



Short Communication

# Effects of *Gynura procumbens* Extract on Egg Quality, Serum Biochemistry, and Immunity in Laying Hens

Dong Wu<sup>1</sup>, Pingwen Xiong<sup>2</sup>, Jianping Gong<sup>1,3</sup>, Mengchu Li<sup>1</sup>, Wenping Huang<sup>1,\*</sup>,  
Zhiheng Zou<sup>2</sup>, Xiaolian Chen<sup>2,\*</sup>, Qiongli Song<sup>2</sup>, Xintao Chen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The National Pharmaceutical Engineering Center (NPEC) for Solid Preparation in Chinese Herbal Medicine, Jiangxi University of Chinese Medicine, 330006 Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 330299 Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Pharmacy, Jiangxi University of Chinese Medicine, 330004 Nanchang, Jiangxi, China

\*Correspondence: [huangwenping89@126.com](mailto:huangwenping89@126.com) (Wenping Huang); [xiaolianchen@126.com](mailto:xiaolianchen@126.com) (Xiaolian Chen)

Academic Editor: Corinna Kehrenberg

Submitted: 17 April 2025 Revised: 3 September 2025 Accepted: 9 October 2025 Published: 30 November 2025

## Abstract

**Background:** Herbal medicine is increasingly recognized as a potential alternative to antibiotics in animal feed, offering both health benefits and the ability to mitigate antimicrobial resistance. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of dietary supplementation with Sambung Nyawa (*Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr) extracts (GPLeS) on the production performance, egg quality, serum biochemistry, antioxidant and immune response in laying hens. **Methods:** Hens aged 45 weeks were randomly assigned to diets supplemented with 0 mg/kg, 1000 mg/kg, 2000 mg/kg, and 3000 mg/kg GPLeS for 8 weeks. **Results:** Initially, supplementation improved egg weight and yolk color without negatively affecting feed intake, laying rate, and feed conversion ratio. Additionally, higher inclusion levels of GPLeS significantly modified yolk composition, particularly amino acids and fatty acids. Moreover, serum biochemical and antioxidant markers showed beneficial changes, alongside positive modulation of immune indices, thereby highlighting their potential of GPLeS to enhance egg nutritional value and the health status of hens. **Conclusions:** GPLeS represented a promising phyto-genic additive for poultry diets and a potential alternative to antibiotic supplementation.

**Keywords:** Sambung Nyawa; laying hens; egg quality; immunity; antioxidants

## 1. Introduction

For several decades, antibiotics have been extensively employed in poultry production to prevent infectious diseases and to enhance growth performance [1]. Nevertheless, the indiscriminate and prolonged application of these agents has precipitated the emergence of multidrug-resistant pathogens, led to the desorption of antibiotic residues in animal-derived products and the environment, and the perturbation of commensal microbial communities. Collectively, these consequences pose substantial risks to public health, animal welfare and ecological stability [2–4]. In response, numerous countries, including European countries, America, and China, have enacted regulatory frameworks prohibiting the non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in animal husbandry and restricting their use as growth-promoting additives. Consequently, there is an urgent imperative for the poultry industry to identify and implement efficacious alternative growth-promoting strategies that sustain productivity while supporting the transition toward antibiotic-free, environmentally responsible and production systems [5].

As substitutes to antibiotics, a wide spectrum of feed additives, particularly those derived from medicinal herbs, have garnered increasing academic and industrial interest for their potential to modulate intestinal microbiota, sup-

press inflammatory processes, bolster antioxidant defenses and stimulate immune function in poultry [6–8]. Herbal medicines are rich reservoirs of bioactive constituents such as polysaccharides, polyphenols, alkaloids and flavonoids, which collectively enhance metabolic efficiency and mitigate both infectious and metabolic disorders, thereby fostering avian health and productivity. Empirical evidences further demonstrated that phyto-genic interventions could augment systemic antioxidant capacity and beneficially re-configure gut microbial communities in weaned piglets and growing pigs [9,10]. Moreover, dietary incorporation of herbal formulations in laying hens has been reported to substantially elevate immune responsiveness, improve egg quality traits and optimize overall production performance.

Sambung Nyawa, botanically classified as *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. (GPL), is a medicinal and edible plant within the genus *Gynura* [11]. It is predominantly distributed across several African nations, as well as Vietnam, Thailand, Indonesia and the southern regions of China. Phytochemical investigations have revealed that GPL is enriched with a wide spectrum of bioactive constituents, including polysaccharides, flavonoids and organic acids, which underpin its lipid-lowering, antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties [12]. In parallel with the increasing global restriction on antibiotic growth pro-



motors, medicinal herbs have attracted considerable attention as functional feed additives in poultry nutrition, owing to their documented capacity to improve productivity and health outcomes [13]. For example, *Puerariae*, a well-recognized Chinese herbal medicine, has been extensively investigated and utilized in livestock and poultry husbandry due to its abundance of bioactive compounds and favorable safety profile [14]. To date, most studies on GPL extracts (GPLEs) have concentrated on its phytochemical constituents and pharmacological activities, particularly in vitro and in rodent models. However, its application in animal production systems remains scarcely documented, with only a limited number of investigations in poultry and even fewer specifically in laying hens [15]. This paucity of evidence represented a notable lacuna in the literature, especially given the growing interest in natural alternatives to antibiotics in livestock production. GPLEs has shown the promise as a potential feed additive that could enhance growth performance and health without the risks associated with antibiotic usage. Accordingly, the present study was designed to systematically evaluate, for the first time, the effects of dietary GPLEs supplementation on egg production, egg quality, antioxidant capacity and serum biochemical parameters in laying hens. By addressing this underexplored area, the study not only advanced the scientific understanding of GPLEs in avian species, but also contributed to the broader pursuit of sustainable, antibiotic-free strategies in poultry production.

