Transcriptomic basis of neutrophil ratio variation induced by poly I:C stimulation in porcine peripheral blood
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Abstract
Neutrophils are vital components of defense mechanisms against invading pathogens and are closely linked with the individual antiviral capacity of pigs and other mammals. Neutrophilia is a well-known clinical characteristic of viral and bacterial infections. Using Affymetrix porcine genome microarrays, we investigated the gene expression profiles associated with neutrophil variation in porcine peripheral blood before and after polyriboinosinic-polyribocytidylic acid stimulation. Transcriptomic analysis showed 796 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in extreme response (ER) pigs and 192 DEGs in moderate response (MR) pigs. Most DEGs were related to immune responses, included MXD1, CXCR4, CREG1, MyD88, CD14, TLR2, TLR4, IRF3 and IRF7. Gene ontology analysis indicated that the DEGs of both ER and MR pigs were involved in common biological processes, such as cell proliferation, growth regulation, immune response, inflammatory response and cell activation. The ER and MR groups also showed differences in DEGs involved in biological processes. DEGs involved in cell division and cell cycle were specifically found in the ER pigs, whereas DEGs involved in cell migration were specifically found in the MR pigs. The study provides a basic understanding of the molecular basis for the antiviral capacity of pigs and other mammals.
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1 Introduction
The pig industry is still facing the threat of infectious diseases at present, which have impacted seriously on animal health and brought huge economic losses. Therefore, genetic improvement of the disease resistance of pigs should be enhanced. Some phenotypic immune traits have been used to identify disease resistance[1], therefore, analysis of the transcriptome and the phenotypic immune traits to identify individual difference in pigs could be used to investigate the genetic basis of antiviral capacity and disease resistance.

Polymorphonuclear neutrophilic granulocytes, also known as PMNs or neutrophils, are the most abundant population of white blood cells. Neutrophils are characterized by a multi-lobed nucleus and numerous cytoplasmic granules[2]. Neutrophils, which are derived from stem cells in the bone marrow, proliferate and differentiate into mature neutrophils equipped with many antimicrobial granules[3]. Neutrophils provide effective defense mechanisms by performing phagocytosis, producing reactive oxygen intermediates and releasing antimicrobial granules[4]. Under normal conditions, most neutrophils are localized in the bone marrow. Once infection occurs, neutrophils rapidly mobilize into the blood, and migrate to infection sites to fight against bacterial and fungal pathogens[2,5]. Consequently, chronic neutrophilia is mainly associated with autoimmune disease and immunodeficiency syndromes including X-linked agammaglobulinemia, hyper IgM syndrome, common variable immunodeficiency and IgA deficiency[6,7]. However, neutrophilia is also a well-known clinical characteristic of infections (e.g., bacteria, fungi, parasites and viruses), stress, acute inflammation (e.g., from asthma, burns, glomerulonephritis and gout), poisoning (e.g., arsphenamine, camphor, insect venoms, mercury, phenacetin, pyrogallol, quinidine and turpentine), acute hemorrhage (e.g., in intracranial, joint, peritoneal, and pleural cavities), and neoplasms and blood malignancies (e.g., chronic myelocytic leukemia, myelofibrosis, myeloid metaplasia and polycythemia vera)[8–15]. Therefore, neutrophils, the
key components of innate immunity and the first line of defense against invading pathogens, can be a representa-
tive immune trait in the study of disease resistance. Several
studies have indicated that neutrophils increase during
infection and inflammation in pigs\textsuperscript{[16–18]}, but the molecular
mechanism by which genes regulate this response remains
unclear.

Polyriboinosinic-polyribocytidylic acid (poly I:C), a
synthetic analog of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)
viruses, can trigger immune responses similar to those
activated by real viral dsRNAs\textsuperscript{[19–21]}. Thus, poly I:C is
commonly used to simulate viral infections for
scientific research on the immune system and antiviral
capacity\textsuperscript{[22–24]}. Previous studies have reported that poly I:C induces
neutrophilia in mice and fish. The numbers of neutrophils
detected was significantly increased in the lung and liver of
mice by treatment with poly I:C\textsuperscript{[25]} and the neutrophil
count in blood was significantly increased in fish after poly
I:C stimulation\textsuperscript{[26,27]}. Despite its frequent use, detailed
studies of neutrophil responses and transcriptomic altera-
tions in response to poly I:C administration in pigs are
lacking. In the present study, we employed poly I:C to
simulate viral infection in pigs, and investigated the
neutrophil responses and transcriptomic alterations in
porcine peripheral blood with large and small variations
of neutrophil ratio before and after poly I:C stimulation.
The aim of this study was to gain insights into the
transcriptome basis of varied neutrophil ratio in pigs and
enrich understanding of the molecular basis for the
antiviral capacity of pigs.

