
Supporting Information

of

A meta-analysis of remediation of Cd-contaminated soil: comparison of remediation agents, pot versus field studies, and biochar versus biochar mixed with other materials

Xinyan Jiang¹, Moyan Wen¹, Peijun Wang¹, Giovana Tommaso², Na Duan¹, Zhidan Liu¹, Xiao Zhao (✉)¹

1 College of Water Resources and Intelligence Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100083, China

2 Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering (FZEA), University of São Paulo (USP), Pirassununga 13635-900, Brazil

✉ Corresponding author

E-mail: xiaozhao88@cau.edu.cn

Content

Table

Table S1 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using organic remediation agents.....	1
Table S2 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using natural remediation agents.....	3
Table S3 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using synthetic remediation agents.....	4
Table S4 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using mixed remediation agents.....	5
Table S5 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using biochar remediation agents.....	6
Table S6 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using organic remediation agents in field and pot experiments.....	7
Table S7 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using natural remediation agents in field and pot experiments.....	9
Table S8 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using synthetic remediation agents in field and pot experiments.....	11
Table S9 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using mixed remediation agents in field and pot experiments.....	13
Table S10 Heterogeneity experiment of subgroup analysis of soil-crop system using biochar.....	15
Table S11 Biochar type subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems.....	17
Table S12 Biochar feedstock subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems.....	19
Table S13 Biochar pH subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems.....	22
Table S14 Biochar temperature subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems.....	24
Table S15 Biochar dosage subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems.....	26

Table S1 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using organic remediation agents

Factors	Effect size	CI 95%		pQ	$p\ val$	pE	Stability
pH (153)	0.0621	0.046	0.0781	< .0001	< .0001	0.574	
SOM (71)	0.2184	0.1565	0.2804	< .0001	< .0001	0.8794	
TN (4)	0.2551	-0.0365	0.5467	< .0001	0.0865	0.4415	no significant
AP (10)	1.1397	0.6485	1.631	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	1.1397	0.6485	1.631	< .0001	< .0001		robust
AK (< 3)							
EC (72)	0.3429	0.2483	0.4374	< .0001	< .0001	0.7738	
CEC (15)	0.2724	0.1829	0.3619	< .0001	< .0001	0.1109	
Available Cd (77)	-0.1876	-0.2658	-0.1094	< .0001	< .0001	0.2296	
Exchangable Cd (67)	-0.1274	-0.2613	0.0066	< .0001	0.0624	0.8719	no significant
yield/dry biomass (60)	0.1052	0.0723	0.1382	< .0001	< .0001	0.0108	
	0.1529	0.1146	0.1911	< .0001	< .0001		robust
root (81)	-0.37	-0.4556	-0.2844	< .0001	< .0001	0.131	
stem (10)	-0.0798	-0.2723	0.1127	< .0001	0.4164	0.173	no significant
leaf (30)	-0.433	-0.6259	-0.2401	< .0001	< .0001	0.029	
	-0.433	-0.6259	-0.2401	< .0001	< .0001		robust
grain (51)	-0.432	-0.5678	-0.2962	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	-0.2543	-0.4003	-0.1083	< .0001	0.0006		robust

pQ :Q statistic p value

$pval$:p-value

pE :The p -value of the Egger test

if $pQ > 0.001$, using fixed effect model

if $pval > 0.05$, suggesting no statistical significant, need a further sub-group analyses / add more articles

if $pE < 0.05$, suggesting a publication bias, need a further trim-and-fill method

if $pval'$ (adjusted p val) and $pval$ under or higher than 0.05 simultaneously, suggesting result is robust

Table S2 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using natural remediation agents