## 2. Material and Methods

The trial was carried out in a farm setting at Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals established by the Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

### 2.1 Experimental Diets and Animal

The basal diet for laying hens was formulated in accordance with the recommendations of the National Research Council (NRC, 1994) and the Chinese Chicken Feeding Standards (NY/T 33-2004). The formulation consisted of 62% corn, 24% soybean meal, 8.5% stone meal, 0.5% soybean oil, and 5% premix. Each kilogram of premix contained: Vitamin A 160,000 IU, Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> 80,000 IU, Vitamin B<sub>2</sub> 93 mg, Vitamin E 430 mg, Vitamin K<sub>3</sub> 50 mg, nicotinamide 600 mg, calcium pantothenate 290 mg, biotin 7 mg, calcium 15%, total phosphorus 3%, salt 7%, Mn 2000 mg, Fe 1400 mg, Zn 2000 mg, Cu 400 mg, Se 8 mg, and I 35 mg.

A total of 576 laying hens, 45 weeks of age and exhibiting comparable baseline egg-laying performance, were randomly allocated into four experimental groups, each comprising six replicates with 24 per replicate. The control group received only the basal diet, whereas the test groups

were supplemented with GPLEs at inclusion level of 1000 mg/kg, 2000 mg/kg and 3000 mg/kg, respectively. The trial included a one-week adaptation period followed by eight weeks feeding phase (GPLEs was obtained via water extraction, within the content of polysaccharides (55.67%) and total flavonoids (0.89%) in the extract were determined). The experimental hens were housed in a closed structure with three-layer, three-dimensional cages, under constant light for 16 hours, controlled by an automatic system. Artificial feeding was employed, with free access to food and water. The henhouse was disinfected and managed for epidemic prevention in compliance with established protocols. During the test period, the health and feeding status of the hens were observed daily [16].

### 2.2 Data Collection

Eggs were collected manually on a daily basis, and records were maintained for the total number and weight of eggs, as well as the number and weight of defective eggs, throughout the experimental period. Further, the egg production rate, daily egg production, average egg weight, and qualified egg rate were calculated. Concurrently, feed intake was continuously monitored, allowing for the determination of average daily feed intake and feed-to-egg ratio.

At the end of the feeding trial, five eggs were randomly selected from each replicate, four of which underwent routine egg quality analyses, including measures of egg shape index, eggshell strength, eggshell thickness, egg yolk color and ratio, egg white height and Haugh units. The remaining egg was used to assess the nutritional quality, measuring moisture, crude protein, crude fat, cholesterol, trimethylamine, amino acid content and fatty acid composition.

After the experiment, two hens were randomly chosen from each replicate. After a 12-hour period of feed withdrawal, approximately 5 mL of blood were collected from the wing vein in the morning, after which the serum was separated for the assessment of biochemical, immune, and antioxidant indicators.

### 2.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), employing one-way ANOVA, with the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test utilized for multiple comparisons among groups. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM), with *p*-values less than 0.05 denoting significant differences.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Production Performance

It can be clearly seen that the incorporating GPLEs into the diet of laying hens significantly increased the average egg weight (*p* < 0.05), compared to the control group (Table 1). By contrast, no discernible effects were observed

**Table 1. Effects of GPLEs on production performance of laying hens%.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	<i>p</i> value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Daily egg weight/(g/d)	50.57	51.24	51.32	51.22	0.21	0.664
Average egg weight/g	62.63 <sup>b</sup>	63.04 <sup>a</sup>	63.06 <sup>a</sup>	62.94 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	0.003
Qualified egg rate/%	99.94	99.97	99.92	99.95	0.01	0.620
Daily egg production/(egg/d)	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.00	0.924
Egg production rate/%	80.74	81.29	81.39	81.39	0.34	0.928
Average daily feed intake/(g/d)	109.31	109.16	109.23	108.99	0.09	0.722
Feed to egg ratio	2.16	2.13	2.13	2.13	0.01	0.575

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ). GPLEs, (*Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr) extracts; SEM, standard error of the mean.

on daily egg production, qualified egg rate, or overall egg-laying performance parameters. Similarly, the egg production rate, average daily feed intake and feed-to-egg conversion ratio GPLEs group did not differ significantly from the control group ( $p > 0.05$ ). Notably, GPLEs has previously been reported as a supplement capable of supporting growth performance in laying hens.

The observed increase in egg weight may be attributable to the bioactive compounds of GPLEs, particularly flavonoids and saponins, which have been reported to enhance nutrient absorption and improve gastrointestinal integrity in poultry. More efficient nutrient uptake facilitated superior nutrient partitioning toward egg formation, thereby contributing to increased egg mass. Additionally, GPLEs possesses antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties [17], which may contribute to improved metabolic efficiency and overall laying performance. The hepatoprotective activity of certain GPLEs constituents may also augment hepatic function, thereby optimizing the synthesis of yolk precursors and albumen proteins that are indispensable for egg development. These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that dietary phytochemical additives can positively influence egg weight by modulating gut health, nutrient metabolism, and endocrine activity involved in egg production.

