gramian matrix, which is useful in judging whether the system is controllable or not. Furthermore, in two special cases, we present several equivalent controllable conditions which are easy to verify. For the nonlinear system, under the controllability of its corresponding linear system, we obtain a sufficient condition on the nonlinear term to ensure that the system is controllable. Finally, two examples are given to illustrate the theory.

**Key words:** Controllability; Fractional-order damped systems; Time-varying delays; Gramian matrix

<https://doi.org/10.1631/FITEE.1900736>

**CLC number:** O231

## 1 Introduction

As a generalization of classical integer-order calculus, fractional-order calculus, or more appropriately, arbitrary real-order calculus was born at almost the same time as classical calculus. Recently, fractional-order differential equations have been widely used in assorted fields, including control theory, viscoelastic materials, and blood flow phenomena. Their hereditary property makes them precise in modeling the dynamic process (Miller and Ross, 1993; Podlubny, 1998; Hilfer, 2000; Kilbas et al., 2006; Monje et al., 2010).

Fractional control theory is an important and basic topic of application-oriented fractional-order

calculus for information science. In recent years, the controllability of fractional-order systems has been widely researched (Balachandran and Park, 2009; Balachandran et al., 2015; Ge et al., 2016). It is worth remarking that time delays often occur in practical systems. There are many achievements concerning systems with delays (Gu et al., 2003; Zhou, 2014; Sikora and Klamka, 2017; Liu et al., 2019).

The controllability of fractional-order systems with time-varying delays in control was analyzed by Balachandran et al. (2012a, 2012b). Balachandran et al. (2012a) derived the necessary and sufficient conditions for the following system with  $0 < p < 1$ :

$${}_0^C D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}(t) + \sum_{i=0}^M \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}[h_i(t)], \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  represents the control input and  $h_i(t)$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$ ) are continuous functions denoting the time-varying delays. The control in Balachandran et al. (2012a) was explicitly constructed. In our

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author

\* Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61803386) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai, China (No. 19ZR1400500)

ORCID: Bin-bin HE, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8860-6263>; Hua-cheng ZHOU, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6856-2358>; Chun-hai KOU, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0958-5725>

© Zhejiang University and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020



**Lemma 2** (He et al., 2016b) If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , then matrix  $\mathbf{E}_\gamma(\mathbf{A}t^\gamma) - \mathbf{A}t^\gamma \mathbf{E}_{\gamma, \gamma+1}(\mathbf{A}t^\gamma)$  is invertible for  $\gamma > 0$ .

**Lemma 3** (He et al., 2016a) Let  $\mu > -1$ . Assume that  $\varphi(\tilde{T}, s)$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $[\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}]$  with  $s$  and  $\varphi(\tilde{T}, s)$  meeting

$$\int_{\tilde{T}}^{\tilde{T}} (\tilde{T} - s)^\mu \varphi(\tilde{T}, s) ds = 0.$$

Then,  $\varphi(\tilde{T}, s) \equiv 0$  for  $s \in [\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}]$ .

### 3 Controllability of linear systems

Consider the following linear damped system with multiple time-varying delays in control:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C_0 D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{A}_0^C D_t^q \mathbf{y}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^M \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}[t - \tau_i(t)], t \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}'(0) = \mathbf{y}'_0, \\ \mathbf{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), -\tau_M(0) \leq t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

From Lemma 1, Lemma 4 is immediately derived.

**Lemma 4** The general solution to system (2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(t) = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q, p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q, 2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}[\mathbf{A}(t-s)^{p-q}] \\ & \cdot \sum_{i=0}^M \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}[s - \tau_i(s)] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Using the properties of functions  $r_i(t)$ , the solution to system (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(t) = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q, p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q, 2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^{t-\tau_i(t)} [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tau_i(t)$  is increasing with  $i$ . Then  $t - \tau_i(t)$  is decreasing with  $i$ . Now using the properties of

$\tau_i(t)$ , state  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(t) = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q, p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q, 2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \boldsymbol{\psi}(s) ds \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^M \int_0^{t-\tau_i(t)} [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds \\ = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q, p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q, 2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \boldsymbol{\psi}(s) ds \\ & + \int_0^{t-\tau_M(t)} \sum_{i=0}^M [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_M(t)}^{t-\tau_{M-1}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t [t - r_0(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_0(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_0 \dot{r}_0(s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For simplification, we introduce the notations as