\section{Materials and methods}

\subsection{Animals and stimulation}

The experimental animals comprised 393 pigs from a
Duroc-Erhualian F\textsubscript{2} population, including 216 females and
177 males, which were derived from 51 F\textsubscript{1} and 26 F\textsubscript{0}
parents. The F\textsubscript{2} offspring were the F\textsubscript{1} sow’s first litters and the pedigree was recorded in detail. All piglets were bred
under the same forage and feeding management condi-
tions. At the age of 35 days, the piglets were intravenously
injected with poly I:C (CAS Registry Number 24939-03-5,
Hangzhou Meiya Pharmacy Co., Ltd., No. 85, Sandun
Road, Gongshu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China) at a
dose of 0.5 mg·kg\textsuperscript{-1}. Blood samples were separately
collected from each individual by jugular venipuncture on
day 33 as unstimulated controls and at 4 h after poly I:C
stimulation on day 35\textsuperscript{[22]}. All disposals of the experimental
animals were approved by the Scientific Ethic Committee
of Huazhong Agriculture University (HZAUSW-2013-
014).

Neutrophil counts and ratios were measured with a
hematology analyzer (MEK-8222K 22, Nihon Kohden). In
most of the experimental pigs, neutrophil ratios in the
whole blood increased after poly I:C stimulation. Three
pigs with the maximum increases in neutrophil ratios were
selected as extreme response (ER) pigs and another three
pigs with the minimum increases in neutrophil ratios were
considered as moderate response (MR) pigs.

\subsection{RNA extraction and microarray hybridization}

Microarray hybridization was conducted with total RNA
from the six selected pigs. Total RNA was extracted from
peripheral blood samples using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen,
Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and treated
with RNase-free DNase I (MBI Fermentas, Thermo Fisher
Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The 12 RNA samples, for
microarray hybridization were extracted from the selected
piglets on days 33 and 35. The gene expression in each
sample was identified by Porcine Genome Arrays
(Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). RNA labeling and
hybridization were performed by a commercial Affymetrix
array service (GeneTech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai,
China).

\subsection{Microarray data analysis and functional annotation}

Microarray data were deposited in the ArrayExpress
repository (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) and can be
accessed with the accession number E-MTAB-4928\textsuperscript{[28]}. All raw microarray data sets (CEL files) were normalized by the Robust Multichip Average method of the Biocon-
ductor AFFY package\textsuperscript{[29]} in the R environment. Differential
expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using the
tool Linear Models For Microarray Data (LIMMA)\textsuperscript{[30]}
with an empirical Bayes method. The DEGs were
considered statistically significant for \( P < 0.05 \) and an
absolute value of logFC \( > 1.0 \). Two-way hierarchical
clustering analysis was performed with all the identified
DEGs.

Probe sets on the Affymetrix Porcine GeneChip were
annotated with the annotation file obtained from Affyme-
trix (www.affymetrix.com/support/technical/annotationfi-
lesmain.affx). Lists of DEGs were submitted to the
DAVID Functional Annotation Bioinformatics Microarray
Analysis website v6.7\textsuperscript{[31]} to annotate gene functions,
including Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Kyoto Ency-
clopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways. In the
functional enrichment analysis, \( P < 0.05 \) were considered
statistically significant. The lists of Affymetrix probe sets
were also uploaded into VENNY\textsuperscript{[32]} to illuminate the
relationships of all DEGs.

\subsection{Quantitative Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction for
validation of DEGs}

The results of microarray analysis were validated via
quantitative Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction
(qRT-PCR). The qRT-PCR reaction system included 1/80 μg RNA from each sample, 0.4 μL primers, 2 μL template cDNA and 7.2 μL H₂O. The qRT-PCR reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, annealing at 60°C for 20 s and 39 cycles of 72°C for 15 s. The primers were designed with OLiGO 7 software (Molecular Biology Insights, Colorado Springs, CO, USA), and the list of primers for qRT-PCR is shown in Table S1. GAPDH was selected as the housekeeping gene for normalization. Relative quantification analysis was performed through calculations using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ value method. Comparisons between qRT-PCR data sets were conducted by Student’s $t$-test. Statistical significance was considered at $P < 0.05$.