### 3.2 Egg Quality

As presented in Table 2, dietary supplementation with GPLEs significantly enhanced yolk pigmentation in laying hens compared with the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ). No other egg quality parameters were significantly affected ( $p > 0.05$ ). Egg quality was generally evaluated through both internal and external attributes. The internal quality is determined by factors such as yolk characteristics, yolk color, and Haugh unit, whereas external quality encompasses egg shape index, eggshell strength, and egg ratio. The Haugh unit, a widely used indicator of freshness, is closely associated with shelf life. This study observed significant differences in yolk color, while other indicators did not.

Egg quality encompasses both external characteristics (e.g., shell strength, weight) and internal attributes (e.g., albumen viscosity, yolk color, nutrient composition). Yolk color is largely governed by the deposition of dietary carotenoids and other chromophoric compounds. GPLEs contains various phytochemicals, including flavonoids and chlorophyll-related compounds, which may contribute to enhanced yolks' pigmentation. Additionally, certain plant-based additives have been shown to augment the deposition of xanthophylls and related pigments in egg yolks, thereby intensifying yolk color without exerting measurable effects on other quality parameters. The absence of significant alterations in additional indices suggests that GPLEs, at the tested inclusion levels, does not perturb the physiological processes underpinning egg formation or structure integrity. These results corroborate previous findings indicating that herbal feed additives can selectively enhance yolk pigmentation while preserving overall egg quality [18]. Thus, GPLEs supplementation may represent a viable strategy to improve the aesthetic and commercial value of eggs through natural pigment enrichment, without adversely affecting other quality characteristics.

### 3.3 Egg Yolk Nutrition

The evaluation of egg yolk nutrition is an essential parameter for assessing the effect of GPLEs supplementation in laying hens. As presented in Table 3, dietary inclusion of GPLEs significantly reduced yolk cholesterol content compared with the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ), whereas moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and trimethylamine levels remained unaffected ( $p > 0.05$ ). The hypocholesterolemic effect of GPLEs may be ascribed to its bioactive constituents, notably flavonoids and saponins, which have been reported to inhibit intestinal cholesterol absorption and enhance hepatic cholesterol metabolism [19]. Flavonoids can modulate lipid metabolism by influencing enzymes such as HMG-CoA reductase and increasing bile acid excretion, thereby reducing circulating cholesterol levels. The absence of significant alterations in other yolk components suggests that

**Table 2. Effect of GPLEs on egg quality.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	<i>p</i> value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
egg shape index	1.33	1.35	1.35	1.35	0.00	0.236
Eggshell strength/(N/m <sup>2</sup> )	39.89	41.91	42.00	42.75	0.66	0.564
Eggshell thickness/mm	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.00	0.188
Haugh unit	87.92	88.49	87.28	88.02	0.67	0.931
Yolk color	11.04 <sup>b</sup>	11.37 <sup>a</sup>	11.49 <sup>a</sup>	11.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	0.038
Egg yolk ratio/%	27.82	28.21	28.30	28.30	0.13	0.600
Egg white ratio/%	61.83	61.22	61.41	61.09	0.15	0.405
Eggshell ratio/%	10.35	10.57	10.28	10.62	0.08	0.451

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 3. Effect of GPLEs on conventional chemical components of egg yolk.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	<i>p</i> value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Moisture (%)	49.57	49.75	49.25	49.61	0.10	0.410
Crude protein (%)	17.70	18.00	17.88	17.96	0.07	0.420
Crude fat (%)	10.61	10.53	10.52	10.38	0.06	0.646
Cholesterol (mg/g)	13.48 <sup>a</sup>	12.83 <sup>b</sup>	12.53 <sup>b</sup>	12.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.12	<0.001
Trimethylamine (μg/g)	3.15	3.13	2.99	3.04	0.05	0.739

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

GPLEs may selectively lipid metabolic pathways without disrupting basic nutrient deposition. These findings were consistent with previous reports demonstrating that phyto-genic additives can lower yolk cholesterol while maintaining overall egg quality and nutritional integrity, supporting the utility of GPLEs as a natural functional feed additive for the production of health-promoting eggs.

Furthermore, Table 4 indicated that the supplementation with 1000 mg/kg GPLEs did not significantly affect the amino acid content in egg yolks ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, inclusion of 2000 mg/kg GPLEs significantly increased the serine content and reduced the glutamic acid content in egg yolks ( $p < 0.05$ ). At 3000 mg/kg, GPLEs significantly elevated the levels of serine, alanine, and total amino acids in egg yolks ( $p < 0.05$ ), yet it did not significantly influence the content of other amino acids ( $p > 0.05$ ). These modifications may be attributed to the metabolic and physiological effects of the bioactive compounds in GPLEs, particularly flavonoids and phenolic compounds, which have been shown to influence amino acid metabolism and protein synthesis in animals [20]. The observed increase in serine and alanine levels may reflect enhanced hepatic synthesis or altered transamination reactions, as both amino acids are intimately linked to glucose and energy metabolism pathways. In addition, the antioxidant and hepatoprotective properties of GPLEs could optimize hepatic protein turnover, thereby

modulating amino acid deposition into yolks. The reduction of glutamic acid at 2000 mg/kg may indicate a regulatory shift in nitrogen metabolism, potentially mediated by altered expression of amino acid transporters or feedback mechanisms governing amino acid utilization in the reproductive tract.

