RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessment of mobile and potential mobile trace elements extractability in calcareous soils using different extracting agents

Mohsen Jalali (🖂), Ziba Hurseresht

Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, Bu_Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

HIGHLIGHTS

- GRAPHIC ABSTRACT
- DTPA and NH₄OAc, HNO₃ and EDTA, and MgCl₂ and NH₄NO₃ had similar behavior.
- In NH₄OAc, DTPA, and EDTA, the possibility of re-adsorption of trace elements is low.
- CaCl₂ may be more suitable than other extracts in calcareous soils.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 March 2019 Revised 28 August 2019 Accepted 9 September 2019 Available online 16 November 2019

Keywords: Mobility Calcareous soils Extracting agents Trace elements



ABSTRACT

Understanding trace elements mobility in soils, extracting agents, and their relationships with soil components, are essential for predicting their movement in soil profile and availability to plants. A laboratory study was conducted to evaluate extractability of cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), and zinc (Zn) from calcareous soils utilizing various extracting agents to be specific CaCl₂, DTPA, EDTA, HNO₃, MgCl₂, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃, and NH₄OAc. Cluster analysis indicated that DTPA and NH₄OAc, HNO₃ and EDTA, and MgCl₂ and NH₄OA. Cluster analysis indicated that DTPA and NH₄OAc, HNO₃ and CaCl₂ have shown different behavior than other extracting agents for all studied trace elements. The speciation of extracted trace elements in solutions indicated that in the CaCl₂, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃, and MgCl₂ extracting agents most extracted Cd, Co, Ni, Zn, and part of Cu were as free ions and may be re-adsorbed on soils, leading to lower extractability, whereas, in the case of HNO₃ extracting agents using NH₄OAc, DTPA, and EDTA extracting agents showed that Me-(Acctate)₃⁻, Me-(Acetate)₂(aq), Me(DTPA)⁻, Me(EDTA)²⁻, and MeH(EDTA)⁻ complexes dominated in solutions indicating that the extracted trace elements may not be re-adsorbed on soils, leading to higher extractability. The results of this study are useful for short and long-term evaluations of trace elements mobility and further environmental impacts.

© Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

1 Introduction

Soils in arid and semi-arid regions recognized for having high calcite reducing trace elements mobility. The mobility and availability pattern of trace elements in calcareous soils varies for each trace element and are significantly dependent on soil properties such as soil texture, organic matter (OM) content, calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), clay mineralogy, concentration, and composition of trace elements in soils (Sánchez-Camazano et al., 1998; Adriano, 2001). The total trace elements content in soils can provide useful information about the accumulation of trace elements in the soil. Anyway, it does not furnish

 $[\]boxtimes$ Corresponding author

E-mail: jalali@basu.ac.ir

information concerning the mobility of trace elements in the soil (Tang et al., 2018, 2019). Thus, it may be necessary to study the trace elements availability in the soil (Ramos-Miras et al., 2011; García-Carmona et al., 2019). Trace elements' extractability from the soil will be influenced by exchange processes, complexation with OM, and sorption (Krishnamurti et al., 2000). In soil, the mobility, chemical form, and toxicity of trace elements are dependent on their reactions with soil. The mobility of trace elements is one of the most important factors in determining the short and long-term environmental impacts of trace elements (Sparks, 1995; Sahuquillo et al., 2003). Understanding trace elements mobility in soils, extracting agents and their interactions with soil components, might make advantageous in foreseeing their mobility in the soil profile and availability to plants. Various single and sequential extracting agents have been proposed to evaluate the availability of trace elements in soils (Venegas et al., 2016; Waterlot et al., 2016; Ennoukh et al., 2017; Jorge Mendoza et al.; 2017; Argyraki et al., 2018). Some researchers indicated high correlations between trace elements extracted by extracting agents such as CaCl₂ and their phytoavailability (Novozamsky et al., 1993; Meers et al., 2007; Fedotov et al., 2012), but the selection of a suitable extracting agent for accurate estimation of trace elements availability in calcareous is debatable (Fedotov et al., 2012). The extracting agents are classified into several groups: acids (hydrochloric acid (HCl), nitric acid (HNO₃)), chelating agents (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid- triethanolamine (DTPA-TEA)), buffered salt solutions (ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc)) and unbuffered (or neutral) salt solutions (calcium chloride (CaCl₂) and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃)) (Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Neutral salt solutions mimic the natural soil solutions (simulate reactions of trace elements with the soil) and hence are valuable to assess the availability of trace elements (Peijnenburg et al., 2007; Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Recent studies have demonstrated that trace elements such as cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn) can be estimated by CaCl₂ extraction (Pueyo et al., 2004; Degryse et al., 2011). de Santiago-Martín et al. (2013) estimated the mobile fraction of Cd, copper (Cu), lead, (Pb) and Zn utilizing different extracting agents in calcareous soils. The use of different chemical extracting agents with different strengths makes it could reasonably be expected to recognize mobile and potentially mobile fractions of trace elements (de Santiago-Martín et al., 2013). The EDTA is often provided a good estimate of the trace elements phytoavailability (Meers et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Argyraki et al., 2018). The DTPA soil test has been utilized by Lindsay and Norvell (1978) for the extraction of Cu, iron (Fe), manganese (Mn) and Zn in calcareous soils. Many numbers of chelating agents have been applied in researches, proving that DTPA might have been the most effective extracting agent for

trace elements in soils (Lindsav and Norvell, 1978; Zbíral, 2016). The efficiency of an extracting agent for assessing trace elements extractability from the soil will be identified with the extracting capability to predict the availability of trace elements (Soriano-Disla et al., 2010). A good extracting agent might foresee the mobility of trace elements under different conditions. These extracting agents release specific trace elements in soil in light of the characteristic of specific extracting agents (Krishnamurti et al., 2000). The extractability of trace elements by different extracting agents from tropical ultramafic soils was carried out in Malaysian soils by van der Ent et al., (2019). They found relationships between extracted trace elements and the physicochemical properties of the soils (van der Ent et al., 2019). But, the relationships between trace elements extracted by different extracting agents have poorly quantified in calcareous soils (Jalali et al., 2019). Trace elements extractability was tested and compared on 25 calcareous soils collected from Hamedan. Iran utilizing eight commonly used extracting agents. This study concentrated on the Cd, cobalt (Co), Cu, nickel (Ni), and Zn trace elements previously shown that related to human activity in agricultural and industrial areas of Hamedan, Iran (Jalali and Majeri, 2016.; Jalali and Khanlari, 2008). The aims of this study were (1) to evaluate extractability of Cd, Co, Cu, Ni and Zn from calcareous soils utilizing different extracting agents, to be specific CaCl₂, DTPA, EDTA, HNO₃, MgCl₂, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃, and NH₄OAc, (2) to find relationships between extracted trace elements and the physicochemical properties of soils, (3) to choose appropriate extracting agent to estimate the trace elements mobility from calcareous soils utilizing distinctive statistical methods.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Soil samples analysis