3 Results

3.1 Phenotypic properties of neutrophil ratio

Most of the neutrophil ratios for all 393 pigs, with two exceptions, clearly increased after poly I:C stimulation. With the two tails of normal distribution as the basis for selection, three pigs with the maximum increases in neutrophil ratio were selected as the ER group and another three pigs with the minimum increases in neutrophil ratio were selected as the MR group. The difference in the average values between the two groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.01$) before and after poly I:C stimulation. The mean increase in neutrophil ratio was 51.33% (SD = 1.89) in the MR group and 13.03% (SD = 1.89) in the ER group (Fig. 1). The maximum difference in neutrophil ratio in the ER pigs (58.4%) was over 5-fold greater than the minimum difference in the MR pigs (11.4%) before and after treatments. These results indicated that the increase in neutrophil ratio induced by dsRNA (poly I:C) was highly variable in the experimental pig population.

3.2 Differentially expressed genes identification

DEGs after poly I:C treatment in each group were detected using LIMMA. After poly I:C stimulation, 796 DEGs were identified in the ER pigs ($P < 0.05$) (Table S2), whereas 192 DEGs were identified in the MR pigs ($P < 0.05$), with the fold changes ranging from −3.19 to 4.24 and −3.34 to 3.01, respectively (Table S3). Up to 561 genes were downregulated and the remaining 235 genes were upregulated among the DEGs in the ER group. The expression of 132 genes decreased after stimulation and the expression of 60 genes increased in the MR group. According to the results, the number of DEGs was higher in the ER group than the MR group, and both ER and MR piglets had more downregulated genes than upregulated genes.

3.3 Transcriptome clustering and Venn diagram for differentially expressed genes

An unsupervised two-way hierarchical clustering analysis was performed to understand further the transcriptional effect of the poly I:C treatment in all samples. The results showed that all the samples could be clearly classified into one of two major clusters: poly I:C treatment and non-treatment clusters (Fig. 2).

A Venn diagram was generated to display the overlapping or unique members of DEGs between the classifiers. As shown in Fig. 3, the MR and ER groups shared 34 upregulated DEGs and 40 downregulated DEGs in common. Notable upregulated DEGs in the two groups included CSF1, CXCL16, C3, PTK2B, STOM and IL1RN, and the downregulated DEGs in the two groups included CCL8 and PIK3R1. These genes are associated with immune response, which suggested some common immune responses in the ER and MR pigs had been activated by poly I:C stimulation. The Venn diagram (Fig. 3) also showed differences between MR and ER pigs. In the ER group 199 DEGs were upregulated and 521 DEGs were downregulated. In the MR pigs, 26 upregulated DEGs and 90 downregulated DEGs did not overlap with other categories. The results indicated that more genes were downregulated in response to poly I:C stimulation. Two genes, CD14 and block of proliferation 1 (BOP1), were upregulated in the ER group but downregulated in the MR group. CD14 and BOP1 respectively have important roles in the cell recruitment and cell cycle. Therefore, we speculated that the different expression of the two genes could indicate that the neutrophil ratio in the ER and MR pigs responded differently of poly I:C stimulation.

![Fig. 1](image-url) Increase in neutrophil ratio induced by polyriboinosinic-polyribocytidylic acid. The black and white bars indicate the average increase in neutrophil ratio in the extreme and moderate response pigs (ER and MR) after poly I:C stimulation, respectively. The difference between two groups of pigs was significant ($P < 0.01$).
3.4 Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR analyses

Some genes were selected for qRT-PCR analysis with the same RNA samples used for Affymetrix microarray hybridization to validate the reliability of the microarray data. The selected genes included CD14, CXCR4, STAT5A, STOM, TLR4 and HOPX in the ER group and CD14, HOPX, STOM, CXCL16, BOP1, cellular repressor of E1A-stimulated genes (CREG1), C3, PTK2B, RETN, and PIK3R1 in the MR group. The results of qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 4) indicated that all detected genes had the same expression trends as in the microarray analysis. In the ER group, only CD14 did not reach a significant level in qRT-PCR. In the MR group, eight genes were significant by qRT-PCR except for CD14 and HOPX. To summarize, the qRT-PCR results validated the reliability of the microarray data.