As it can be seen from Table 5, dietary supplementation with 1000 mg/kg GPLEs exerted no significant effect on the majority of yolk fatty acids, aside from modest effects on eicosanoid acid and arachidonic acid. By contrast, supplementation with 2000 and 3000 mg/kg GPLEs significantly increased yolk concentrations of palmitoleic acid, stearic acid, linoleic acid,  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid, arachidonic acid, DPA, total unsaturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, n3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, and the total amount of n6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and essential fatty acids while obviously reduced the total amount of palmitic acid, arachidic acid, and saturated fatty acids ( $p < 0.05$ ), promoting the nutritional and health value of eggs. To our best knowledge, limited data were available regarding the impact of GPLEs on egg yolk lipid profiles in laying hens, underscoring the novelty of the present findings.

The observed alterations in egg yolk fatty acid composition may be mechanistically attributable to the bioactive components in GPLEs, such as flavonoids, phenolic acids, and saponins, which have been reported to modulate lipid

**Table 4. Effect of GPLEs on the amino acid content of egg yolks.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	p value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Aspartic acid (ASP), mg/g	13.06	12.69	13.34	13.30	0.11	0.183
Threonine (THR), mg/g	6.80	6.71	6.81	6.91	0.05	0.599
Serine (SER), mg/g	11.06 <sup>b</sup>	11.16 <sup>b</sup>	11.69 <sup>a</sup>	11.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.09	<0.001
Glutamic acid (GLU), mg/g	18.48 <sup>a</sup>	18.42 <sup>a</sup>	18.00 <sup>b</sup>	18.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	0.039
Proline (PRO), mg/g	5.30	5.33	5.42	5.21	0.04	0.226
Glycine (GLY), mg/g	4.42	4.72	4.57	4.71	0.06	0.253
Alanine (ALA), mg/g	7.35 <sup>b</sup>	7.15 <sup>b</sup>	7.26 <sup>b</sup>	7.80 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	0.001
Cystine (CYS), mg/g	2.47	2.50	2.42	2.52	0.02	0.557
Valine (VAL), mg/g	8.00	7.82	7.88	8.08	0.05	0.287
Methionine (MET), mg/g	3.90	4.13	3.99	3.98	0.04	0.206
Isoleucine (ILE), mg/g	6.52	6.12	6.54	6.48	0.14	0.758
Leucine (LEU), mg/g	12.00	11.95	12.05	12.11	0.04	0.667
Tyrosine (TYR), mg/g	4.82	4.78	4.82	4.62	0.03	0.075
Phenylalanine (PHE), mg/g	6.40	6.30	6.57	6.46	0.05	0.256
Lysine (LYS), mg/g	10.28	10.33	10.46	10.45	0.06	0.668
Histidine (HIS), mg/g	3.48	3.11	3.42	3.39	0.06	0.214
Arginine (ARG), mg/g	9.67	9.45	9.51	9.50	0.06	0.579
Total amino acids (TAA), mg/g	133.98 <sup>bc</sup>	132.68 <sup>c</sup>	134.75 <sup>ab</sup>	135.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.39	0.017
Essential amino acid (EAA), mg/g	67.03	65.92	67.23	67.36	0.22	0.093
Flavor amino acid (FAA), mg/g	54.52	54.07	54.55	55.39	0.19	0.096

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 5. Effect of GPLEs on the fatty acid composition of egg yolks.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	p value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Palmitic acid C16:0	24.822 <sup>a</sup>	24.682 <sup>a</sup>	23.824 <sup>b</sup>	23.960 <sup>b</sup>	0.122	0.001
Palmitoleic acid C16:1n9	5.430 <sup>c</sup>	5.460 <sup>bc</sup>	5.652 <sup>ab</sup>	5.698 <sup>a</sup>	0.039	0.013
Stearic acid C18:0	6.292 <sup>b</sup>	6.308 <sup>b</sup>	6.414 <sup>a</sup>	6.523 <sup>a</sup>	0.029	0.003
Oleic acid C18:1n9	51.962	51.850	51.916	51.567	0.090	0.397
Linoleic acid C18:2n6	9.375 <sup>b</sup>	9.494 <sup>b</sup>	10.012 <sup>a</sup>	10.047 <sup>a</sup>	0.074	<0.001
Alpha-linolenic acid C18:3n3	0.250 <sup>c</sup>	0.268 <sup>c</sup>	0.304 <sup>b</sup>	0.328 <sup>a</sup>	0.008	<0.001
Arachidic acid C20:0	0.120 <sup>a</sup>	0.110 <sup>b</sup>	0.098 <sup>c</sup>	0.085 <sup>d</sup>	0.003	<0.001
Eicosatrienoic acid methyl ester C20:3n3	0.302	0.306	0.298	0.305	0.004	0.916
Arachidonic acid C20:4n6	0.578 <sup>b</sup>	0.620 <sup>a</sup>	0.620 <sup>a</sup>	0.628 <sup>a</sup>	0.007	0.022
Docosadienoic acid C22:2	0.057	0.066	0.058	0.058	0.002	0.143
DPA C22:5n6	0.157 <sup>b</sup>	0.170 <sup>ab</sup>	0.184 <sup>a</sup>	0.178 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	0.015
DHA C22:6n3	0.133	0.134	0.142	0.142	0.002	0.283
C24:1	0.058 <sup>ab</sup>	0.064 <sup>a</sup>	0.052 <sup>b</sup>	0.060 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	0.044
Saturated fatty acids (SFA)	31.698 <sup>a</sup>	31.568 <sup>a</sup>	30.782 <sup>b</sup>	30.988 <sup>b</sup>	0.120	0.007
Unsaturated fatty acid (UFA)	68.302 <sup>b</sup>	68.432 <sup>b</sup>	69.238 <sup>a</sup>	69.012 <sup>a</sup>	0.120	0.005
Monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA)	57.450	57.374	57.620	57.325	0.087	0.690
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)	10.852 <sup>c</sup>	11.058 <sup>bc</sup>	11.618 <sup>ab</sup>	11.687 <sup>a</sup>	0.087	<0.001
n3 polyunsaturated fatty acids	0.685 <sup>c</sup>	0.708 <sup>bc</sup>	0.744 <sup>ab</sup>	0.775 <sup>a</sup>	0.010	<0.001
n6 polyunsaturated fatty acid	10.110 <sup>b</sup>	10.284 <sup>b</sup>	10.816 <sup>a</sup>	10.853 <sup>a</sup>	0.079	<0.001