Factors	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> Q	<i>p</i> value	<i>p</i> E	Stability
pH (90)	0.0533	0.0351	0.0715	< .0001	< .0001	0.6699	
SOM (32)	−0.0549	−0.1309	0.021	< .0001	0.1562	0.064	no significant
TN (< 3)							
AP (4)	0.2473	0.0027	0.4919	< .0001	0.0475	0.2862	
AK (< 3)							
EC (29)	0.5185	0.3052	0.7319	< .0001	< .0001	0.6119	
CEC (7)	0.0809	−0.0109	0.1728	< .0001	0.0842	< .0001	no significant
	0.0809	−0.0109	0.1728	< .0001	0.0842		robust
Available Cd (63)	−0.3713	−0.4766	−0.266	< .0001	< .0001	0.0028	
	−0.3713	−0.4766	−0.266	< .0001	< .0001		robust
Exchangable Cd (73)	−0.0979	−0.2309	0.035	< .0001	0.1488	0.5497	no significant
yield/dry biomass (40)	0.1765	0.0581	0.2949	< .0001	0.0035	0.9708	
root (57)	−0.4832	−0.614	−0.3523	< .0001	< .0001	0.8049	
stem (19)	−1.2097	−1.5659	−0.8535	< .0001	< .0001	0.4777	
leaf (14)	−1.1952	−1.4833	−0.9072	< .0001	< .0001	0.8002	
grain (26)	−1.0235	−1.2572	−0.7898	< .0001	< .0001	0.5328	

Table S3 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using synthetic remediation agents

Factors	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> Q	<i>p</i> value	<i>p</i> E	Stability
pH (9)	0.0186	−0.0157	0.053	< .0001	0.2878	< .0001	no significant unrobust
	0.0352	0.0045	0.0659	< .0001	0.0247		
SOM (7)	−1.6501	−2.4868	−0.8134	< .0001	0.0001	< .0001	robust
	−1.6501	−2.4868	−0.8134	< .0001	0.0001		
TN (< 3)							
AP (5)	−0.1936	−0.2581	−0.129	< .0001	< .0001	0.3633	
AK (5)	−0.6088	−0.7946	−0.4229	0.3259	<.0001	0.5201	
EC (< 3)							
CEC (9)	−0.0037	−0.0305	0.023	< .0001	0.7845	0.0533	no significant
Available Cd (17)	−0.4283	−0.542	−0.3147	< .0001	< .0001	0.118	
Exchangable Cd (6)	−1.3645	−2.9775	0.2485	< .0001	0.0973	< .0001	no significant
	−1.3645	−2.9775	0.2485	< .0001	0.0973		robust
yield/dry biomass (15)	0.0856	0.0104	0.1607	< .0001	0.0256	0.0035	
	0.1057	0.0342	0.1771		0.0037		robust
root (< 3)							
stem (< 3)							
leaf (< 3)							
grain (15)	−0.4832	−0.5921	−0.3744	< .0001	< .0001	0.2685	

Table S4 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using mixed remediation agents

Factors	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> Q	<i>p</i> value	<i>p</i> E	Stability
pH (81)	0.1345	0.1093	0.1596	< .0001	< .0001	0.367	
SOM (45)	0.293	0.2237	0.3623	< .0001	< .0001	0.8	
TN (3)	0.1223	-0.0882	0.3327	< .0001	0.2549	0.0078	no significant
	0.1223	-0.0882	0.3327	< .0001	0.2549		robust
AP (< 3)							
AK (< 3)							
EC (19)	0.3052	0.067	0.5435	< .0001	0.012	0.7388	
CEC (22)	0.3647	0.1852	0.5442	< .0001	< .0001	0.15	
Available Cd (65)	-0.4514	-0.5188	-0.384	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	-0.4514	-0.5188	-0.384	< .0001	< .0001		robust
Exchangable Cd (40)	-0.2829	-0.4458	-0.1199	< .0001	0.0007	0.0067	
	-0.2829	-0.4458	-0.1199	< .0001	0.0007		robust
yield/dry biomass (9)	0.3232	0.0746	0.5718	< .0001	0.0108	0.5926	
root (30)	-0.6216	-0.7711	-0.4721	< .0001	< .0001	0.241	
stem (13)	-0.8014	-1.0243	-0.5784	< .0001	< .0001	0.726	
leaf (14)	-1.1952	-1.4833	-0.9072	< .0001	< .0001	0.8002	
grain (45)	0.2726	-0.0863	0.6314	< .0001	0.1366	0.6791	no significant