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_j(t, s) &= \sum_{i=0}^j [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s), j = 0, 1, \dots, M, \\ \mathbf{H}(t) &= \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q, p-q+1} \\ &\quad \cdot (\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q, 2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0, \\ \mathbf{I}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [t - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q, p}\{\mathbf{A}[t - r_i(s)]^{p-q}\} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) \boldsymbol{\psi}(s) ds, \end{aligned} \right.$$

and the controllability Gramian matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} = & \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_{T-\tau_{j+1}(T)}^{T-\tau_j(T)} \mathbf{G}_j(T, s) \mathbf{G}_j^T(T, s) ds \\ & + \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{G}_M(T, s) \mathbf{G}_M^T(T, s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the solution  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(t) = & \mathbf{H}(t) + \mathbf{I}(t) + \int_0^{t-\tau_M(t)} \mathbf{G}_M(t, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_M(t)}^{t-\tau_{M-1}(t)} \mathbf{G}_{M-1}(t, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t \mathbf{G}_0(t, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1** The linear fractional damped system (2) is controllable on  $[0, T]$ , if and only if the controllability Gramian matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  is positive definite.

**Proof** First, if  $\mathbf{W}$  is positive definite, then  $\mathbf{W}$  is invertible. For any given vectors  $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}'_0, \mathbf{y}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can construct the control input  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  as

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{G}_M^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{I}(t)], & t \in (0, T - \tau_M(T)], \\ \mathbf{G}_{M-1}^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{I}(t)], & t \in (T - \tau_M(T), T - \tau_{M-1}(T)], \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_0^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{I}(t)], & t \in (T - \tau_1(T), T]. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (4) and using Eq. (5), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(T) = & \mathbf{H}(T) + \mathbf{I}(T) \\ & + \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{G}_M(T, s)\mathbf{G}_M^T(T, s) \\ & \cdot \mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(T) - \mathbf{I}(T)]ds \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_M(T)}^{T-\tau_{M-1}(T)} \mathbf{G}_{M-1}(T, s)\mathbf{G}_{M-1}^T(T, s) \\ & \cdot \mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(T) - \mathbf{I}(T)]ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_1(T)}^T \mathbf{G}_0(T, s)\mathbf{G}_0^T(T, s) \\ & \cdot \mathbf{W}^{-1}[\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{H}(T) - \mathbf{I}(T)]ds \\ = & \mathbf{y}_1. \end{aligned}$$

This means that system (2) is controllable.

Now we show the necessity by reductio ad absurdum. If  $\mathbf{W}$  is not positive definite, then there

exists  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} = & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x} \\ = & \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \mathbf{x}^T \int_{T-\tau_{j+1}(T)}^{T-\tau_j(T)} \mathbf{G}_j(T, s)\mathbf{G}_j^T(T, s)\mathbf{x}ds \\ & + \mathbf{x}^T \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{G}_M(T, s)\mathbf{G}_M^T(T, s)\mathbf{x}ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $s \in [0, T]$  and  $j = 0, 1, \dots, M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G}_j(T, s) = & \mathbf{x}^T \sum_{i=0}^j \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} \{ \mathbf{A}[T - r_i(s)]^{p-q} \} \\ & \cdot [T - r_i(s)]^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_i \dot{r}_i(s) = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 2,  $[\mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q}) - \mathbf{A}T^{p-q} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})]^{-1}$  exists. Now we choose  $\mathbf{y}_0$  and  $\mathbf{y}'_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_0 = & [\mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q}) - \mathbf{A}T^{p-q} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})]^{-1} \\ & \cdot [\mathbf{x} - T \mathbf{E}_{p-q,2}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0], \end{aligned}$$

and choose  $\boldsymbol{\psi}(s) = \mathbf{0}$ . By hypothesis, system (2) is controllable. That is, there exists a control input  $\mathbf{u} \in C[0, T]$  such that the state can be steered to the origin from the initial state in the interval of  $[0, T]$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(T) = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & - \mathbf{A}T^{p-q} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + T \mathbf{E}_{p-q,2}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & + \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{G}_M(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_M(T)}^{T-\tau_{M-1}(T)} \mathbf{G}_{M-1}(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_1(T)}^T \mathbf{G}_0(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ = & \mathbf{x} + \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{G}_M(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_M(T)}^{T-\tau_{M-1}(T)} \mathbf{G}_{M-1}(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_1(T)}^T \mathbf{G}_0(T, s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ = & \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} = & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} + \int_0^{T-\tau_M(T)} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G}_M(T, s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_M(T)}^{T-\tau_{M-1}(T)} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G}_{M-1}(T, s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds + \dots \\ & + \int_{T-\tau_1(T)}^T \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G}_0(T, s) \mathbf{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

It follows from Eqs. (7) and (8) that  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $\mathbf{x}$  is nonzero. Thus,  $\mathbf{W}$  is invertible.