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

metabolism at both the enzymatic and gene expression levels. Moreover, the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of GPLEs may alleviate oxidative stress in hepatic

tissues, thereby facilitating more efficient fatty acid elongation and desaturation pathways [21]. The increase in n-3 and n-6 PUFAs, including  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid and arachi-

**Table 6. Effect of GPLEs on biochemical indicators of laying hens in serum.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	<i>p</i> value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Total protein/(g/L)	48.41	51.53	51.77	49.08	0.84	0.390
Albumin/(g/L)	15.60	16.08	16.08	15.37	0.20	0.541
Globulin/(g/L)	32.81	35.46	35.68	33.71	0.71	0.431
Urea nitrogen/(mmol/L)	0.33	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.01	0.770
Total cholesterol/(mmol/L)	2.48 <sup>a</sup>	2.29 <sup>ab</sup>	2.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.86 <sup>c</sup>	0.06	0.001
Triglyceride/(mmol/L)	7.77	7.48	6.71	6.14	0.25	0.097
HDL/(mmol/L)	0.52	0.69	0.67	0.64	0.03	0.090
Low density lipoprotein/(mmol/L)	1.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.03	<0.001
Alanine aminotransferase/(U/L)	2.48 <sup>a</sup>	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	2.26 <sup>c</sup>	2.26 <sup>c</sup>	0.02	<0.001
Aspartate aminotransferase/(U/L)	207.27 <sup>a</sup>	195.08 <sup>ab</sup>	181.50 <sup>bc</sup>	178.83 <sup>c</sup>	2.90	0.001
Alkaline phosphatase/(U/L)	5804.15 <sup>b</sup>	5919.88 <sup>a</sup>	5935.02 <sup>a</sup>	5942.68 <sup>a</sup>	18.20	0.022

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

donic acid, may also reflect enhanced intestinal absorption or greater mobilization of these fatty acids into yolks via circulatory transport. Collectively, these results highlight the potential of GPLEs to improve the nutritional quality of eggs by selectively modulating lipid and amino acid metabolism.

### 3.4 Serum Biochemical Indicators, Antioxidant Properties and Immune Performance

As illustrated in Table 6, dietary supplementation with GPLEs exerted significant effects on the serum biochemical profile of laying hens. Inclusion of 1000 mg/kg GPLEs markedly reduced alanine aminotransferase (ALT) activity while elevating alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity relative to the unsupplemented control. Higher supplementation levels (2000 and 3000 mg/kg) specifically decreased total cholesterol (TC) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), while concomitantly increasing the activities of ALT, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and ALP in serum ( $p < 0.05$ ). As shown in Table 7 that the GPLEs can significantly promote the immune function and antioxidant performance of laying hens. Furthermore, the contents of immunoglobulin IgA, IgG, and glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) are significantly higher than those in the control group, while the content of malondialdehyde (MDA) was significantly lower than that in the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ). Moreover, the effect was more significant when the addition level was at 2000 mg/kg and 3000 mg/kg, respectively, which could not only significantly reduce tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) content, but also increase the activity of total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) ( $p < 0.05$ ) in serum.

Flavonoids have been shown to stabilize hepatic enzyme activity, attenuate oxidative insults within the livers and modulate lipid metabolism by downregulating cholesterol synthesis pathways and promoting bile acid excretion. The observed reductions in serum cholesterol and LDL-C

may be due to the inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase and enhanced clearance of circulating lipoproteins. The improved immune indices (IgA and IgG) may be attributed to the immunomodulatory effects of GPLEs, which can enhance B-cell activity and cytokine signaling. Moreover, the elevation in antioxidant enzymes such as GSH-Px and SOD, along with increased T-AOC, reflects enhanced systemic antioxidant defense, likely through the activation of Nrf2 signaling pathways and suppression of oxidative damage. The concomitant declines in MDA and TNF- $\alpha$  levels further substantiate the anti-inflammatory and anti-lipid peroxidation properties of GPLEs. These mechanisms are consistent with prior studies indicating that phytochemical feed additives can support both immune competence and oxidative homeostasis in poultry [21]. Taken together, the data provide compelling evidence that GPLEs supplementation confers multifaceted benefits, including modulation of hepatic function, improvement of serum lipid metabolism, reinforcement of immune competence, and augmentation of systemic antioxidant capacity. Collectively, these effects underscore the potential of GPLEs as a phytochemical feed additive that promotes metabolic health, immune resilience and oxidative homeostasis in laying hens.