Twenty-five samples selected from depth 0–30 cm of soils with different land uses in the Hamedan Province, western Iran. The soil samples were air-dried and then sieved through a 2-mm sieve. The physicochemical properties of soils analyzed by routine methods (Rowell, 1994). Particle size distribution measured by the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) measured using 1 mol/L NH₄OAc (pH 7). The OM and equivalent CaCO₃ contents of soils determined using dichromate oxidation, and HCl neutralization, respectively (Rowell, 1994). Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) determined in a 1:5 soil to solution ratio after shaking soil suspensions for one h (Rowell, 1994). The pseudo total Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn contents extracted by 4 mol/L HNO₃ (Sposito et al., 1982) and measured by atomic absorption spectrometry (SpectrAA-220-Varian).

2.2 Extraction procedures

The air-dried and sieved soil samples utilized for the extraction procedures. In this study, 0.01 mol/L CaCl₂, 1 mol/L MgCl₂, 0.1 mol/L NaNO₃, and 1 mol/L NH₄NO₃ extracting agents were utilized to estimate mobile fraction (neutral salts) and 0.005 mol/L DTPA, 0.05 mol/L EDTA, 0.5 mol/L HNO₃, and 1 mol/L NH₄OAc extracting agents were utilized to estimate potentially mobile fraction (Table S1 in Supplementary Materials). The extracted trace elements concentrations measured by the atomic absorption spectrometer. For all soil samples, after shaking, soil samples centrifuged for 15 min and after that filtered by Whatman No. 42 filter paper. All experiments done in duplicates. A recovery test performed utilizing spiked soil samples (Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn), and recoveries were determined. The average total heavy trace elements recovery percentage was 96.8, 94.2, 98.2, 94.3, and 97.3 for Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn, respectively. Quality Assurance and Control (QA/QC) used for analytical data, standard methods used, the reagent blanks and standards carefully monitored, and replicates provided with samples. The equipment and tools calibrated using calibrations and standards. Blanks samples have been used to identify any sources of contamination.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlation coefficients and multiple regression analyze utilized to find the dependence of Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn contents to soil properties (Clay, pH, OM, and CEC) and to identify significant relationships between extracted trace elements by different extracting agents. The significance levels were set at p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001. The MINITAB 17.0 statistical software was employed for the calculations. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) used to find significant differences. Multivariate analysis such as factor analysis (FA) and cluster analysis (CA) also used to interpret results and to find the similarities between different trace elements and extracting agents. Ward's method and the squared Euclidean distance were utilized.

2.4 Speciation

The Minteq model (Allison et al., 1991) used to calculate metal speciation and saturation indices in each extracting agent using following input parameters: measured trace elements concentration (mol/L), the soil solution pH, concentrations of each extracting agent used to extract trace elements (mol/L), atmospheric partial pressure of CO_2 ($P_{CO_2} = 3.83 \times 10^{-4}$), temperature (25°C) and measured soil CaCO₃ as solid phase. Seven soil samples that were representative of other soils chosen for speciation and saturation indices analysis.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Soil properties

The main physicochemical properties of soils and pseudo total contents of trace elements shown in Table S2 in Supplementary Materials. The pH-H₂O value was neutral and alkaline (7.2–8.2). The average amount of CEC and OM in the soils was 15.4 cmol_c/kg and 1.3%, respectively. The pseudo total content of Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn ranged from 0.8 to 2.0, 7.9 to 11.9, 11.9 to 43.9, 30.1 to 65.8, and 23.8 to 47.1 mg/kg, respectively. Threshold trace elements detection for Varian SpectrAA 200 atomic absorption spectrometer model was (mg/L) Cd: 0.02, Co: 0.05, Cu: 0.03, Ni: 0.10, and Zn: 0.01.

3.2 Extractability of trace elements and efficiency of the extracting agents

The contents of extractable trace elements utilizing diverse extracting agents shown in Fig. 1. The highest contents of trace elements extracted by the EDTA (mean = 1.11, 4.09, 8.51, 7.56 mg/kg for Cd, Co, Cu, and Zn, respectively) and HNO₃ (2.05 mg/kg for Ni) and the lowest by the CaCl₂ (0.08, 0.15, 0.18 mg/kg for Cd, Co, and Zn, respectively), NaNO₃ (0.06 mg/kg for Cu), and NaNO₃ (0.048 mg/kg for Ni). The percentage of extractable trace elements relative to pseudo total content by different extracting agents calculated and presented in Fig. 2. There were noteworthy contrasts between EDTA and other extracting agents. There were no contrasts between EDTA and HNO₃ for Co, Ni, and Zn (Fig. 2). Generally, the mean percentages of extractable trace elements followed the order:

Cd: EDTA $(84.4)>HNO_3$ $(55.4)>MgCl_2$ $(48.9)>NH_4OAc$ $(44.3)>NaNO_3$ $(38.8)>NH_4NO_3$ (11.0)>DTPA $(9.4)>CaCl_2$ (5.7).

Co: EDTA (41.9)>HNO₃ (38.0)>NH₄OAc (27.9) >MgCl₂ (12.2)>DTPA (10.4)>NaNO₃ (2.2)>CaCl₂ (1.6)>NH₄NO₃ (1.5).

Cu: EDTA (32.2)>DTPA (16.1)>HNO₃ (15.8)>NH₄OAc (9.9)>MgCl₂ (2.8)>NH₄NO₃ (1.9)>CaCl₂ (0.3)>NaNO₃ (0.3).

Ni: HNO₃ (15.0)>EDTA (14.7)>DTPA (6.2)>NH₄OAc (5.3)>MgCl₂ (4.9)>NH₄NO₃ (0.9)>NaNO₃ (0.8)>CaCl₂ (0.6).

Zn: HNO₃ (23.9)>EDTA (21.0)>DTPA (9.7)>MgCl₂ (3.3)>NH₄OAc (3.1)>NaNO₃ (0.8)>NH₄NO₃ (0.7) >CaCl₂ (0.5).