### 4 Two special cases of the linear system

Suppose that the delays in system (2) are independent of  $t$ . Then system (2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} {}^C_0 D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{A}_0^C D_t^q \mathbf{y}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^M \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}(t - \tau_i), \quad t \geq 0, \\ \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \quad \mathbf{y}'(0) = \mathbf{y}'_0, \\ \mathbf{u}(t) = \boldsymbol{\psi}(t), \quad -\tau_M(0) \leq t \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where  $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_M < T$ .

Then for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, M$ , functions  $\mathbf{G}_j(t, s)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_j(t, s) = & \sum_{i=0}^j (t - s - \tau_i)^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(t - s - \tau_i)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_i, \end{aligned}$$

and we can obtain the controllability Gramian matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} = & \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_{T-\tau_{j+1}}^{T-\tau_j} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^j (T - s - \tau_i)^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T - s - \tau_i)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_i \left. \right\} \\ & \cdot \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^j (T - s - \tau_i)^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T - s - \tau_i)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_i \left. \right\}^T ds \\ & + \int_0^{T-\tau_M} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^M (T - s - \tau_i)^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T - s - \tau_i)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_i \left. \right\} \\ & \cdot \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^M (T - s - \tau_i)^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T - s - \tau_i)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_i \left. \right\}^T ds. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Then, the controllability of system (9) can be verified by the invertibility of Gramian matrix (10).

**Corollary 1** The fractional-order damped system (9) with multiple constant delays is controllable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}[\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_1, \\ \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_M, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_M, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_M] \\ = n. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M \rangle \\ = & \theta_0 + \mathbf{A}\theta_0 + \mathbf{A}^2\theta_0 + \dots + \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \mathbf{A}\theta_1 \\ & + \mathbf{A}^2\theta_1 + \dots + \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_M + \mathbf{A}\theta_M \\ & + \mathbf{A}^2\theta_M + \dots + \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\theta_M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n$  is the order of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\theta_i = \text{Im}\mathbf{B}_i$ . Here, we view matrices  $\mathbf{B}_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, M$ ) as the linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and denote  $\text{Im}\mathbf{B}_i$  the images of these linear transformations which are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is easy to see that  $\langle \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M \rangle$  is spanned by the columns of matrix

$$[\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_M, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_M, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_M].$$

Note that  $\mathbf{W}(T)$  is invertible and is equivalent to  $\text{Im}\mathbf{W}(T) = \langle \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M \rangle$ .

Now we prove that  $\text{Im}\mathbf{W} = \langle \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{B}_M \rangle$ . This is equivalent to show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}\mathbf{W} = & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_0^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_1^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_M^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

First, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}\mathbf{W} \subset & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_0^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_1^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker}\mathbf{B}_M^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for any given  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Ker}\mathbf{W}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{W}(T) \mathbf{y} \\
 &= \int_{T-\tau_1}^T \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{T-\tau_2}^{T-\tau_1} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad + (T-s-\tau_1)^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_1)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_1] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds + \dots \\
 &\quad + \int_{T-\tau_M}^{T-\tau_{M-1}} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 + \dots \\
 &\quad + (T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_{M-1}] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{T-\tau_M} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad + \dots + (T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_{M-1}] \\
 &\quad + (T-s-\tau_M)^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_M)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_M] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\int_{T-\tau_1}^T \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \right. \right. \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\int_{T-\tau_2}^{T-\tau_1} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \right. + (T-s-\tau_1)^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_1)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_1] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds = 0, \quad (13)$$

⋮

$$\int_{T-\tau_M}^{T-\tau_{M-1}} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \right. + \dots + (T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_{M-1}] \left. \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \left. \right\}^2 ds = 0,$$

$$\int_0^{T-\tau_M} \left\{ \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_0 + \dots + (T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_{M-1})^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_{M-1}] + (T-s-\tau_M)^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_M)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_M] \right\}^T \mathbf{y} \right\}^2 ds = 0.$$