Table S5 The heterogeneity test and publication bias results of the meta-analysis on soil-crop systems using biochar remediation agents

Factors	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> Q	<i>p</i> val	<i>p</i> E	Stability
pH (190)	0.0876	0.0721	0.1031	< .0001	< .0001	0.5856	
SOM (112)	0.2567	0.2092	0.3043	< .0001	< .0001	0.8254	
TN (5)	0.2759	0.0606	0.4912	< .0001	0.012	0.3151	
AP (10)	1.1397	0.6485	1.631	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	1.1397	0.6485	1.631	< .0001	< .0001		robust
AK (< 3)							
EC (85)	0.3567	0.263	0.4504	< .0001	< .0001	0.4607	
CEC (30)	0.3864	0.2566	0.5163	< .0001	< .0001	0.8892	
Available Cd (114)	-0.3652	-0.4308	-0.2996	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	-0.3652	-0.4308	-0.2996	< .0001	< .0001		robust
Exchangable Cd (69)	-0.2431	-0.3228	-0.1634	< .0001	< .0001	0.2546	
yield/dry biomass (54)	0.1371	0.0993	0.1749	< .0001	< .0001	0.7279	
root (85)	-0.4095	-0.4918	-0.3272	< .0001	< .0001	0.2948	
stem (10)	-0.0798	-0.2723	0.1127	< .0001	0.4164	0.173	no significant
leaf (30)	-0.433	-0.6259	-0.2401	< .0001	< .0001	0.029	
	-0.433	-0.6259	-0.2401	< .0001	< .0001		robust
grain (71)	-0.5339	-0.6195	-0.4483	< .0001	< .0001	< .0001	
	-0.3764	-0.4709	-0.2818	< .0001			robust

Table S6 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using organic remediation agents in field and pot experiments

Factors	Experiment type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	field (31)	0.066	0.041	0.091	< .0001
	pot (122)	0.0611	0.042	0.0801	< .0001
SOM	field (23)	0.2463	0.1518	0.3409	< .0001
	pot (48)	0.2055	0.1261	0.2848	< .0001
TN	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
AP	field (<3)				
	pot (8)	1.3579	0.8564	1.8593	< .0001
AK	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
EC	field (4)	0.3412	0.2366	0.4458	< .0001
	pot (68)	0.3435	0.243	0.444	< .0001
CEC	field (6)	0.3238	0.1613	0.4864	< .0001
	pot (9)	0.2413	0.1344	0.3481	< .0001
Available Cd	field (25)	-0.1104	-0.1485	-0.0723	< .0001
	pot (52)	-0.2257	-0.3407	-0.1106	0.0001
Exchangeable Cd	field (< 3)				
	pot (65)	-0.1217	-0.2589	0.0155	0.0821
yield	field (40)	0.1065	0.0682	0.1448	< .0001
	pot (20)	0.0962	0.0285	0.1638	0.0054

root	field (22)	−0.3771	−0.4942	−0.2599	< .0001
	pot (59)	−0.3648	−0.4742	−0.2554	< .0001
stem	field (< 3)				
	pot (10)	−0.0798	−0.2723	0.1127	0.4164
leaf	field (< 3)				
	pot (30)	−0.433	−0.6259	−0.2401	< .0001
grain	field (31)	−0.5424	−0.6501	−0.4347	< .0001
	pot (20)	−0.2419	−0.5079	0.024	0.0746

Table S7 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using natural remediation agents in field and pot experiments