Considering EDTA extracting agent, a lower average percentage of Ni (14.7%) extracted than Cd (84.4%), Co (41.9%), Cu (32.2), and Zn (21.0%), indicate that Cd, Co, Cu, and Zn can form a complex with EDTA. The results are comparable with those obtained by Iglesias et al. (2018), who found higher Cd (20%–30%) and Cu (35%–40%) extractability than for Ni, Co, and Zn in Mediterra-



Fig. 1 Boxplot of extracted trace elements by different extracting agents (open circles denote the mean value and filled circles denote the median value).

nean agricultural soils amended with sewage sludge. Pardo et al. (2011) reported higher mobility of Cd than Ni, Cr, and Pb in amended soils. Organic acids released from the root are also able to form a complex with trace elements and hence increasing mobility and leaching of trace elements in soil (Rajkumar et al., 2012). Thus, according



Fig. 2 Comparison between the Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn-extractable fraction of total trace element content (%) by CaCl₂, DTPA, EDTA, HNO₃, MgCl₂, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃ and NH₄OAc. Different letters show significant differences at p < 0.05.

to the results in contaminated soils, EDTA extracting agent can be used to remove efficiently Cd, Co, Cu, and partly Zn.

Greater efficiency of EDTA for extracting trace elements from soils was reported by other researchers such as Zhang et al. (2010), de Santiago-Martín et al. (2013). Simple acid extracting agents (such as 2 mol/L HNO₃) is not appropriate for estimating the phytoavailability of trace elements in soils because such extracting agents extract more trace element from the soil than salt ones and is unsatisfactory for predicting metal concentrations in plants (Gupta and Aten, 1993).

The Cd, Co, and Zn extracted by NaNO₃ extracting agents were more than $CaCl_2$ and NH_4NO_3 extracting agents. However, Pueyo et al. (2004) found lower contents of Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn when using 0.1 mol/L NaNO₃ compared with 0.01 mol/L CaCl₂ or 1 mol/L NH₄NO₃. The 0.1 mol/L NaNO₃ extracting agent does not affect the solid and solution equilibrium because it does not form complexes and its cations or anions do not take apart in

exchange reactions (Gupta and Aten, 1993). A higher amount of trace elements extracted by NH₄NO₃ than CaCl₂ extracting agent, which may be due to the higher ionic strength of the NH₄NO₃ extracting agent (Puevo et al., 2004). The extracted trace elements by neutral salt solutions (CaCl₂, MgCl₂, NaNO₃, and NH₄NO₃) were lower than those extracted by DTPA. It was indicated that NH₄NO₃ and CaCl₂ extracting agents could only remove trace elements from soluble and exchangeable phases (Rao et al. 2008). Some researchers (Sahuquillo et al., 2003, Li et al., 2014; Kelepertzis et al., 2015) highlighted the low ability of CaCl₂ to extract trace elements from soils. On the other hand, DTPA can remove a higher amount of trace elements from calcareous soils due to the presence of TEA, maintaining pH at 7.3 (O'Connor, 1988). Gupta and Sinha (2007) likewise acquired comparable outcomes. de Santiago-Martín et al. (2013) suggested that the low extractability of trace elements with neutral salts might be due to the specific adsorption of trace elements to the soils instead of retention on exchange positions.

3.3 Comparison between trace element contents with background contents of available trace elements in soils

Background values for Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn in agricultural soils utilizing EDTA solution in a European Mediterranean region were 0.13, 1.00, 0.80, 0.70, and 1.90 mg/kg, respectively (Ramos-Miras et al., 2011). In this study, the mean extractable Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn (1.11, 4.16, 8.51, 5.94, and 8.11 mg/kg) extracted by EDTA extracting agent were higher than those reported by Micó et al. (2007) in Spanish calcareous soils utilizing EDTA extraction. Ramos-Miras et al. (2011) reported higher concentrations of available trace elements compared with other researches. Extracted Cu and Zn by DTPA extracting agent in the most soils (72% and 64% of soils for Cu and Zn, respectively) were above the critical value of 1.75 mg/kg proposed by Zbíral (2016).

3.4 Correlation analysis between extracted trace elements by different extracting agents and soil properties

Correlation analysis is essential in analyzing trace elements in soils. Pearson correlation was utilized to investigate relationships between extractable contents (by the different extracting agents) and soil properties (Table S3 in Supplementary Materials). Particle size has a vital role in the availability of trace elements (Pinto et al., 2015). The results indicated that clay content was positively correlated with extractable Cd, Co, and Zn using NaNO₃ and extractable Cd using NH₄NO₃. Clay and silt had a negative correlation with extractable Cd, Cu, and Ni using CaCl₂ and NH₄OAc extracting agents, whereas significant positive correlations observed between sand and extracted Cd, Cu, and Ni using both extracting agents.

Extractable Cd using NH₄NO₃ and Co using NaNO₃

extracting agents was significantly related to OM content indicating, those trace elements may be absorbed by OM (Cancela et al., 2002). Cadmium and Co, extracted by NH₄NO₃ and NaNO₃ extracting agents had a negative correlation with EC. Among soil properties, pH is viewed as the most important factor (Mühlbachová et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2011). The negative correlation found between extractable Co and Cu using HNO₃ and pH. The pH was also significantly correlated with Ni extracted using NH₄OAc and Zn extracted by MgCl₂.

3.5 Multiple regression analysis

Multiple regression analysis was used to find the relationships between soil properties (pH, EC, CEC, OM, CaCO₃, clay, silt, and sand) and extracted trace elements (Table 1). For CaCl₂ and EDTA extracting agents, clay and OM were the relevant parameters. For all trace elements and extracting agents, r value > 0.40 obtained (p < 0.05). In the case of Cd and Ni, a higher correlation (p < 0.001) obtained using CaCl₂ and NH₄NO₃ than other extracting agents. Ettler et al. (2007) found that these extracting agents are also had a significant positive correlation for Cd, Cu, and Zn (p < 0.001). The correlation obtained by CaCl₂-extractable Cu and Ni was generally greater than other extracting agents.

Relationships between trace elements extracted by diverse extracting agents with each other appeared in Fig. 3. According to Fig. 3, extracted Cd, Cu, Ni, and Zn by EDTA had a significant positive correlation with these trace elements extracted by the HNO₃ extracting agent. For Cd and Zn, a significant correlation obtained between neutral salt solutions (NaNO₃ with NH₄NO₃ and NH₄NO₃ with MgCl₂, respectively).

3.6 Multivariate analysis

The FA used to find the similarity between trace elements utilizing mean values of all extracting agents for each trace element and to recognize the similarity between different extracting agents utilizing mean values of trace elements extracted by each extracting agent. Two factors extracted, accounting for over 70.3% of the total variation of soil trace elements (Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn). The factors 1 and 2 for the trace elements described 39% and 31.3% of the total sample variance, respectively. The component loadings of Cu (0.970) and Zn (0.974) were higher on factor 1 while, on factor 2, Co (0.858) and Ni (0.853) had higher loading. Hierarchical cluster analysis used on the Euclidean distances and the dendrogram of the trace elements and extracting agents shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively.