By Lemma 3 and Eq. (12), for  $s \in [T-\tau_1, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{B}_0^T \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}]^T \mathbf{y} \\
 &= \mathbf{B}_0^T \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{A}^T)^k (T-s)^{k(p-q)}}{\Gamma[k(p-q)+p]} \mathbf{y}. \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s = T$  in Eq. (14). Then  $\mathbf{B}_0^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ . Together with Eq. (12), we have

$$\int_{T-\tau_1}^T (T-s)^{p-1+p-q} \cdot \left\| \mathbf{B}_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{A}^T)^k (T-s)^{(k-1)(p-q)}}{\Gamma[k(p-q)+p]} \mathbf{y} \right\|^2 ds = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that for  $s \in [T-\tau_1, T]$ ,

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{B}_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{A}^T)^k (T-s)^{(k-1)(p-q)}}{\Gamma[k(p-q)+p]} \mathbf{y}. \quad (15)$$

By taking  $s = T$  in Eq. (15), we have  $\mathbf{B}_0^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ . By mathematical induction, we can have

$$\mathbf{B}_0^T (\mathbf{A}^T)^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1. \quad (16)$$

According to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, there exist functions  $\gamma_0(t), \gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_{n-1}(t)$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} (\mathbf{A} T^{p-q}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma_i(T) \mathbf{A}^i.$$

This together with Eq. (16) yields

$$[\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} (\mathbf{A} T^{p-q}) \mathbf{B}_0]^T \mathbf{y} \equiv \mathbf{0}.$$

Also, combined with Eq. (13), we have

$$\int_{T-\tau_2}^{T-\tau_1} \left\| (T-\tau_1-s)^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p} [\mathbf{A}(T-\tau_1-s)^{p-q} \mathbf{B}_1^T] \mathbf{y} \right\|^2 ds = 0.$$

Similar to Eqs. (14)–(16), we have

$$\mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we can derive that for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, M$ ,

$$\mathbf{B}_i^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (18)$$

From Eqs. (16)–(18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} \in & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_M^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker} \mathbf{W} \subset & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_M^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Conversely, for any given

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} \in & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_M^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}, \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (18) is true. For  $T - \tau_1 < s \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}_0^T(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}]^T \mathbf{y} \\ & = \sum_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{i_0}(T-s)(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}; \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for  $T - \tau_2 < s \leq T - \tau_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 \\ & + (T-\tau_1-s) \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-\tau_1-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_1\}^T \mathbf{y} \\ & = \sum_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{i_0}(T-s)(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \mathbf{y} \\ & + \sum_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{i_1}(T-\tau_1-s) \\ & \cdot (T-\tau_1-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\vdots$$

for  $0 < s \leq T - \tau_M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 + \dots \\ & + (T-\tau_M-s) \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-\tau_M-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_M\}^T \mathbf{y} \\ & = \sum_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{i_0}(T-s)(T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \mathbf{y} + \dots \\ & + \sum_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{i_M}(T-\tau_M-s)(T-\tau_M-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{B}_M^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M} \mathbf{y} \\ & = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Ker} \mathbf{W}(T)$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker} \mathbf{W} \supset & \bigcap_{i_0=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_0^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_0} \bigcap_{i_1=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_1^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_1} \bigcap_{i_2=0}^{n-1} \\ & \dots \bigcap_{i_M=0}^{n-1} \text{Ker} \mathbf{B}_M^T(\mathbf{A}^T)^{i_M}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From Eqs. (19) and (23), we know that Eq. (11) is correct and the proof is finished.

In particular, taking  $M = 1$ , system (9) becomes

$${}_0^C D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{A}_0^C D_t^q \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}(t - \tau_1), \quad (24)$$

and we can have Corollary 2 immediately, which is just Theorem 3.1 in He et al. (2016a).

**Corollary 2** The controllability of the fractional damped system with control delay (24) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rank}[\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_1, \\ & \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{B}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B}_1] = n. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1** By Eq. (10), the controllability Gramian matrix of system (24) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W} = & \int_{T-\tau_1}^T \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 \right\} \\ & \cdot \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 \right\}^T ds \\ & + \int_0^{T-\tau_1} \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \\ & + (T-s-\tau_1)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_1)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_1 \left. \right\} \\ & \cdot \left\{ (T-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_0 \right. \\ & + (T-s-\tau_1)^{p-1} \\ & \left. \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s-\tau_1)^{p-q}] \mathbf{B}_1 \right\}^T ds. \end{aligned}$$



**Theorem 2** Let  $f$  be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{\|(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f(t, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})\|}{\|(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})\|} = 0 \tag{28}$$

uniformly on  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let the linear fractional system (2) be controllable. Then, the nonlinear system (25) is controllable on  $[0, T]$ .

