

A review of research progress on continuous cropping obstacles

Kunguang WANG¹, Qiaofang LU¹, Zhechao DOU¹, Zhiguang CHI¹, Dongming CUI¹, Jing MA¹, Guowei WANG², Jialing KUANG³, Nanqi WANG¹, Yuanmei ZUO¹ (✉)

1 College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Nutrient Use and Management, National Academy of Agriculture Green Development, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China.

2 College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, South-west Agricultural University, Chongqing 400715, China.

3 Yunnan ICL YTH Phosphate Research and Technology Co., Ltd., Kunming 650228, China.

Received July 6, 2023;

Accepted January 2, 2024.

Correspondence: zuoym@cau.edu.cn

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Higher Education Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Supplemental materials




Fig. S1 PRISMA 2023 meta-analysis flow. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) diagram shows the study selection process for this meta-analyses.




Fig. S2 Effects of continuous cropping on crop yield across different studies. Control, the initial planting year of each study; Experimental, the continuous cropping year of each study. The red diamond with a dashed line indicates the average effect size of continuous cropping on yield across all studies. Error bars represent 95% of CIs. If a 95% CI did not overlap zero, the effect of continuous cropping on the variable was considered significant.