The dendrogram used to examine the relationships between different trace elements using extracting agents separately. Each of the eight dendrograms had two main large clusters. When the trace elements extracted with the

Metal	Method	Equation	r
Cd	CaCl ₂	0.192 – 0.025 OM – 0.004 Clay	0.85***
	DTPA	_	_
	EDTA	1.267 – 0.007 Clay	0.42*
	HNO ₃	0.494 + 1.441 EC	0.54**
	MgCl ₂	0.642 + 0.004 Silt	0.68***
	NaNO ₃	-0.142 + 0.017 CEC + 0.119 OM + 0.014 Clay - 0.004 Silt	0.86***
	NH ₄ NO ₃	0.093 - 0.192 EC + 0.004 CEC + 0.011 OM	0.89***
	NH ₄ OAc	1.137 + 0.018 CaCO ₃ -0.008 Clay-0.009 Silt	0.75***
Со	CaCl ₂	0.32 – 0.01 Clay	0.54**
	DTPA	-	_
	EDTA	-	-
	HNO ₃	$4.52 - 0.09 \text{ CaCO}_3$	0.65***
	MgCl ₂	1.31 – 0.01 Clay	0.47*
	NaNO ₃	0.29 - 0.68 EC + 0.002 Silt	0.82***
	NH ₄ NO ₃	0.11 + 0.001 Silt	0.40*
	NH ₄ OAc	3.49 + 0.07 CaCO ₃ - 0.05 Silt	0.72***
Cu	CaCl ₂	0.18 + 0.85 EC - 0.06 OM - 0.01 Clay	0.90***
	DTPA	18.78 – 2.64 pH + 37.86 EC – 0.92 OM	0.80***
	EDTA	17.40 – 1.50 OM – 0.33 Clay	0.71***
	HNO ₃	38.81 – 4.23 pH – 0.16 Clay	0.71***
	MgCl ₂	-	-
	NaNO ₃	0.67- 0.09 pH + 0.03 OM	0.47*
	NH ₄ NO ₃	-0.03 + 2.76 EC	0.57**
	NH ₄ OAc	1.81 + 3.37 EC - 0.01 Silt	0.68***
Ni	CaCl ₂	0.597 – 0.070 OM – 0.014 Clay	0.75***
	DTPA	3.690 – 0.461 CEC + 0.280 Clay	0.49*
	EDTA	$7.814 - 0.780 \text{ OM} - 0.265 \text{ CaCO}_3 + 0.079 \text{ Silt}$	0.59**
	HNO ₃	$8.786 - 0.253 \text{ CaCO}_3$	0.57**
	MgCl ₂	-	-
	NaNO ₃	0.269 + 0.003 Clay	0.44*
	NH ₄ NO ₃	0.938 – 0.090 pH + 0.004 Silt	0.73***
	NH ₄ OAc	2.60 – 0.020 Silt	0.59**
Zn	CaCl ₂	-	-
	DTPA	11.63 – 0.47 CEC	0.42*
	EDTA	24.84 – 0.67 Clay	0.53**
	HNO ₃	101.00 – 10.27 pH – 0.66 Clay	0.68***
	MgCl ₂	$9.20-1.08 \ pH-0.038 \ CEC+0.06 \ CaCO_3$	0.67***
	NaNO ₃	0.01 + 0.02 CEC	0.58**
	NH ₄ NO ₃	1.12 – 0.12 pH	0.47*
	NH ₄ OAc	-	_

Table 1 Multiple regressions between Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn extracted by different extracting agents and soil properties

Note: *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.



Fig. 3 Results of the linear regression analysis between extractable Cd, Co, Cu, Ni and Zn by different extracting agents (*p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01).



Fig. 4 Dendrogram of trace elements extracted by different extracting agents.



Fig. 5 Dendrograms of extracting agents for each trace element.

EDTA, HNO₃, MgCl₂, NH₄NO₃, and DTPA extracting agents used in clustering (Fig. 4), the first cluster contained a pair of Co-Ni and the second cluster contained a pair of Cu-Zn and Cd variables. In the case of CaCl₂, the pairs of Cd-Co, Cu-Ni and Zn variables included in the first and second clusters, respectively. Clustering the trace elements extracted by NaNO₃ extracting agent showed a pair of Cd-Co and Zn, Ni, Cu included in the first and second clusters respectively, while in the case of NH₄OAc a pair of Cd-Co and a pair of Zn-Ni and Cu included in the first and second

cluster, respectively. Finally, cluster analysis performed to identify the similarity between trace elements released by different extracting agents using the mean values of all extracting agents for each trace element. The dendrogram of the trace elements as variables showed two main clusters of trace elements. The first cluster included a pair of Co-Ni, while cluster 2 contained a pair of Cu-Zn, and Cd (Fig. 6(a)). There were high correlations between Cu and Zn, and between Ni and Co, indicating that their sources may be comparative, but Cd was relatively poorly



Fig. 6 (a) Dendrograms of extracted trace elements (mean of extracting agents) and (b) extracting agents (mean of extracted trace elements).

correlated to the Cu and Zn, and Ni and Co, indicating the sources of Cd may be diverse.

The factors 1 and 2 for the extracting agents described 54.2% and 19.0% of the total sample variance, respectively. The component loadings of CaCl₂ (0.894), NaNO₃ (-0.722), NH₄OAc (0.748), HNO₃ (0.876), DTPA (0.747), and EDTA (0.747) were higher on the factor 1 whereas, on the factor 2, MgCl₂ (-0.617) and NH₄NO₃ (-0.785) had higher loading.

The dendrogram used to examine the relationships between different extracting agents using trace elements separately. Each of the five dendrograms had two main large clusters. When the extracting agents extracted Cd utilized in clustering (Fig. 5) the first cluster contained a pair of EDTA-HNO₃, and CaCl₂ and DTPA and the second cluster contained pairs of MgCl₂-NH₄OAc and NaNO₃-NH₄NO₃ extracting agents. In the case of Co (Fig. 5) pairs of CaCl₂-NH₄OAc, HNO₃-MgCl₂, and NH₄NO₃ included in the first cluster and in the second cluster comprised a pair of DTPA-EDTA, and NaNO₃ extracting agent. Clustering extracting agents extracted Cu (Fig. 5) showed that the first cluster contained a pair of CaCl₂-DTPA, and NH₄OAc and EDTA- HNO₃, and NH₄NO₃ extracting agent, and a pair of MgCl₂-NaNO₃ extracting agent included in the second cluster. The first and second clusters for Ni (Fig. 5) comprised pairs of CaCl₂-NH₄OAc and EDTA-HNO₃ extracting agents and a pair of NaNO₃-NH₄NO₃, and DTPA and MgCl₂, respectively. When the extracting agents extracted Zn utilized in clustering (Fig. 5), the first cluster contained pairs of CaCl₂-NH₄OAc and NH₄NO₃-MgCl₂, and the second cluster contained a pair of HNO₃-EDTA, DTPA, and NaNO₃ extracting agents.