**Proof** By hypothesis, system (2) is controllable. According to Theorem 1,  $\mathbf{W}$  given by Gramian matrix (5) is invertible. We define the operator  $\psi : Q \rightarrow Q$  as follows:

$$\psi(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}),$$

where  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is given by Eq. (27) and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}(t) = & \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q,2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(t-s)^{p-q}]\mathbf{f}[s, \mathbf{z}(s), \mathbf{v}(s)]ds \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^m \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)\psi(s)ds \\ & + \int_0^{t-\tau_M(t)} \sum_{i=0}^M [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ & + \int_{t-\tau_M(t)}^{t-\tau_{M-1}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds \\ & + \dots + \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^t [t-r_0(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_0(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_0\dot{r}_0(s)\mathbf{u}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{u}_i(t) = \mathbf{G}_i^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}\eta(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}'_0, \mathbf{y}_1; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}), i = 0, 1, \dots, M.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_i(t) = & \mathbf{G}_i^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}\eta[y(0, \mathbf{y}_1; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v})] \\ = & \mathbf{G}_i^T(T, t)\mathbf{W}^{-1}\left\{\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \right. \\ & + \mathbf{A}T^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 \\ & - T\mathbf{E}_{p-q,2}(\mathbf{A}T^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0 \\ & - \int_0^T (T-s)^{p-1}\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}] \\ & \cdot \mathbf{f}[s, \mathbf{z}(s), \mathbf{v}(s)]ds - \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [T-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[T-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)\psi(s)ds \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Next, we claim that constant  $r > 0$  exists such that

$$\psi(Q(r)) \subset Q(r),$$

where  $Q(r) = \{(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) \in Q : \|\mathbf{z}\| \leq r/2 \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}\| \leq r/2\}$ . For simplification, we introduce the following constants:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 = & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{E}_{p-q}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{A}t^{p-q}\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p-q+1} \\ & \cdot (\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}_0 + t\mathbf{E}_{p-q,2}(\mathbf{A}t^{p-q})\mathbf{y}'_0\|, \\ a_2 = & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(T-s)^{p-q}]\|, \\ a_3 = & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^M \int_{-\tau_i(0)}^0 [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)\psi(s)ds \left. \right\|, \\ a_4 = & \max_{i \leq m, i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{G}_i^T(T, t)\|, \\ a_5 = & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^{t-\tau_M} \sum_{i=0}^M [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \right. \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^{t-\tau_{j-1}(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} [t-r_i(s)]^{p-1} \\ & \cdot \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}\{\mathbf{A}[t-r_i(s)]^{p-q}\}\mathbf{B}_i\dot{r}_i(s)ds \left. \right\|, \\ d_1 = & 4a_4\|\mathbf{W}^{-1}\|(\|\mathbf{y}_1\| + a_1 + a_3), d_2 = 4(a_1 + a_3), \\ c_1 = & 4a_2a_4T^p\|\mathbf{W}^{-1}\|p^{-1}, c_2 = 4a_2T^pp^{-1}, \\ c = & \max\{a_5c_1, c_1, c_2\}, \\ d = & \max\{a_5d_1, d_1, d_2\}, \\ \sup \|f\| = & \sup\{\|f[s, \mathbf{z}(s), \mathbf{v}(s)]\|; s \in J\}. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{31}$$

By Eqs. (29) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_i(t)\| &\leq \|\mathbf{G}_i^T(T, t)\| \|\mathbf{W}^{-1}\| (\|\mathbf{y}_1\| + a_1 + a_3 \\ &\quad + a_2 T^p p^{-1} \sup \|f\|) \leq a_4 \|\mathbf{W}^{-1}\| (\|\mathbf{y}_1\| + a_1 \\ &\quad + a_3) + a_4 \|\mathbf{W}^{-1}\| a_2 T^p p^{-1} \sup \|f\| \\ &\leq \frac{d_1}{4} + \frac{c_1}{4} \sup \|f\|, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, M, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y}(t)\| &\leq a_1 + a_3 + a_5 \max_i \|\mathbf{u}_i(s)\| \\ &\quad + a_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{p-1} \sup \|f\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{d_2}{4} + a_5 \left( \frac{d_1}{4} + \frac{c_1}{4} \sup \|f\| \right) + a_2 T^p p^{-1} \sup \|f\| \\ &\leq \frac{d}{2} + \frac{c}{2} \sup \|f\|. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Since function  $f$  satisfies property (28), by Lemma 5, for any fixed  $c, d > 0$ , there is a positive constant  $r$  such that if  $\|(\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})\| \leq r$ , then

$$c\|f(t, \bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})\| + d \leq r \text{ holds for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{34}$$