3.5 Comparative functional annotation of differentially expressed genes

GO annotation provides ontologies for biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions by DAVID. The GO annotation showed that the ER group had more terms than the MR group regardless of biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions, which indicated that the ER pigs reacted more intensely than the MR pigs to poly I:C treatment. Furthermore, the number of terms for biological processes in both groups was more than that for cellular components and molecular functions.

GO annotation showed that the ER group had 113 terms associated with biological processes, whereas the MR
This suggests that the dsRNA-induced responses were highly variable between the ER and MR groups. The two groups only had nine terms of biological processes in common. These terms included cell proliferation, growth regulation, immune response and inflammatory response. Given the common terms of the biological processes, DEGs were more involved in the ER group than in the MR group.

In terms of biological processes, the DEGs of both the ER and MR groups participated in cell proliferation, growth regulation, immune response and inflammatory response, but more DEGs in the ER group were involved in exocytosis, negative regulation of cell death, cell division and M phase of the mitotic cell cycle (Fig. 5a). These findings revealed that, regardless of neutrophil ratio, the immune response was activated via common and essential biological processes at an early stage of viral invasion.

We further annotated biological processes associated with the DEGs according to the Venn diagram categories (Table S4) to compare the differences between the MR and ER groups. The terms were considered significant when \( P < 0.05 \) and if the count of DEGs was more than five. We found that the 199 upregulated DEGs in the ER group were involved in biological processes related to immune response, inflammatory response, regulation of innate immune response, cell activation, regulation of cell death and negative regulation of cell death. The 26 upregulated DEGs in the MR group, on the other hand, were only related to peptide cross-linking and transforming growth factor beta receptor signaling pathway. Furthermore, the 521 downregulated DEGs in the ER group were mainly involved in the biological processes of cell division, M phase of mitotic cell cycle, nuclear division, organelle fission and chromosome segregation, whereas the 90 downregulated DEGs in the MR group were related to responses to oxygen levels, macromolecule catabolic process and protein catabolic process. From these findings, we inferred that the predominance of downregulation may be mostly attributed to increased neutrophil ratio induced by poly I:C, and that some upregulated DEGs may be involved in normal immune response after poly I:C stimulation. In the ER group, the biological processes related to regulation of cell death and negative regulation of apoptosis were found simultaneously, which suggests that the neutrophils increased after poly I:C stimulation.

DEGs of the MR group were associated with terms for cellular components, such as intracellular organelle lumen, membrane-enclosed lumen, organelle lumen and nuclear lumen. DEGs of the MR group were also associated with terms for cellular components, such as extracellular space, extracellular region part, and extracellular region (Fig. 5b). The two groups had no common terms for molecular functions. Compared with the MR group, the ER group presented more genes involved in kinase binding, protein kinase binding, unfolded protein binding and inorganic cation transmembrane transporter activity (Fig. 5c).

The DEGs were further classified according to the KEGG pathway annotation (Fig. 5d). No common pathways were identified between the ER and MR groups, suggesting that the ER and MR pigs had very different responses to poly I:C stimulation. The DEGs in the ER group were involved in the chemokine signaling pathway, oxidative phosphorylation, insulin signaling pathway and toll-like receptor signaling pathway. The MR group had only a few DEGs related to pathway terms, such as the complement and coagulation cascades and lysosome and fatty acid elongation in the mitochondria. On the basis of these differential annotation results, we hypothesized that the ER pigs exhibit less immune capacity against viral infections than the MR pigs.
Fig. 5 Comparative functional annotation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). DEGs were grouped according to their putative functions. (a) Comparison of distribution of the DEGs by Gene Ontology (GO) biological process induced by poly I:C treatment in the extreme and moderate response pigs (ER and MR); (b) comparison of distribution of the DEGs by GO cellular component induced by poly I:C treatment in the ER and MR pigs; (c) comparative GO molecular function distribution of the DEGs following poly I:C treatment of the ER and MR pigs; (d) comparative KEGG pathway annotation distribution of the DEGs following poly I:C treatment in the ER and MR pigs. The black and white bars indicate the number of altered genes in the ER and MR groups, respectively.
4 Discussion

4.1 Common biological processes found in extreme and moderate response pigs

According to functional analysis, the extreme response (ER) and moderate response (MR) pigs shared some common biological processes, such as cell proliferation, immune response, inflammatory response, innate immune response, and growth regulation. However, the members of these biological processes in the two groups were different. The results showed that the innate immune responses in both the ER and MR groups can be activated rapidly.