Finally, cluster analysis performed to identify the similarity between extractants utilizing mean values of all trace elements for each extracting agent. The dendrogram of the extracting agents showed two clusters of extracting agents (Fig. 6(b)). The first cluster included pairs of EDTA-HNO₃, CaCl₂ and DTPA-NH₄OAC, and the second cluster contained pairs of MgCl₂-NH₄NO₃ and NaNO₃ variables.

In general, EDTA and HNO₃ extracted a higher percentage of trace elements than other extracting agents. They may be valuable for soils contaminated with trace elements, but CaCl₂, NH₄OAc, MgCl₂, and NH₄NO₃ extracting agents can be utilized to extract mobile trace elements. Gupta and Sinha (2007) applied cluster analysis on trace elements extracted by diverse extracting agents and found two clusters, including NH₄NO₃, CaCl₂, and DTPA and EDTA and NaNO₃. They indicated that the first group had a close relationship. On the contrary, EDTA and NaNO₃ extracting agents showed a dispersed relationship. Ettler et al. (2007) indicated that 1 mol/kg NH₄NO₃ has high ionic strength for speciation of trace elements in the extracts.

Gupta and Sinha (2007) reported that EDTA had the best correlation with trace elements accumulated by Sesamum indicum grown on soils amended with tannery sludge. They also suggested that 0.01 mol/kg CaCl₂ was appropriate for extracting trace elements such as Cd, Zn, Ni, and Cu. The use of CaCl₂ extraction is justified for the following reasons: (1) some authors (Gupta and Aten, 1993; Hammer and Keller, 2002) reported that CaCl₂ might be more useful for estimating trace elements availability than DTPA. (2) 0.01 mol/kg CaCl₂ solution has the same ionic strength in the soil solution (Novozamsky et al., 1993; Gupta and Aten, 1993). (3) The CaCl₂-extractable trace elements remove trace elements from the solution and exchange phases (Gupta and Sinha, 2007). It indicated that extractable trace elements with CaCl₂ extraction agent might be a good indication of the mobility of various trace elements. Vázquez et al. (2008) aimed to evaluate different extractions and found that CaCl₂-extractable Cu and Zn were well correlated with their concentrations in white lupin. It suggested that the CaCl₂ extracting agent might be more suitable than other extracting agents in calcareous soils.

3.7 Metal speciation and saturation indices

Results of trace elements speciation and saturation indices

in each extracting agent appeared in Table 2 and Table S4 in Supplementary Materials, respectively. The speciation of the trace elements in the CaCl₂ extracting agent was as follows: Co (95%), Ni (93%), and Zn (93%) were mainly present as the free ionic form, while Cd and Cu were mostly present as Cd²⁺ (49%), CdCl⁺ (47%) and Cu²⁺ (32%), CuCO₃ (aq) (57%), respectively. The pH of the suspension of CaCl₂ extracting agent was near 7.2 (average of 7 soils) and high percentage of negatively charged was dominated, indicating that most extracted Cd, Co, Ni, Zn, and part of Cu may be re-adsorbed on soils, resulting in lower extractability (Ettler et al., 2007; Peters, 1999).

Cadmium in the MgCl₂ extracting agent was mainly present in the CdCl₂ (aq) (74%) and CdCl⁺ (26%) forms, Co was mainly present as Co²⁺ (66%) and CoCl⁺ (23%), Cu as CuCO₃ (aq) (59%), CuCl⁺ (19%), and Cu²⁺ (12%); Ni as Ni²⁺ (64%), NiCl⁺ (18%), and NiHCO₃⁺ (15%); and Zn as ZnCl₃⁻ (29%), ZnCl₄²⁻ (23%), ZnCl⁺ (22%), ZnCl₂ (aq) (15%), and Zn²⁺ (10%). In the case of this extracting agent and considering pH of the soil suspension (7.1), the possibility of re-adsorption of Co, Ni, and Zn is higher than Cd and Cu.

In the case of the HNO₃ extracting agent, the dominate Cd species were Cd²⁺ (68%) and CdNO₃⁺ (29%). Cobalt was mainly present as Co²⁺ (76%) and CoNO₃⁺ (16%). Copper was present as Cu²⁺ (69%) and CuNO₃⁺ (30%). Nickel was present as Ni²⁺ (75%) and NiNO₃⁺ (25%), whereas Zn was present as Zn²⁺ (74%) and ZnNO₃⁺ (25%). The pH of the suspension of the HNO₃ extracting agent was lower than 2, and positive charges may be dominated. Thus, the possibility of re-adsorption of trace elements is low. The dominance of free ion species indicates that the dissolution of soil minerals or the exchange of H⁺ with cations may be important mechanisms affecting trace element extraction using HNO₃ (Vidal et al., 2004).

The speciation of Cd, Co, Ni, and Zn in the NaNO₃ extracting agent dominated by the free ionic form, accounting for 84%, 86%, 80%, and 72%, respectively, with $CdNO_3^+$ (10%), $CONO_3^+$ (5%), $NiNO_3^+$ (7%), NiCO₃ (aq) (7%), ZnCO₃ (aq) (10%), and ZnNO₃⁺ (7%). Copper was mainly present as $CuCO_3$ (aq) (77%) and $CuOH^+$ (11%). Considering the pH of the extractions (8.1), the possibility of re-adsorption of Cd, Co, Ni, and Zn was higher than Cu. The speciation of trace elements in the NH_4NO_3 extracting agent dominated by $CdNO_3^+$ (47%), Cd^{2+} (38%), $Cd(NO_3)_2$ (aq) (12%); Co^{2+} (44%), $Co(NO_3)_2$ (aq) (28%), $CoNO_3^+$ (27%); $CuNH_3^{2+}$ (38%), $CuNO_3^+$ (22%), Cu(NH₃)₂²⁺ (18%), Cu²⁺ (18%); Ni²⁺ (48%), NiNO₃⁺ (47%); Zn^{2+} (47%), $ZnNO_{3+}$ (46%). Considering the pH of the extractions (6.2), the possibility of readsorption of all trace elements was high.