Factors	Experiment type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p val</i>
pH	field (14)	0.0417	0.0014	0.082	0.0423
	pot (79)	0.0555	0.0352	0.0758	< .0001
SOM	field (8)	−0.0334	−0.1159	0.0492	0.4281
	pot (24)	−0.064	−0.1612	0.0332	0.1969
TN	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
AP	field (< 3)				
	pot (4)	0.2473	0.0027	0.4919	0.0475
AK	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
EC	field (< 3)				
	pot (29)	0.5185	0.3052	0.7319	< .0001
CEC	field (< 3)				
	pot (7)	0.0809	−0.0109	0.1728	0.0842
Available Cd	field (6)	−0.2348	−0.5637	0.094	0.1617
	pot (57)	−0.3857	−0.4972	−0.2742	< .0001
Exchangeable Cd	field (17)	−0.2062	−0.2658	−0.1467	< .0001
	pot (56)	−0.0551	−0.2261	0.1159	0.5277
yield	field (11)	0.0709	−0.0007	0.1426	0.0524
	pot (29)	0.224	0.0649	0.3831	0.0058

root	field (3)	−0.2221	−0.3636	−0.0807	0.0021
	pot (54)	−0.4949	−0.6323	−0.3575	< .0001
stem	field (<3)				
	pot (17)	−1.3231	−1.6842	−0.9619	< .0001
leaf	field (<3)				
	pot (12)	−1.3501	−1.5922	−1.1079	< .0001
grain	field (13)	−0.5997	−0.8219	−0.3774	< .0001
	pot (13)	−1.4264	−1.6901	−1.1628	< .0001

Table S8 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using synthetic remediation agents in field and pot experiments

Factors	Experiment type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	field (5)	−0.005	−0.019	0.009	0.4846
	pot (4)	0.0488	−0.015	0.1127	0.134
SOM	field (5)	−2.311	−2.3824	−2.2397	< .0001
	pot (< 3)				
TN	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
AP	field (5)	−0.1936	−0.2581	−0.129	< .0001
	pot (< 3)				
AK	field (5)	−0.6045	−0.8138	−0.3952	< .0001
	pot (< 3)				
EC	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
CEC	field (5)	−0.0238	−0.061	0.0134	0.2108
	pot (4)	0.0212	−0.0059	0.0483	0.1249
Available Cd	field (15)	−0.4738	−0.5828	−0.3648	< .0001
	pot (< 3)				
Exchangeable Cd	field (< 3)				
	pot (6)	−1.3645	−2.9775	0.2485	0.0973
yield	field (15)	0.0856	0.0104	0.1607	0.0256
	pot (< 3)				

root	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
stem	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
leaf	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
grain	field (15)	−0.4832	−0.5921	−0.3744	< .0001
	pot (< 3)				

Table S9 Heterogeneity experiments of soil-crop system meta-analysis were conducted using mixed remediation agents in field and pot experiments

Factors	Experiment type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	field (3)	0.004	−0.0287	0.0366	0.8112
	pot (78)	0.1397	0.1143	0.165	< .0001
SOM	field (< 3)				
	pot (45)	0.293	0.2237	0.3623	< .0001
TN	field (< 3)				
	pot (3)	0.1223	−0.0882	0.3327	0.2549
AP	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
AK	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
EC	field (< 3)				
	pot (19)	0.3052	0.067	0.5435	0.012
CEC	field (< 3)				
	pot (22)	0.3647	0.1852	0.5442	< .0001
Available Cd	field (3)	−0.0787	−0.0999	−0.0575	< .0001
	pot (62)	−0.4692	−0.5369	−0.4015	< .0001
Exchangeable Cd	field (12)	−0.3863	−0.4932	−0.2794	< .0001
	pot (28)	−0.2259	−0.459	0.0072	0.0575
yield	field (< 3)				
	pot (9)	0.3232	0.0746	0.5718	0.0108

root	field (7)	−0.8935	−1.2874	−0.4996	< .0001
	pot (23)	−0.5184	−0.6502	−0.3867	< .0001
stem	field (6)	−0.7523	−1.0878	−0.4169	< .0001
	pot (7)	−0.8498	−1.1687	−0.5309	< .0001
leaf	field (< 3)				
	pot (12)	−1.3501	−1.5922	−1.1079	< .0001
grain	field (9)	−0.5045	−0.7272	−0.2818	< .0001
	pot (36)	0.4694	0.0458	0.893	0.0299