Now we can choose  $c$  and  $d$  given by Eq. (31) and take  $r$  such that Eq. (34) holds. Thus, if  $\|\mathbf{z}\| \leq \frac{r}{2}$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}\| \leq \frac{r}{2}$ , then  $\|\mathbf{z}(s)\| + \|\mathbf{v}(s)\| \leq r$  for all  $s \in [0, T]$ , which leads to  $d + c \sup \|f\| \leq r$ . Therefore, by inequality (32), we derive  $\|\mathbf{u}_i(s)\| \leq \frac{r}{4}$  for all  $s \in [0, T]$ , and hence  $\|\mathbf{u}_i(s)\| \leq \frac{r}{4}$  by inequality (33), and  $\|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \frac{r}{2}$ . Thus,  $\psi(Q(r)) \subset Q(r)$ .

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in our previous work (He et al., 2016b), we can verify that  $\psi$  has a fixed point  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) \in Q(r)$ , which reads  $\psi(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}) \equiv (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u})$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  is the solution to system (25). We can see that  $\mathbf{y}(T) = \mathbf{y}_1$  and the control function  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  actuates the state of system (25) from the initial state  $\mathbf{y}_0$  to  $\mathbf{y}_1$  on  $[0, T]$ . That is, system (25) is controllable on  $[0, T]$ .

## 6 Examples

In this section, we use our main results to prove the controllability of the fractional delay systems.

**Example 1** Consider a linear fraction damped system

$${}_0^C D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) + \mathbf{A}_0^C D_t^q \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}[t - \tau(t)] \tag{35}$$

with  $p = 1.5, q = 0.5, \mathbf{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tau(t) = t/2$ , and  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_0$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_1$  given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Mittag-Leffler matrix function is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{1,1.5}(\mathbf{A}t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(-2)^j t^{2j}}{\Gamma(1.5 + 2j)} & \frac{(-2)^j t^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(2.5 + 2j)} \\ -\frac{(-2)^j t^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(2.5 + 2j)} & \frac{(-2)^j t^{2j}}{\Gamma(1.5 + 2j)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (t-s)^{p-1} \mathbf{E}_{p-q,p}[\mathbf{A}(t-s)^{p-q}] \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos_p(t-s) & \sin_p(t-s) \\ -\sin_p(t-s) & \cos_p(t-s) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \cos_p(t-s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^j (t-s)^{2j+0.5}}{\Gamma(1.5 + 2j)}, \\ \sin_p(t-s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^j (t-s)^{2j+1.5}}{\Gamma(2.5 + 2j)}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_0(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos_p(t-s) & 2 \sin_p(t-s) \\ -2 \sin_p(t-s) & 2 \cos_p(t-s) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_1(t, s) &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos_p(t-s) & 2 \sin_p(t-s) \\ -2 \sin_p(t-s) & 2 \cos_p(t-s) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos_p(t-2s) & 2 \sin_p(t-2s) \\ -2 \sin_p(t-2s) & 2 \cos_p(t-2s) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple computation, one can see that the controllability matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}(T) &= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T [4 \cos_p^2(T-s) + 4 \sin_p^2(T-s)] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{T}{2}} \left\{ [2 \cos_p(T-s) + 2 \cos_p(T-2s)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [2 \sin_p(T-s) + 2 \sin_p(T-2s)]^2 \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $\mathbf{W}(T)$  is positive definite for any  $T > 0$ . So, system (35) is controllable on  $[0, T]$ . Fig. 1 shows that under a suitable control input, we can transfer the state from  $(0, 0)^T$  to  $(9.3, 7.5)^T$ . The results of the control function are shown in Fig. 2.