The speciation of Co, Ni, and Zn in the NH₄OAc extracting agent dominated by Me-(Acetate)⁺ and Me-(Acetate)₂ (aq), while Cu was mainly present

as Cu-(Acetate)₃⁻, Cu(NH₃)₃²⁺, Cu(NH₃)₄²⁺, and Cu-(Acetate)₂ (aq) and Cd was mainly present as Cd-(Acetate)₃⁻ and Cd-(Acetate)₂ (aq). As the results showed the pH of the suspension of NH₄OAc was 7.3, thus, about 60% and 40% of extracted Cd and Cu may be re-adsorbed on soils, resulting in lower extractability (Peters, 1999; Ettler et al., 2007).

The speciation of trace elements in the DTPA extracting agent dominated by $Me(DTPA)^{3-}$ and in the EDTA extracting agent dominated by $Me(EDTA)^{2-}$ and MeH (EDTA)⁻ complexes. The formation of soluble compounds between trace elements and chelating agents caused the highest extraction efficiencies of theses extracting agents.

Regarding the pH of the suspension of DTPA (\approx 8.5) and EDTA (\approx 5.5), the formation of soluble complexes with trace elements in the DTPA and EDTA extracting agents was expected (de Santiago-Martín et al. 2013). Thus, the extracted trace elements may not be re-adsorbed on soils, resulting in higher extractability (Peters, 1999; Ettler et al., 2007).

Saturation indices of minerals controlling trace elements solubility extracted by different extracting agents were calculated (Table S4 in Supplementary Materials). The extracts were under saturation with respect to Cd, Co, Cu, Ni, and Zn minerals in CaCl₂, DTPA, EDTA, HNO₃, NaNO₃, NH₄NO₃ and NH₄OAc extracting agents, whereas Cu was near equilibrium with respect to malachite and tenorit(c), Co and Ni with regard to CoCO₃(s), and NiCO₃(s), respectively, in MgCl₂ extracting agent. Cobalt, Cu, Ni, and Zn were near equilibrium with respect to CoCO₃(s), malachite and tenorite(am), NiCO₃(s), and ZnCO₃(s) and smithsonite, respectively, in NaNO₃ extracting agent.

4 Conclusions

In this study, diverse extracting agents utilized to extract trace elements from calcareous soils. The content of extractable trace elements varied widely among the calcareous soils. The amount of trace elements extracted by different extracting agents also varied among diverse extracting agents. In this study, factor analysis performed to group the trace elements and extracting agents. Cluster analysis applied to trace elements extracted with diverse extracting agents showed different grouping of trace elements (i) Co and Ni (ii) Cu and Zn, and (iii) Cd, whereas cluster analysis applied to find the similarity between extracting agents also exhibited different grouping of variables (i) EDTA-HNO₃ and CaCl₂ (ii) DTPA-NH₄OAc (iii) MgCl₂-NH₄NO₃ (iv) NaNO₃. Overall, based on cluster analysis and mobility, it suggested that the CaCl₂ extracting agent might be more suitable than other extracting agents in calcareous soils.

Table 2	Average species	and perc	centage of me	tals usin	g different extra	acting ag	ents									
							_	Extracting	g agent							
Species	CaCl ₂		MgCl	2_	DTPA		EDTA		ONH	~	NaNO ₃		NH4NO	~	NH4OAc	
- -	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	$^{\prime\prime}_{\prime m 0}$
Cd	Cd^{2+}	48.94	Cd^{2+}	0.35	I	1	I	T	Cd^{2+}	67.61	Cd^{2+}	83.56	Cd^{2+}	38.25	Cd^{2+}	0.27
	CdC1 ⁺	47.12	CdCl ⁺	25.57	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	CdCl ₂ (aq)	2.75	CdCl ₂ (aq)	74.05	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I
	CdCO ₃ (aq)	0.35	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	I	CdCO ₃ (aq)	4.70	I	I	Ι	I
	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Cd-(Acetate) ₃ ⁻	62.26
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Cd-(Acetate) ₂ (aq)	26.79
	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	Cd-Acetate ⁺	8.63
	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	CdNH3 ²⁺	2.76	CdNH ₃ ²⁺	0.92
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	CdNO ₃ ⁺	29.01	$CdNO_3^+$	9.60	CdNO ₃ ⁺	47.20	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Cd(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	3.38	Cd(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	0.28	Cd(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	11.74	I	I
	I	I	I	Ι	Cd-DTPA ³	99.97	CdEDTA ²⁻	94.83	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I
	I	I	Ι	I	CdH-DTPA ²	0.04	CdHEDTA ⁻	5.16	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	I	I	I	I	ı		CdH ₂ EDTA (aq)	0.01	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Co	Co^{2+}	95.01	Co^{2+}	66.27	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Co^{2+}	75.93	Co^{2+}	85.91	Co^{2+}	44.11	$C0^{2+}$	7.68
	CoC1 ⁺	0.43	CoCl ⁺	22.89	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I		I	I	Ι	I
	CoCO ₃ (aq)	0.55	CoCO ₃ (aq)	1.03	I	I	I	I	I	I	CoCO ₃ (aq)	3.93	I	I	I	I
	CoHCO3 ⁺	3.84	CoHCO3 ⁺	9.67	I	I	I	I	I	I	CoHCO3 ⁺	3.49	I	I	CoHCO ₃ ⁺	1.94
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	CoNO ₃ ⁺	16.33	$CoNO_3^+$	4.95	$CoNO_3^+$	27.28	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Co(NO ₃₎₂ (aq)	7.75	Co(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	0.59	Co(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	27.64	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Co(NH ₃) ₂ ⁺	0.96	Co(NH ₃) ₂ ⁺	7.95
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Co(NH3) ₂ ²⁺	2.21
	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Co-Acetate ⁺	71.37
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Co-(Acetate) ₂ (aq)	8.35
	I	I	I	I	Co-DTPA ³⁻	99.95	CoEDTA ²⁻	93.57	I	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	I
	I	I	I	I	CoH-DTPA ²⁻	0.05	CoHEDTA ⁻	6.41	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	CoH ₂ EDTA (aq)	0.02	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I

