

Nonmonotonic propositional logic

Wei LI, Yuefei SUI, Yuhui WANG

Frontiers of Computer Science, DOI: [10.1007/s11704-020-7104-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-020-7104-x)

Monotonic Gentzen deduction system

A sequent $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is valid, denoted by $\models_{\mathbf{G}^1} \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$, if for any assignment v , $v \models \Gamma$ implies $v \models \Delta$, where $v \models \Gamma$ if for every $A \in \Gamma$, $v(A) = 1$; and $v \models \Delta$ if for some $B \in \Delta$, $v(B) = 1$.

There is a monotonic, sound and complete Gentzen deduction system \mathbf{G}^a for sequents.

Monotonic Gentzen deduction system

Gentzen deduction system \mathbf{G}^a consists of the following axioms and deduction rules:

- Axioms:

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\Rightarrow}) \frac{\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \text{incon}(\Gamma) \text{ or } \text{incon}(\Delta)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta},$$

where Δ, Γ are sets of literals.

- Deduction rules:

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\neg^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg\neg A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \wedge_1^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \wedge_2^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \vee^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \vee A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\wedge^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\vee_1^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_1 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \vee A_2) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\vee_2^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_2 \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \vee A_2) \Rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\neg^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg\neg B_1, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \wedge^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \vee_1^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \vee_2^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow B_1 \vee B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\wedge_1^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg B_1, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg(B_1 \wedge B_2), \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\wedge_2^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg(B_1 \wedge B_2), \Delta}$$

$$(\Rightarrow \neg\vee^R) \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg B_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow \neg B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg(B_1 \vee B_2), \Delta}$$

Nonmonotonic Gentzen deduction system

A co-sequent $\Gamma \mapsto \Delta$ is valid, denoted by $\models_{\mathbf{G}_1} \Gamma \mapsto \Delta$, if there is an assignment v such that $v \models \Gamma$ and $v \not\models \Delta$, where $v \models \Gamma$ if for every $A \in \Gamma, v(A) = 1$; and $v \not\models \Delta$ if for every $B \in \Delta, v(B) = 0$.

There is a nonmonotonic, sound and complete Gentzen deduction system \mathbf{G}_a for co-sequents.

Nonmonotonic Gentzen deduction system

Gentzen deduction system \mathbf{G}_a consists of the following axioms and deduction rules:

- Axioms:

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\mapsto}) \frac{\Gamma \cap \Delta = \emptyset \& \text{con}(\Gamma) \& \text{con}(\Delta)}{\Gamma \mapsto \Delta},$$

where Δ, Γ are sets of literals.

- Deduction rules:

$$(\mapsto \neg\neg^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg\neg A_1 \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \wedge^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \mapsto \Delta \quad \Gamma, A_2 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \vee_1^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_1 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \vee A_2 \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \vee_2^L) \frac{\Gamma, A_2 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, A_1 \vee A_2 \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\wedge_1^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_1 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2) \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\wedge_2^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_2 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2) \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\vee^L) \frac{\Gamma, \neg A_1 \mapsto \Delta \quad \Gamma, \neg A_2 \mapsto \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg(A_1 \vee A_2) \mapsto \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\neg^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto \neg\neg B_1, \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \wedge_1^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto B_1, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto B_1 \wedge B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \wedge_2^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto B_1 \wedge B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \vee^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto B_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma \mapsto B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto B_1 \vee B_2, \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\wedge^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto \neg B_1, \Delta \quad \Gamma \mapsto \neg B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto \neg(B_1 \wedge B_2), \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\vee_1^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto \neg B_1, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto \neg(B_1 \vee B_2), \Delta}$$

$$(\mapsto \neg\vee_2^R) \frac{\Gamma \mapsto \neg B_2, \Delta}{\Gamma \mapsto \neg(B_1 \vee B_2), \Delta}$$

Other definitions: sequents

A sequent $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ is valid if for any assignment v , $v \models \Gamma$ implies $v \models \Delta$, where $v \models \Gamma$ if for every $A \in \Gamma$, $v(A) = 1$; and $v \models \Delta$ if $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 1 \\ \text{for some } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 0 \\ \text{for every } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 0; \end{array} \right.$