**Example 2** Consider the nonlinear system

$${}_0^C D_t^p \mathbf{y}(t) + \mathbf{A}_0^C D_t^q \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{u}[t - \tau(t)] + f(\mathbf{y}(t)) \tag{36}$$




Fig. 1 State  $y(t)$  of linear system (35)




Fig. 2 Control input  $u(t)$  for linear system (35)

with  $p = 1.5, q = 0.5, \mathbf{y}(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t))^T, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_0,$  and  $\mathbf{B}_1$  given by Example 1, and  $f(\mathbf{y})$  given by

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = \left( \frac{1 + y_2}{1 + y_1^2 + y_2^2}, \frac{1 + y_1}{1 + y_1^2 + y_2^2} \right)^T. \quad (37)$$

We can see that  $f(\mathbf{y})$  is continuous and meets condition (28) in Theorem 2; nonlinear system (36) is thus controllable on  $[0, T]$ . Fig. 3 shows that under the control input as shown in Fig. 2, we can transfer the state from  $(0, 0)^T$  to  $(9.5, 7.3)^T$ .




Fig. 3 State  $y(t)$  of nonlinear system (36)

## 7 Conclusions

We have studied the controllability problem for the fractional-order damped systems in linear and nonlinear cases with multiple time-varying delays in control. We have provided a necessary and sufficient condition of the controllability of the linear system. Based on this, we have also proposed a sufficient condition which guarantees the controllability of the

nonlinear fractional-order damped system. The delays considered in the study are time-varying delays, which is a continuation of our previous research (He et al., 2016a, 2016b). Numerical simulations have been carried out to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed theory.

## Contributors

Hua-cheng ZHOU and Chun-hai KOU designed the research. Bin-bin HE and Hua-cheng ZHOU drafted the manuscript. Chun-hai KOU helped organize the manuscript. Bin-bin HE and Hua-cheng ZHOU revised and finalized the paper.

## Compliance with ethics guidelines

Bin-bin HE, Hua-cheng ZHOU, and Chun-hai KOU declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

Balachandran K, Park JY, 2009. Controllability of fractional integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces. *Nonl Anal Hybr Syst*, 3(4):363-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nahs.2009.01.014>

Balachandran K, Zhou Y, Kokila J, 2012a. Relative controllability of fractional dynamical systems with delays in control. *Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul*, 17(9):3508-3520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.12.018>

Balachandran K, Kokila J, Trujillo JJ, 2012b. Relative controllability of fractional dynamical systems with multiple delays in control. *Comput Math Appl*, 64(10):3037-3045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2012.01.071>

Balachandran K, Govindaraj V, Rivero M, et al., 2015. Controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems. *Appl Math Comput*, 257:66-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.12.059>

Dauer JP, 1976. Nonlinear perturbations of quasi-linear control systems. *J Math Anal Appl*, 54(3):717-725. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(76\)90191-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(76)90191-8)

Ge FD, Zhou HC, Kou CH, 2016. Approximate controllability of semilinear evolution equations of fractional order with nonlocal and impulsive conditions via an approximating technique. *Appl Math Comput*, 275:107-120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.11.056>

Gu KQ, Kharitonov VL, Chen J, 2003. Stability of Time-Delay Systems. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Boston, USA.

He BB, Zhou HC, Kou CH, 2016a. The controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems with control delay. *Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul*, 32:190-198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2015.08.011>

He BB, Zhou HC, Kou CH, 2016b. On the controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems with distributed delays. Proc 35<sup>th</sup> Chinese Control Conf, p.10418-10423. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ChiCC.2016.7555006>

Hilfer R, 2000. Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics. World Scientific Publisher, Singapore.

Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ, 2006. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

- Liu S, Yang R, Zhou XF, et al., 2019. Stability analysis of fractional delayed equations and its applications on consensus of multi-agent systems. *Commun Nonl Sci Numer Simul*, 73:351-362.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.02.019>
- Miller KS, Ross B, 1993. An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equation. Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, USA.
- Monje CA, Chen Y, Vinagre BM, et al., 2010. Fractional-Order Systems and Controls: Fundamentals and Applications. Springer-Verlag, London, UK.
- Podlubny I, 1998. Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York, USA.
- Sikora B, Klamka J, 2017. Constrained controllability of fractional linear systems with delays in control. *Syst Contr Lett*, 106:9-15.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysconle.2017.04.013>
- Zhou Y, 2014. Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations. World Scientific Publisher, Singapore.  
<https://doi.org/10.1142/9069>