															(Continu	(pa
								Extracting	agent							
Species	CaCl ₂		MgCl	0	DTPA		EDTA		ONH	3	NaNO		NH4NO	3	NH4OAc	
	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%
Cu	Cu ²⁺	31.96	Cu ²⁺	12.27	I	I	I	I	Cu^{2+}	69.37	Cu ²⁺	5.47	Cu ²⁺	17.89	Cu ²⁺	0.02
	$CuOH^+$	9.08	CuOH ⁺	3.83	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	CuOH ⁺	10.59	CuOH ⁺	0.13	I	I
	CuCl ⁺	0.64	CuCl ⁺	18.93	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι
	I	I	CuCl ₂ (aq)	3.62	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	
	CuCO ₃ (aq)	56.88	CuCO ₃ (aq)	59.10	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	CuCO ₃ (aq)	77.35	Ι	I	I	I
	$Cu(CO_3)_2^{2-}$	0.18	$Cu(CO_3)_2^{2-}$	0.67	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	$Cu(CO_3)_2^{2-}$	3.83	I	I	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	CuNO ₃ ⁺	29.76	$CuNO_3^+$	0.63	CuNO ₃ ⁺	22.07	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	Ι	I	Ι	CuNH3 ²⁺	38.04	CuNH3 ²⁺	0.87
	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	Cu(NH ₃) ₃ ²⁺	2.32	Cu(NH ₃) ₃ ²⁺	26.54
	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	$Cu(NH_3)_2^{2+}$	18.12	Cu(NH ₃) ₂ ²⁺	9.28
	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	$Cu(NH_3)_4^{2+}$	0.06	Cu(NH ₃) ₄ ²⁺	14.80
	I	I	Ι	l	I	I	Ι	Į	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	Cu-Acetate ⁺	1.16
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Cu-(Acetate) ₂ (aq)	8.86
	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Cu-(Acetate) ₃ ⁻	38.48
	I	I	I	I	Cu-DTPA ³⁻	99.97	CuEDTA ²⁻	92.02	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	I	I	I	I	CuH-DTPA ²⁻	0.03	CuHEDTA ⁻	7.93	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	CuH ₂ EDTA (aq)	0.05	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Ni	Ni^{2+}	92.58	Ni^{2+}	64.22	I	I	I	I	Ni^{2+}	74.58	Ni^{2+}	79.80	Ni^{2+}	47.85	Ni^{2+}	1.56
	NiC1 ⁺	0.35	$NiCl^+$	18.45	I	I	Ι	I	I	I		I	I	I	I	
	NiCO ₃ (aq)	1.04	NiCO ₃ (aq)	1.95	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	NiCO ₃ (aq)	7.12	I	I	I	
	NiHCO ₃ ⁺	5.93	NiHCO ₃ ⁺	14.86	Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I	NiHCO3 ⁺	5.14	Ι	I	I	
	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	NiNO ₃ +	25.42	$NiNO_3^+$	7.28	NiNO ₃ +	46.90	I	
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	NiNH3 ²⁺	5.10	NiNH3 ²⁺	3.72
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ni(NH ₃) ₂ ²⁺	0.15	Ni(NH ₃) ₂ ²⁺	2.38
	I	I	Ι	l	I	I	Ι	l	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ni-Acetate ⁺	16.68
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ni-(Acetate) ₂ (aq)	75.15
	I	I	I	I	Ni-DTPA ³⁻	99.77	NiEDTA ²⁻	92.06	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
	I	I	Ι	I	NiH-DTPA ²⁻	0.23	NiHEDTA-	7.94	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	

Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 2020, 14(1): 7

e u
-
~
2
+
1
~
0
6.5
\sim
<u> </u>
-

																(Contin	(pəi
								H	Extracting	g agent							
	CaCl ₂ MgCl ₂ DTPA	2 MgCl ₂ DTPA	MgCl ₂ DTPA	DTPA	DTPA			EDTA		ONH	3	NaNO ₃		NH4NO	3	NH4OA	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Trace γ_6 Trace γ_6 Trace γ_7 elements γ_7	γ_{c} Trace γ_{c} Trace γ_{c} elements γ_{c}	Trace γ_6 Trace η_1 elements η_1	% Trace $%$ elements $%$	Trace 9, elements	8	.0	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%	Trace elements	%
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Zn^{2+} 93.14 Zn^{2+} 9.80 -	93.14 Zn^{2+} 9.80 -	Zn^{2+} 9.80 -		I		1	I	I	Zn^{2+}	73.72	Zn^{2+}	72.21	Zn^{2+}	47.36	Zn^{2+}	3.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ZnOH^+$ 0.84 $ZnCl^+$ 21.86 -	0.84 ZnCl ⁺ 21.86 –	ZnCl ⁺ 21.86 –	21.86 -	I		I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$Zn(OH)_2$ (aq) 0.13 $ZnCl_4^{2-}$ 23.11 –	0.13 ZnCl ₄ ²⁻ 23.11 –	ZnCl ₄ ²⁻ 23.11 –	23.11 –	I		I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	Ι	I
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ZnCl^+$ 2.71 $ZnCl_3^-$ 29.24 –	2.71 ZnCl ₃ ⁻ 29.24 -	ZnCl ₃ 29.24 –	29.24 –	Ι		I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	I
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ZnCl_2$ (aq) 0.04 $ZnCl_2$ (aq) 14.84 –	0.04 ZnCl ₂ (aq) 14.84 –	ZnCl ₂ (aq) 14.84 –	14.84 –	I		I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	1	I	I		L	I	I	I	I	$ZnOH^+$	4.42	I	Ι	$ZnOH^+$	0.02
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	1	I	I		I	Ι	Ι	$ZnNO_3^+$	25.12	$ZnNO_3^+$	6.59	$ZnNO_3^+$	46.42	Ι	Ι
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	1	I	I		I	I	I	Zn(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	1.17	Zn(OH)2 (aq)	5.47	Zn(NO ₃) ₂ (aq)	4.60	I	I
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	1	1	1	I		I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι
- - - - - - 43.23 - - - - - - 2n-Acetate ⁺ 43.23 - - - - - - - 2n-Acetate ⁺ 43.23 0.79 ZnEDTA ²⁻ 93.59 - - - 2n-Acetate ⁺ 46.73 21 ZnHEDTA ⁻ 6.41 - - - - - -	ZnCO ₃ (aq) 1.62 ZnCO ₃ (aq) 0.46 –	1.62 ZnCO ₃ (aq) 0.46 –	ZnCO ₃ (aq) 0.46 –	0.46 -	I		I	I	Ι	I	I	ZnCO ₃ (aq)	9.98	I	I	Ι	Ι
Zn-(Acetate) ₂ 46.73 9.79 ZnEDTA ²⁻ 93.59 Zn-(Acetate) ₂ 46.73 (aq)	1	1	1	1	Ι		T	Ι	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	I	I	I	Zn-Acetate ⁺	43.23
7.9 ZnEDTA ²⁻ 93.59 - <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>Zn-(Acetate)₂ (aq)</td> <td>46.73</td>	1	1	1	I	I		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Zn-(Acetate) ₂ (aq)	46.73
.21 ZnHEDTA ⁻ 6.41	– – – Zn-DTPA ^{3–} 99	– – – Zn-DTPA ^{3–} 90	– – Zn-DTPA ^{3–} 99	– Zn-DTPA ^{3–} 90	Zn-DTPA ³⁻ 99	6	9.79	ZnEDTA ²⁻	93.59	I	Ι	I	I	I	Ι	I	I
	– – – ZnH-DTPA ^{2–} (– – ZnH-DTPA ^{2–} (– ZnH-DTPA ^{2–} (– ZnH-DTPA ^{2–} (ZnH-DTPA ²⁻ (Ŭ	0.21	$ZnHEDTA^{-}$	6.41	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I	Ι	I

Electronic Supplementary Material Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-019-1186-4 and is accessible for authorized users.