The ER group had 31 DEGs (10 upregulated and 21 downregulated) involved in the biological process of cell proliferation. The important upregulated DEGs were MXD1, ISG20, IRF2, BOP1. MXD1 mediates cellular proliferation and granulocyte differentiation[34,35]. ISG20 is an interferon-inducible exonuclease gene that prevents the replication of several RNA viruses[36]. IRF2, an interferon regulatory factor, has a critical role in mediating gene expression, immune responses and cell growth[37,38].

The regulation of cell growth is often tightly linked to cell proliferation in mammals[34]. BOP1, a conserved nucleolar protein, reportedly blocks cell cycle progression[39,40]. CXCR4 is a key regulator of neutrophil counts in the bone marrow under infection or stress conditions. Several studies found that neutrophil counts in the bone marrow of CXCR4-deficient mice decrease while neutrophil numbers in the blood markedly increase[41-43]. The study indicates that CXCR4, by interacting with CXCL12 signaling, has a key role in controlling neutrophil homeostasis and therefore negatively regulates their release from the bone marrow[45]. In the ER pigs, CXCR4 was one of the downregulated DEGs involved in cell proliferation. Our results are consistent with previous studies that suggested CXCR4 negatively regulates neutrophil circulation from the bone marrow to the blood during viral infection. In the MR pigs, we detected six upregulated genes and five downregulated genes involved in cell proliferation. The upregulated genes, which were also found in ER pigs, included ERG, PTK2B, colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF1), BOP1, BCAT1, and GPC4. The downregulated genes were CREG1, S100B, PELO, NPY, and TGFBI, which were specifically expressed in the MR pigs. CSF-1 controls the production, differentiation and function of macrophages, and greatly influences the innate immune response to external infections[44]. CREG1 promotes cellular proliferation and inhibits cell differentiation and reportedly promotes the proliferation and migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells[45].

Mature neutrophils reside in the bone marrow and when stimulated by inflammatory cytokines, they are acutely released from the bone marrow reserve, resulting in a dramatic rise in circulating neutrophil numbers in the blood[46]. The release of neutrophils from the bone marrow therefore has a critical role in their movement to sites of inflammation[47]. A total of 26 and 11 DEGs related to inflammatory responses were found in the ER and MR groups, respectively. TLR2, TLR4, myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88), and CD14 were upregulated in the ER group. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are essential in the recognition of and defense against invading microorganisms during the inflammatory response by recognizing pathogen-associated molecular patterns[48,49]. TLR2 and TLR4 are expressed by a variety of immune cell types, including neutrophils[50]. TLR2 and TLR4 have also been reported to recognize and bind numerous exogenous and endogenous ligands from inflamed tissue[51]. The activated TLRs recruit cytosolic adaptors, including MyD88, which can trigger downstream signaling pathways promoting the production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines[52]. An earlier study also suggested that TLR4 in blood induces thrombocytes to bind to neutrophils, which activates neutrophils and releases neutrophil extracellular traps[53]. CD14 can be expressed by neutrophils and is crucial to the circulation of neutrophils[54]. The neutrophil CD14 participates in acute inflammatory response[55]. Neutrophils can respond to bacterial lipopolysaccharides via CD14 with TLR4 and MD-2[56]. However, the absence of CD14 can induce hypoinflammation and insufficient immune cell recruitment[57]. In the MR group CD14 was downregulated, and TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 were not detected. The findings may explain why the neutrophil ratio was lower in the MR group than in the ER group.

4.2 Specific biological processes found in extreme and moderate response pigs

In total, 104 specific biological processes were identified in the ER pigs, whereas only 11 were detected in the MR pigs. These specific biological processes in the ER and MR groups can also provide clues about the large difference between their neutrophil ratios.