Table S10 Heterogeneity experiment of subgroup analysis of soil-crop system using biochar

Factors	Experiment type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	field (29)	0.0692	0.0444	0.094	< .0001
	pot (161)	0.0908	0.0732	0.1084	< .0001
SOM	field (23)	0.2463	0.1518	0.3409	< .0001
	pot (89)	0.2592	0.2046	0.3139	< .0001
TN	field (< 3)				
	pot (3)	0.3411	0.3235	0.3588	< .0001
AP	field (< 3)				
	pot (8)	1.3579	0.8564	1.8593	<.0001
AK	field (< 3)				
	pot (< 3)				
EC	field (4)	0.3412	0.2366	0.4458	< .0001
	pot (81)	0.3578	0.2591	0.4565	< .0001
CEC	field (6)	0.3238	0.1613	0.4864	< .0001
	pot (24)	0.4015	0.2441	0.5589	< .0001
Available Cd	field (23)	-0.1192	-0.1533	-0.0851	< .0001
	pot (91)	-0.4272	-0.5047	-0.3496	< .0001
Exchangeable Cd	field (< 3)				
	pot (67)	-0.1642	-0.2954	-0.033	0.0142
yield	field (37)	0.1211	0.0827	0.1595	< .0001
	pot (17)	0.1888	0.0535	0.324	0.0062

root	field (21)	−0.3969	−0.513	−0.2808	< .0001
	pot (64)	−0.411	−0.5136	−0.3084	< .0001
stem	field (< 3)				
	pot (10)	−0.0798	−0.2723	0.1127	0.4164
leaf	field (< 3)				
	pot (30)	−0.433	−0.6259	−0.2401	< .0001
grain	field (28)	−0.5263	−0.6427	−0.4099	< .0001
	pot (43)	−0.5343	−0.6507	−0.4178	< .0001

Table S11 Biochar type subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems

Factors	Biochar type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	single (140)	0.0683	0.0513	0.0854	< .0001
	mixed (50)	0.1415	0.1114	0.1716	< .0001
SOM	single (71)	0.2184	0.1565	0.2804	< .0001
	mixed (41)	0.3235	0.254	0.3929	< .0001
TN	single (4)	0.2551	−0.0365	0.5467	0.0865
	mixed (< 3)				
AP	single (10)	1.1397	0.6485	1.631	< .0001
	mixed (< 3)				
AK	single (< 3)				
	mixed (< 3)				
EC	single (72)	0.3429	0.2483	0.4374	< .0001
	mixed (13)	0.4222	0.0751	0.7692	0.0171
CEC	single (15)	0.2724	0.1829	0.3619	< .0001
	mixed (15)	0.4971	0.2636	0.7306	< .0001
Available Cd	single (65)	−0.2271	−0.3153	−0.1389	<.0001
	mixed (49)	−0.5506	−0.6201	−0.4811	<.0001
Exchangeable Cd	single (61)	−0.2293	−0.3164	−0.1422	<.0001
	mixed (8)	−0.3817	−0.493	−0.2704	< .0001
yield	single (49)	0.1243	0.0951	0.1534	< .0001
	mixed (5)	0.4862	0.0711	0.9013	0.0217

root	single (76)	−0.3942	−0.4831	−0.3053	< .0001
	mixed (9)	−0.536	−0.7334	−0.3386	< .0001
stem	single (10)	−0.0798	−0.2723	0.1127	0.4164
	mixed (< 3)				
leaf	single (30)	−0.433	−0.6259	−0.2401	< .0001
	mixed (< 3)				
grain	single (43)	−0.4817	−0.6056	−0.3578	< .0001
	mixed (26)	−0.631	−0.7202	−0.5418	< .0001

Table S12 Biochar feedstock subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems

Factors	Feedstock type (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		p val
pH	straw (64)	0.1367	0.1087	0.1647	< .0001
	manure (9)	0.0194	−0.0237	0.0625	0.3776
	wood (30)	0.041	0.0184	0.0637	0.0004
	rice hull (7)	0.0255	0.003	0.0479	0.0266
	bamboo (7)	0.0263	−0.0038	0.0563	0.0865
	sludge (7)	0.1956	0.0807	0.3105	0.0008
	other (28)	0.0853	0.0378	0.1328	0.0004
SOM	straw (58)	0.2357	0.1911	0.2803	< .0001
	wood (10)	0.3908	0.1511	0.6305	0.0014
	other (12)	0.4128	0.3379	0.4877	< .0001
TN					
AP	manure (8)	1.3579	0.8564	1.8593	<.0001
AK					
EC	straw (26)	0.3233	0.1783	0.4682	< .0001
	manure (8)	1.0436	0.8176	1.2696	< .0001
	wood (17)	0.137	0.063	0.211	0.0003
	bamboo (5)	0.1433	−0.1516	0.4381	0.341
	other (14)	0.2531	0.1586	0.3476	< .0001
CEC	straw (13)	0.2201	0.1175	0.3228	< .0001
	other (5)	0.3316	0.2371	0.4261	< .0001
Available Cd	straw (40)	−0.4438	−0.5376	−0.35	< .0001

	manure (11)	−0.3127	−0.4316	−0.1938	< .0001
	wood (18)	−0.0201	−0.11	0.0699	0.662
	rice hull (3)	−0.8805	−1.6781	−0.083	0.0305
	other (11)	−0.3186	−0.444	−0.1931	< .0001
Exchangeable Cd	straw (30)	−0.1698	−0.2315	−0.1081	< .0001
	wood (7)	−0.0163	−0.054	0.0215	0.3987
	bamboo (18)	−0.5345	−0.7557	−0.3133	< .0001
	other (5)	−0.0733	−0.0953	−0.0513	< .0001
yield	straw (25)	0.1083	0.0693	0.1473	< .0001
	wood (3)	0.1109	0.073	0.1488	< .0001
	sludge (6)	0.1606	0.1146	0.2066	< .0001
	other (5)	0.2239	0.0833	0.3645	0.0018
root	straw (14)	−0.5906	−0.7139	−0.4674	< .0001
	manure (9)	−0.7795	−1.22	−0.339	0.0005
	wood (14)	−0.1137	−0.2034	−0.024	0.013
	bamboo (13)	−0.4117	−0.5567	−0.2667	< .0001
	other (20)	−0.3184	−0.4532	−0.1836	< .0001
stem	other (7)	−0.0448	−0.2391	0.1495	0.6512
leaf	wood (4)	−0.098	−0.2747	0.0786	0.2766
	sludge (6)	−0.4548	−0.8079	−0.1017	0.0116
	other (13)	−0.1929	−0.2732	−0.1125	< .0001
grain	straw (47)	−0.5668	−0.634	−0.4997	< .0001
	wood (7)	−0.717	−0.9647	−0.4694	< .0001

other (3)	−0.2591	−0.4428	−0.0754	0.0057
------------------	---------	---------	---------	--------

Table S13 Biochar pH subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems

Factors	Biochar pH range (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		p val
pH	< 8 (16)	0.0222	−0.0335	0.078	0.4347
	8–10 (56)	0.0645	0.0416	0.0874	< .0001
	> 10 (69)	0.1268	0.1015	0.1522	< .0001
SOM	< 8 (4)	0.0687	−0.0116	0.149	0.0934
	8–10 (25)	0.3024	0.1911	0.4136	< .0001
	> 10 (56)	0.2178	0.1577	0.2779	< .0001
TN					
AP	< 8 (< 3)				
	8–10 (< 3)				
	> 10 (8)	1.3579	0.8564	1.8593	< .0001
AK					
EC	< 8 (3)	0.2041	0.0902	0.318	0.0004
	8–10 (29)	0.3278	0.1987	0.457	< .0001
	> 10 (21)	0.5818	0.3639	0.7998	< .0001
CEC	< 8 (< 3)				
	8–10 (8)	0.2381	0.0786	0.3975	0.0034
	> 10 (3)	0.3876	0.2574	0.5179	< .0001
Available Cd	< 8 (7)	−0.6416	−1.2463	−0.0369	0.0376
	8–10 (23)	−0.1556	−0.2706	−0.0407	0.008
	> 10 (52)	−0.3889	−0.4612	−0.3166	< .0001