## 4. Discussion

The current results suggested a dose-dependent association between dietary GPLEs supplementation and average egg weight, with significant differences observed at higher inclusion levels. In contrast to the present results, some previous reports stated that there are no relationships between egg production and the dose of GPLEs as well as no clear effect on the food intake [22]. The most plausible explanation is that the constituents (flavonoids and organic acids) in GPLEs may slow the progress of growth performance of laying hens. On the other aspect, these observed results may be due to the adaptation of laying hens in different environments. Briefly, similar results mentioned above

**Table 7. Effect of GPLEs on immune and antioxidant properties of laying hens serum.**

Items	Addition of GPLEs (mg/kg)				SEM	p value
	0	1000	2000	3000		
Immunoglobulin A (IgA)/(g/L)	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	<0.001
Immunoglobulin G (IgG)/(g/L)	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	2.17 <sup>a</sup>	2.38 <sup>a</sup>	2.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.09	<0.001
Immunoglobulin M (IgM)/(g/L)	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.952
Cytokine 1 $\beta$ (IL-1 $\beta$ )/(pg/mL)	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.01	0.892
Cytokine 6 (IL-6)/(pg/mL)	1.32	1.32	1.29	1.27	0.03	0.924
Tumor necrosis factor $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ )/(pg/mL)	2.19 <sup>a</sup>	2.11 <sup>ab</sup>	2.02 <sup>bc</sup>	1.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.03	<0.001
Total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC)/(U/mL)	2.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.22 <sup>ab</sup>	2.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	0.007
Superoxide dismutase (SOD)/(U/mL)	82.87 <sup>b</sup>	83.54 <sup>b</sup>	84.68 <sup>a</sup>	89.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.84	0.007
Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px)/(U/mL)	1228.27 <sup>b</sup>	1306.33 <sup>a</sup>	1322.83 <sup>a</sup>	1327.33 <sup>a</sup>	12.61	0.017
Malondialdehyde (MDA)/( $\mu$ mol/mL)	10.12 <sup>a</sup>	8.25 <sup>b</sup>	8.21 <sup>b</sup>	8.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	<0.001

Note: In the same row, values without superscripts or with the same superscripts mean no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ), while with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).

who reported that GPLEs had no effect on growth performance of laying hens, which is synchronized with results of the present study. Compared to other feed additives, GPLEs is an important alternative to antibiotics in animal diets as well as essential oils, chelates, spirulina, and saffron extracts as a feed additive in poultry nutrition, which provides demonstrable advantages in laying hens [23].

Previously, few reports demonstrated that GPLEs could affect the egg quality, which was possibly first found in the present study [24]. Specifically, yolk pigmentation was enhanced, while other traits such as the Haugh unit and egg shape index remained unaffected, suggesting a neutral mechanism on structural egg formation. These findings are in agreement with earlier studies that the GPLEs used in broiler chicken. As a result, the significant difference in egg quality was present in current study.

Proximate analysis revealed no difference in yolk moisture, crude protein, and fat between treatments, aside from a non-significant decline in crude fat content, consistent with reports that GPLEs administered via drinking water can modestly influence lipid deposition. In contrast, it has been reported that the protein content in eggs was increased due to GPLEs supplementation, which might be due to the high level of tested extracts that were used. The dietary treatments in this study significantly reduced the cholesterol levels in egg yolk. As cholesterol is synthesized in the liver, secreted as very-low-density lipoprotein (LPL), and deposited into the yolk via receptor-mediated endocytosis [25]. Thus, the decrease in cholesterol by GPLEs supplementation might be due to the consequences of altered endogenous lipoproteins (production or secretion) and/or cholesterol metabolism (i.e., synthesis, degradation, and distribution). Amino acid profiling further demonstrated that serine concentrations increased significantly with dietary GPLE, while glutamic acid levels declined at 2000 mg/kg [26]. What's more important, the level of total amino acids was clearly increased by the incorporating 3000 mg/kg GPLEs to diet. In addition, the

fatty acids involved in egg yolks were also studied. Most of fatty acids with the supplementation at 2000 mg/kg and 3000 mg/kg existed statistical differences, compared to the control group. It can be concluded that GPLEs has the influence on the synthesis of fatty acid in egg yolks where the richness of flavones, polysaccharides and organic acids in GPLEs may regulate the metabolic pathway of fatty acid in laying hens. However, no reports explain the mechanism of GPLEs that affects fatty acids while other herbs used as supplementation in poultry have been announced that flavones can reduce proportion of SFA and increase PUFA [27]. This suggested that there would be a dose-dependent relationship between flavones and fatty acids and that the nutritional regulation in the composition of egg yolks.