There are sound and complete Gentzen deduction systems $\mathbf{G}^b, \mathbf{G}^c, \mathbf{G}^d$ for sequents.

definition	system
$\forall v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \Rightarrow \forall B \in \Delta(v(B) = 1))$	\mathbf{G}^b
$\forall v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \Rightarrow \exists B \in \Delta(v(B) = 0))$	\mathbf{G}^c
$\forall v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \Rightarrow \forall B \in \Delta(v(B) = 0))$	\mathbf{G}^d ;

Other definitions: co-sequents

- A co-sequent $\Gamma \mapsto \Delta$ is valid if there is an assignment v such that $v \models \Gamma$ and $v \models \Delta$, where $v \models \Gamma$ if for every $A \in \Gamma, v(A) = 1$; and $v \models \Delta$ if

$$\begin{cases} \text{for some } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 0 \\ \text{for every } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 1 \\ \text{for some } B \in \Delta, v(B) = 1. \end{cases}$$

There are sound and complete Gentzen deduction systems $\mathbf{G}_b, \mathbf{G}_c, \mathbf{G}_d$ for co-sequents.

definition	system
$\exists v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \& \exists B \in \Delta(v(B) = 0))$	\mathbf{G}_b
$\exists v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \& \forall B \in \Delta(v(B) = 1))$	\mathbf{G}_c
$\exists v(\forall A \in \Gamma(v(A) = 1) \& \exists B \in \Delta(v(B) = 1))$	\mathbf{G}_d .

Monotonicity

- (1) $\mathbf{G}^a, \mathbf{G}^b, \mathbf{G}^c, \mathbf{G}^d$ are monotonic in Γ ;
- (2) $\mathbf{G}_a, \mathbf{G}_b, \mathbf{G}_c, \mathbf{G}_d$ are nonmonotonic in Γ ;
- (3) $\mathbf{G}_a, \mathbf{G}^b, \mathbf{G}_c, \mathbf{G}^d$ are monotonic in Δ ;
- (4) $\mathbf{G}^a, \mathbf{G}_b, \mathbf{G}^c, \mathbf{G}_d$ are nonmonotonic in Δ .

Axioms

system	precondition	mono in Γ	mono in Δ
\mathbf{G}^a	$\Gamma \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ or $\text{incon}(\Gamma)$ or $\text{incon}(\Delta)$	Y	Y
\mathbf{G}_a	$\Gamma \cap \Delta = \emptyset \& \text{con}(\Gamma) \& \text{con}(\Delta)$	N	N
\mathbf{G}^b	$\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ or $\text{incon}(\Gamma)$	Y	N
\mathbf{G}_b	$\Delta \not\subseteq \Gamma \& \text{con}(\Gamma)$	N	Y
\mathbf{G}^c	$\Gamma \cap \neg\Delta \neq \emptyset$ or $\text{incon}(\Gamma)$ or $\text{incon}(\neg\Delta)$	Y	Y
\mathbf{G}_c	$\Gamma \cap \neg\Delta = \emptyset \& \text{con}(\Gamma) \& \text{con}(\Delta)$	N	N
\mathbf{G}^d	$\neg\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ or $\text{incon}(\Gamma)$	Y	N
\mathbf{G}_d	$\neg\Delta \not\subseteq \Gamma \& \text{con}(\Gamma)$	N	Y

References

- [1] Takeuti G. Proof Theory [M]. Dove Books on Mathematics, 1977.
- [2] Reiter R. A logic for default reasoning [J]. Artificial Intelligence, 1980, 13: 81-132.
- [3] Antoniou G. A tutorial on default logics [J]. ACM Computing Surveys, 1999, 31(4):337-359.
- [4] Li W. R-calculus: an inference system for belief revision [J]. The Computer Journal, 2007, 50:378-390.
- [5] Ginsberg M L(ed.). Readings in Nonmonotonic Reasoning [M]. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, 1987.
- [6] Cao C, Sui Y, Wang Y. The nonmonotonic propositional logics [J]. Artificial Intelligence Research, 2016, 5:111-120.
- [7] Li W. Mathematical Logic, Foundations for Information Science [M]. Progress in Computer Science and Applied Logic, vol.25, Birkhäuser, 2010