Exchangeable Cd	< 8 (9)	-0.0715	-0.1516	0.0087	0.0806
	8–10 (6)	-0.0005	-0.0197	0.0186	0.9565
	> 10 (10)	-0.1226	-0.16	-0.0853	< .0001
yield	< 8 (6)	0.1208	0.0922	0.1493	< .0001
	8–10 (7)	0.1998	0.1554	0.2441	< .0001
	> 10 (34)	0.1163	0.0762	0.1564	< .0001
root	< 8 (< 3)				
	8–10 (26)	-0.3872	-0.5122	-0.2623	< .0001
	> 10 (24)	-0.5626	-0.7655	-0.3598	< .0001
stem	< 8 (< 3)				
	8–10 (7)	0.0781	-0.0262	0.1824	0.142
	> 10 (< 3)				
leaf	< 8 (6)	-0.1752	-0.2826	-0.0678	0.0014
	8–10 (16)	-0.3882	-0.5794	-0.197	< .0001
	> 10 (6)	-0.8186	-1.5129	-0.1243	0.0208
grain	< 8 (3)	0.4693	0.3451	0.5936	< .0001
	8–10 (6)	-0.7706	-1.0918	-0.4495	< .0001
	> 10 (47)	-0.5447	-0.6123	-0.4772	< .0001

Table S14 Biochar temperature subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems

Factors	Temperature (°C, n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	300–400 (20)	0.0304	–0.0295	0.0903	0.9952
	401–500 (55)	0.132	0.1005	0.1636	< .0001
	501–600 (19)	0.0818	0.0467	0.1169	< .0001
	> 600 (8)	0.0179	–0.0308	0.0666	0.4712
SOM	300–400 (15)	0.344	0.2165	0.4715	< .0001
	401–500 (44)	0.2642	0.2194	0.3089	< .0001
	501–600 (4)	0.3055	–0.2029	0.8139	0.2388
TN					
AP	> 600 (8)	1.3579	0.8564	1.8593	< .0001
AK					
EC	300–400 (15)	0.1759	0.1085	0.2434	< .0001
	401–500 (10)	0.5327	0.2363	0.8291	0.0004
	501–600 (6)	0.396	0.2554	0.5366	< .0001
	> 600 (10)	0.8898	0.6155	1.164	< .0001
CEC	401–500 (5)	0.2294	0.0271	0.4316	0.0262
	501–600 (3)	0.2573	0.2152	0.2995	< .0001
	> 600 (< 3)				
Available Cd	300–400 (8)	–0.2867	–0.4322	–0.1412	0.0001
	401–500 (44)	–0.3574	–0.437	–0.2777	< .0001
	501–600 (7)	0.1344	0.0242	0.2446	0.0168
	> 600 (8)	–0.3649	–0.4997	–0.2301	< .0001

Exchangeable Cd	300–400 (8)	–0.0502	–0.0821	–0.0184	0.002
	401–500 (11)	–0.0544	–0.0731	–0.0358	< .0001
	501–600 (23)	–0.4512	–0.6413	–0.2611	< .0001
	> 600 (< 3)				
yield	401–500 (34)	0.1163	0.0762	0.1564	< .0001
root	300–400 (10)	–0.2843	–0.3856	–0.183	< .0001
	401–500 (24)	–0.3932	–0.548	–0.2384	< .0001
	501–600 (14)	–0.4029	–0.5348	–0.2711	< .0001
	> 600 (8)	–0.8503	–1.3241	–0.3765	0.0004
stem	401–500 (4)	–0.4317	–0.9332	0.0698	0.0916
leaf	300–400 (6)	–0.3051	–0.4074	–0.2028	< .0001
	401–500 (4)	–0.7616	–1.8128	0.2896	0.1556
grain	300–400 (12)	–0.6465	–0.8182	–0.4747	< .0001
	401–500 (51)	–0.4924	–0.5915	–0.3934	< .0001
	501–600 (3)	–0.1763	–0.2607	–0.0918	< .0001