Secondary metabolites present in herbal plants, such as flavonoids, flavanones, phenols, and saponins, have been proven to enhance immune function and regulate lipid metabolism in animals. For example, *Pueraria lobate* indicated the properties for the regulation of immunity by its abundance of flavones as well as effecting on lipid metabolism that biochemical indicators showed significant differences with variable dose feeding of *Pueraria lobate*. In our study, the findings were consistent with the results mentioned in literatures that GPLEs can clearly reduce the level of total cholesterol in high dose feeding. In addition, the level of low-density lipoprotein, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase also has statistical difference after feeding high dose of GPLEs. In the present study, the dietary supplementation of GPLEs improved the immune status of laying hens by increasing the concentration of serum IgA and IgG. Similarly, the production of SOD and GSH-Px was also higher in the supplemented groups, while the level of MDA was opposite. These results were concordant with prior findings that the flavonoids and phenols in various GPLEs can regulate blood indices, and enhance immunity and antioxidant [28,29]. Despite the studies on the effects of herbal plants applied in poultry, the precise mechanism of action of in-

dividual secondary metabolites is unknown. Hence, further research is warranted to disentangle these complex interactions and clarify the molecular pathways through which GPLEs and related phytonics exert their diverse effects on avian physiology.

## 5. Conclusions

In summary, the present study suggested that GPLEs held considerable promise as a phytonic supplement for laying hens. Its influence on productive performance was evident across multiple dimensions, with higher inclusion levels exerting more pronounced effects on egg quality, yolk nutrient composition, immunological indices, and systemic antioxidant capacity. Collectively, it can be safely concluded that GPLEs would be a promising feed additive with its significant functions and wide range of sources in poultry.

## Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets generated and analyzed during the present study are available on reasonable request.

## Author Contributions

DW designed the research study and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. QLS and XTC performed the data. PWX, MCL, JPG and ZHZ analyzed the data. WPH and XLC conceptualized and supervised the research, reviewed and edited the manuscript, and contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors contributed to critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences (approval number: 2024-JXAAS-XM-10). All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals established by the Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

## Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

## Funding

This work was supported by Xinfeng County Science and Technology Plan Project (No.52360722MJD0058080). Health and Family Planning Commission of Jiangxi Province (No. 202311142). The earmarked fund for JXARS (JXARS-12). Research and Industrialization of Key Technologies for Chinese Herbal Essential Oils and Traditional Chinese Medicine Aromatherapy Health Products (20194ABC28009).

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Shao Y, Wang Y, Yuan Y, Xie Y. A systematic review on antibiotics misuse in livestock and aquaculture and regulation implications in China. *The Science of the Total Environment*. 2021; 798: 149205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149205>.
- [2] Tian M, He X, Feng Y, Wang W, Chen H, Gong M, *et al*. Pollution by Antibiotics and Antimicrobial Resistance in Live-Stock and Poultry Manure in China, and Countermeasures. *Antibiotics*. 2021; 10: 539. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotic s10050539>.
- [3] Topi D, Spahiu J. Presence of veterinary antibiotics in live-stock manure in two Southeastern Europe countries, Albania and Kosovo. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*. 2020; 27: 44552–44560. <https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-020-10341-x>.
- [4] Zhao H, Wang Z, Liang Y, Wu T, Chen Y, Yan J, *et al*. Adsorptive decontamination of antibiotics from livestock wastewater by using alkaline-modified biochar. *Environmental Research*. 2023; 226: 115676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres .2023.115676>.
- [5] Alagawany M, Abd El-Hack ME, Farag MR, Sachan S, Karthik K, Dhama K. The use of probiotics as eco-friendly alternatives for antibiotics in poultry nutrition. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*. 2018; 25: 10611–10618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1687-x>.
- [6] Low CX, Tan LT, Ab Mutalib NS, Pusparajah P, Goh BH, Chan KG, *et al*. Unveiling the Impact of Antibiotics and Alternative Methods for Animal Husbandry: A Review. *Antibiotics*. 2021; 10: 578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10050578>.
- [7] Xie C, Zhang Y, Niu K, Liang X, Wang H, Shan J, *et al*. Enteromorpha polysaccharide-zinc replacing prophylactic antibiotics contributes to improving gut health of weaned piglets. *Animal Nutrition*. 2021; 7: 641–649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anin u.2021.01.008>.
- [8] Liu B, Ma R, Yang Q, Yang Y, Fang Y, Sun Z, *et al*. Effects of Traditional Chinese Herbal Feed Additive on Production Performance, Egg Quality, Antioxidant Capacity, Immunity and Intestinal Health of Laying Hens. *Animals*. 2023; 13: 2510. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13152510>.
- [9] Colombino E, Ferrocino I, Biasato I, Coccolin LS, Prieto-Botella D, Zduńczyk Z, *et al*. Dried fruit pomace inclusion in poultry diet: growth performance, intestinal morphology and physiology. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. 2020; 11: 63. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-020-00464-z>.
- [10] Yang B, Li X, Mesalam NM, Elsadek MF, Abdel-Moneim AE. The impact of dietary supplementation of polysaccharide derived from *Polygonatum sibiricum* on growth, antioxidant capacity, meat quality, digestive physiology, and gut microbiota in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*. 2024; 103: 103675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2024.103675>.
- [11] Tan HL, Chan KG, Pusparajah P, Lee LH, Goh BH. *Gynura procumbens*: An Overview of the Biological Activities. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2016; 7: 52. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fp har.2016.00052>.
- [12] Chandradevan M, Simoh S, Mediani A, Ismail NH, Ismail IS, Abas F. UHPLC-ESI-Orbitrap-MS Analysis of Biologically Active Extracts from *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. and *Cleome gynandra* L. Leaves. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 2020; 2020: 3238561. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3238561>.
- [13] Bukhori MFM, Jaafar H, Ghasemzadeh A, Sinniah UR, Kari-paya G. Preliminary Study on the Effect of Nitrogen Fertil-