In the ER group, a large proportion of specific biological processes, including cell division, mitotic cell cycle, cell cycle phase, cell cycle, cell cycle process, M phase of mitotic cell cycle and M phase, were related to the cell cycle. On average, about 36 DEGs were involved in these biological processes. The primary DEGs were SETD8, MAD2L2, CETN3, SMC1A, NUF2, PDS5B, CDC10, NUSAP1, CD2AP, CCNG1, CCNB2, SMC3, SMC4, NEK9, POLS, NSL1, NCAPG, HELLs and TIPIN. Cell division is the basis for individual growth and reproduction in multicellular organisms[58]. It occurs as part of a complete cell cycle, which is a highly integrated process involving physical division and DNA replication[58].

Although the specific biological processes in the MR pigs were far fewer than those in the ER pigs, they were associated with cell migration, including regulation of cell migration, positive regulation of cell migration, regulation
of cell motion and positive regulation of locomotion. On average, just over six DEGs were involved in these biological processes, and the upregulated genes included ICAM1, CSF1, CXCL16, PTK2B, EDN1, among others. Cell migration is critically important not only in the development of multicellular organisms, but also in immune responses\[^{60}\]. Immune cell migration is crucial in delivering protective defense responses to damaged tissues\[^{61}\]. For example, leukocytes rapidly migrate into infection areas to perform immune functions during inflammatory response\[^{62}\]. The specific biological processes in the MR and ER pigs can provide a partial explanation for neutrophil variation.

4.3 Special pathways found in extreme and moderate response pigs

Neutrophils are one of the major phagocytes that differentiate between pathogens and innate cells by TLR signaling\[^{63}\]. TLRs can recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns by recruiting specific adaptor molecules. Therefore, TLR signaling plays crucial roles in many aspects of innate immunity\[^{69}\]. The TLR signaling pathway was the special pathway found in the ER pigs. The primary DEGs in ER pigs included TLR2, TLR4, MyD88, interferon regulatory factor-3 (IRF3), interferon regulatory factor-7 (IRF7), and CD14, all of which were upregulated. The cell-surface TLRs, TLR2 and TLR4, can recognize microbial membrane lipids and lipoproteins\[^{64}\]. MyD88 is an adapter protein linking TLRs and interleukin-1 receptors (IL-1Rs) to activate the downstream molecules\[^{65}\]. The transcription factors IRF3 and IRF7 have pivotal roles in inducing the activation of the IFNA genes, which strengthen the development of the antiviral response in the host\[^{66,67}\]. CD14 and TLRs, are essential in recognizing bacterial envelope components from Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, mycobacteria and other bacteria\[^{68}\]. Recent studies have identified that these two important receptors play the same role in antiviral response, such as the response to respiratory syncytial virus in humans\[^{69}\].

The chemokine signaling pathway is a specific pathway in the ER group. Chemokines are important in a broad array of biological responses, such as cell migration and immune and inflammatory responses\[^{70}\]. Neutrophils are not only chemokine producers but are also the primary targets for chemokines\[^{71}\]. Once having migrated to the sites of inflammation and injury, neutrophils increase in number to produce chemokines\[^{71,72}\] and these neutrophil-derived chemokines coordinate the innate and adaptive immune responses\[^{73}\]. In the ER pigs, several identified DEGs including CCL8, CCR1, CXCR4, CXCL16, and PTK2B, are involved in the chemokine signaling pathway.

During phagocytosis, neutrophils exhibit degranulation and release a series of lysosomal enzymes. The lysosome pathway was identified as one of specific pathways in the MR group, suggesting that neutrophils are involved in the immune response in the MR pigs. The DEG members of this pathway included TCIRG1, ASAHI, PPT1, IDS and GNS in the MR group.

5 Conclusions

This study investigated the transcriptome profiles of neutrophil variation in peripheral blood of pigs using the Affymetrix porcine genome microarray. Analyses of DEGs, biological process and pathways revealed that many DEGs were involved in the immune defense responses of both ER and MR pigs, although the two groups showed different immune responses after dsRNA stimulation. These DEGs could be used as promising candidate gene targets for disease resistance in pig breeding. In the future we will identify the function of important DEGs in other pig breeds. Overall, our studies provide a deeper understanding of the molecular basis of genetic resistance in pigs.

Supplementary materials The online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/J-FASE-2017162 contains supplementary materials (Tables S1–S4).
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