Table S15 Biochar dosage subgroup analysis in soil-crop systems

Factors	dosage (n)	Effect size	CI 95%		<i>p</i> val
pH	< 20 (18)	0.0674	0.0352	0.0996	< .0001
	20–40 (10)	0.071	0.0258	0.1162	0.0021
	< 1.0% (72)	0.0561	0.0354	0.0768	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (58)	0.1194	0.0839	0.1549	< .0001
	> 3.0% (31)	0.1189	0.086	0.1518	< .0001
SOM	< 20 (16)	0.1626	0.0563	0.2689	0.0027
	20–40 (6)	0.4309	0.3601	0.5017	< .0001
	< 1.0% (43)	0.2396	0.1691	0.3101	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (37)	0.2568	0.1762	0.3373	< .0001
	> 3.0% (9)	0.3569	0.0879	0.626	0.0093
TN	1.0%–3.0% (3)	0.3411	0.3235	0.3588	< .0001
AP	1.0%–3.0% (4)	1.9734	1.6832	2.2636	< .0001
EC	< 20 (4)	0.3412	0.2366	0.4458	< .0001
	< 1.0% (39)	0.2962	0.1598	0.4327	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (32)	0.3281	0.1775	0.4786	< .0001
	> 3.0% (10)	0.7425	0.4293	1.0557	< .0001
CEC	< 20 (6)	0.3238	0.1613	0.4864	< .0001
	< 1.0% (13)	0.5835	0.3356	0.8314	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (6)	0.2217	0.1341	0.3094	< .0001
	> 3.0% (5)	0.1466	0.043	0.2502	0.0056

Available Cd	< 20 (14)	−0.1184	−0.1661	−0.0707	< .0001
	20–40 (9)	−0.1214	−0.1739	−0.069	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (38)	−0.4427	−0.5795	−0.3059	< .0001
	> 3.0% (7)	−0.7463	−0.9913	−0.5013	< .0001
Exchangeable Cd	< 1.0% (15)	−0.2643	−0.391	−0.1376	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (44)	−0.2253	−0.3396	−0.111	0.0001
	> 3.0% (8)	−0.2741	−0.4395	−0.1086	0.0012
yield	< 20 (24)	0.1038	0.0488	0.1587	0.0002
	20–40 (13)	0.146	0.1001	0.192	< .0001
	< 1.0% (9)	0.2775	0.0173	0.5378	0.0366
	1.0%–3.0% (4)	0.1331	0.0914	0.1748	< .0001
	> 3.0% (3)	0.1774	0.1205	0.2343	< .0001
root	< 20 (16)	−0.3584	−0.4887	−0.2281	< .0001
	20–40 (5)	−0.5307	−0.7769	−0.2844	< .0001
	< 1.0% (35)	−0.3351	−0.4373	−0.233	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (25)	−0.4611	−0.6594	−0.2628	< .0001
	> 3.0% (4)	−0.8669	−1.4325	−0.3012	0.0027
stem	< 1.0% (6)	−0.0327	−0.2328	0.1675	0.7491
	> 3.0% (4)	−0.4317	−0.9332	0.0698	0.0916
leaf	< 1.0% (17)	−0.1769	−0.2418	−0.1119	< .0001
	1.0%–3.0% (4)	−0.4654	−0.7698	−0.161	0.0027
	> 3.0% (9)	−0.9342	−1.5166	−0.3517	0.0017
grain	< 20 (18)	−0.5188	−0.7077	−0.3299	< .0001

20–40 (10)	–0.5571	–0.6798	–0.4344	< .0001
< 1.0% (11)	–0.5001	–0.6304	–0.3698	< .0001
1.0%–3.0% (24)	–0.5705	–0.7012	–0.4398	< .0001
> 3.0% (8)	–0.4867	–0.9594	–0.014	0.0436