- sation on Phytochemical Content Quality of *Gynura procumbens*. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*. 2021; 32: 69–96. <https://doi.org/10.21315/tlsr2021.32.3.5>.
- [14] Gong J, Wu D, Li M, Qiu X, Jiang Y, Zhang J, *et al.* Effects of *Pueraria lobata* leaf powder as a feed additive on the immune system and growth performance of broiler chickens. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences*. 2022; 46: 666–673. <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0128.4238>.
- [15] Prihambodo TR, Sholikin MM, Nahrowi N, Batubara I, Utomo DB, Jayanegara A. Flavonoids as Dietary Additives in Laying Hens: A Meta-analysis of Production Performance, Egg Quality, Liver, and Antioxidant Enzyme Profile. *Poultry Science Journal*. 2022; 10: 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.22069/psj.2022.19393.1714>.
- [16] Ghasemi-Aghgonbad A, Olyayee M, Janmohammadi H, Abdollahi MR, Kianfar R. The Interactive Impacts of Corn Particle Size and Conditioning Temperature on Performance, Carcass Traits, and Intestinal Morphology of Broiler Chickens. *Animals*. 2024; 14: 818. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14050818>.
- [17] Tan JN, Mohd Saffian S, Buang F, Jubri Z, Jantan I, Husain K, *et al.* Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Genus *Gynura*: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2020; 11: 504624. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.504624>.
- [18] Niknia AD, Vakili R, Tahmasbi AM. Role of zinc-methionine chelate on bone health and eggshell quality in late-phase laying hens. *All Life*. 2023; 16: 2162609. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26895293.2022.2162609>.
- [19] Ai G, Xiong P, Chen J, Song W, Song Q, Xu C, *et al.* Effects of *Gynura procumbens* extract supplementation on growth performance, carcass traits, antioxidant capacity, immunity and meat quality of meat ducks. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2024; 11: 1508048. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1508048>.
- [20] Hew CS, Gam LH. Proteome Analysis of Abundant Proteins Extracted from the Leaf of *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 2011; 165: 1577–1586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-011-9377-x>.
- [21] Yin C, Tang S, Liu L, Cao A, Xie J, Zhang H. Effects of Bile Acids on Growth Performance and Lipid Metabolism during Chronic Heat Stress in Broiler Chickens. *Animals*. 2021; 11: 630. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11030630>.
- [22] Susanto H, Utomo SW, Koestoer RH, Thayib MH. Leveraging *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr. response to drought and climate change in tropical country on plant productivity in backyard. *Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences*. 2021; 9: 382–392. <https://doi.org/10.21533/pen.v9.i3.856>.
- [23] Ghassemi Nejad J, Vakili R, Sobhani E, Sangari M, Mokhtarpour A, Hosseini Ghafari SA. Worldwide Research Trends for Chelates in Animal Science: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Animals*. 2023; 13: 2374. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13142374>.
- [24] Jobaer MA, Ashrafi S, Ahsan M, Hasan CM, Rashid MA, Islam SN, *et al.* Phytochemical and Biological Investigation of an Indigenous Plant of Bangladesh, *Gynura procumbens* (Lour.) Merr.: Drug Discovery from Nature. *Molecules*. 2023; 28: 4186. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28104186>.
- [25] Lokhande A, Ingale SL, Lee SH, Sen S, Khong C, Chae BJ, *et al.* Effects of dietary supplementation with *Gynura procumbens* (Merr.) on egg yolk cholesterol, excreta microflora and laying hen performance. *British Poultry Science*. 2014; 55: 524–531. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2014.938020>.
- [26] Park JH, Song TH, Kim I. Egg production, egg quality, and cecal microbial populations of layers fed diets supplemented with fermented phyto-genic feed additive. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences*. 2016; 40: 660–666. <https://doi.org/10.3906/vet-1512-55>.
- [27] Zhang T, Gu HW, Gao JX, Li YS, Tang HB. Ethanol supernatant extracts of *Gynura procumbens* could treat nanodiethylnitrosamine-induced mouse liver cancer by interfering with inflammatory factors for the tumor microenvironment. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2022; 285: 114917. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2021.114917>.
- [28] Huang XL, Li XJ, Qin QF, Li YS, Zhang WK, Tang HB. Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive effects of active ingredients in the essential oils from *Gynura procumbens*, a traditional medicine and a new and popular food material. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2019; 239: 111916. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2019.111916>.
- [29] Akowuah GA, Ahmad M, Fei YM. Effects of *Gynura procumbens* leaf extracts on plasma lipid peroxidation and total antioxidant status in CCl4-treated rats. *The Natural Products Journal*. 2012; 2: 247–251. <https://doi.org/10.2174/2210315511202040247>.