References

- Adriano D C (2001). Trace Elements in Terrestrial Environments: Biogeochemistry, Bioavailability, and Risk of Heavy Metals. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer, 896
- Allison J D, Brown D S, Novo-Gradac K (1991). MINTEQA2 = PRODEFA2—A Geochemical Assessment Model for Environmental Systems—Version 3.11 Databases and Version 3.0. User's Manual, Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Ga
- Argyraki A, Kelepertzis E, Botsou F, Paraskevopoulou V, Katsikis I, Trigoni M (2018). Environmental availability of trace elements (Pb, Cd, Zn, Cu) in soil from urban, suburban, rural and mining areas of Attica, Hellas. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 187: 201– 213
- Bouyoucos G J (1962). Hydrometer method improved for making particles size of soils. Agronomy Journal, 54(5): 464–465
- Cancela R C, de Abreu C, Paz-Gonza'lez A (2002). DTPA and Mehlich-3 micronutrient extractability in natural soils. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 33(15-18): 2879–2893
- de Santiago-Martín A, Valverde-Asenjo I, Quintana J R, Vázquez A, Lafuente A L, González-Huecas C (2013). Metal extractability patterns to evaluate (potentially) mobile fractions in periurban calcareous agricultural soils in the Mediterranean area-analytical and mineralogical approaches. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International, 20(9): 6392–6405
- Degryse F, Voegelin A, Olivier J, Kretzschmar R, Smolders E (2011). Characterization of zinc in contaminated soils: Complementary insights from isotopic exchange, batch extractions and XAFS spectroscopy. European Journal of Soil Science, 62(2): 318–330
- Ennoukh F E, Bchitou R, Mohammed F, Guillaume D, Harhar H, Bouhaouss A (2017). Study of the effects of extraction methods on Argan oil quality through its metal content. Industrial Crops and Products, 109: 182–184
- Ettler V, Mihaljevic M, Sebek O, Grygar T (2007). Assessment of single extractions for the determination of mobile forms of metals in highly polluted soils and sediments—Analytical and thermodynamic approaches. Analytica Chimica Acta, 602(1): 131–140
- Fedotov P S, Kordel W, Miro M, Peijnenburg W J G M, Wennrich R, Huang P-M (2012). Extraction and fractionation methods for exposure assessment of trace metals, metalloids and hazardous organic compounds in terrestrial environment. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 42(11): 1117–1171
- García-Carmona M, Romero-Freire A, Aragón M S, Martín Peinado F J (2019). Effectiveness of ecotoxicological tests in relation to physicochemical properties of Zn and Cu polluted Mediterranean soils. Geoderma, 338: 259–268
- Gupta A K, Sinha S (2007). Assessment of single extraction methods for the prediction of bioavailability of metals to Brassica juncea L. Czern. (var. Vaibhav) grown on tannery waste contaminated soil. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 149(1): 144–150

Gupta S K, Aten C C (1993). Comparison and evaluation of extraction

media and their suitability in a simple model to predict the biological relevance of heavy metal concentrations in contaminated soils. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 51(1-4): 25–46

- Hammer D, Keller C (2002). Changes in the rhizosphere of metalaccumulating plants evidenced by chemical extractants. Journal of Environmental Quality, 31(5): 1561–1569
- Iglesias M, Marguí E, Camps F, Hidalgo M (2018). Extractability and crop transfer of potentially toxic elements from Mediterranean agricultural soils following long-term sewage sludge applications as a fertilizer replacement to barley and maize crops. Waste Management (New York, N.Y.), 75: 312–318
- Jalali M, Khanlari Z V (2008). Environmental contamination of Zn, Cd, Ni, Cu, and Pb from industrial areas in Hamadan Province, western Iran. Environmental Geology, 55(7): 1537–1543
- Jalali M, Majeri M (2016). Cobalt sorption–desorption behavior of calcareous soils from some Iranian soils. Chemie der Erde, 76(1): 95–102
- Jalali M, Majeri M, Najafi S (2019). Kinetic release and fractionation of cobalt in some calcareous soils. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 204: 131–141
- Jorge Mendoza C, Tatiana Garrido R, Cristian Quilodrán R, Matías Segovia C, José Parada A (2017). Evaluation of the bioaccessible gastric and intestinal fractions of heavy metals in contaminated soils by means of a simple bioaccessibility extraction test. Chemosphere, 176: 81–88
- Kabata-Pendias A (2011). Trace Element in Soils and Plants. 4th ed. Boca Raton: Taylor and Francis Group
- Kelepertzis E, Paraskevopoulou V, Argyraki A, Fligos G, Chalkiadaki O (2015). Evaluation of single extraction procedures for the assessment of heavy metal extractability in citrus agricultural soil of a typical Mediterranean environment (Argolida, Greece). Journal of Soils and Sediments, 15(11): 2265–2275
- Kim E J, Jeon E K, Baek K (2016). Role of reducing agent in extraction of arsenic and heavy metals from soils by use of EDTA. Chemosphere, 152: 274–283
- Krishnamurti G S R, Smith L H, Naidu R (2000). Method for assessing plant-available cadmium in soils. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 38(4): 823–836
- Li L, Wu H, van Gestel C A, Peijnenburg W J G M, Allen H E (2014). Soil acidification increases metal extractability and bioavailability in old orchard soils of Northeast Jiaodong Peninsula in China. Environmental Pollution, 188: 144–152
- Lindsay W L, Norvell W A (1978). Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 42(3): 421–428
- Meers E, Samson R, Tack F M G, Ruttens A, Vandegehuchte M, Vangronsveld J, Verloo M G (2007). Phytoavailability assessment of heavy metals in soils by single extractions and accumulation by Phaseolus vulgaris. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 60(3): 385–396
- Micó C, Peris M, Recatalá L, Sánchez J (2007). Baseline values for heavy metals in agricultural soils in an European Mediterranean region. Science of the Total Environment, 378(1-2): 13–17
- Mühlbachová G, Simon T, Pechová M (2005). The availability of Cd, Pb and Zn and their relationships with soil pH and microbial biomass in

soils amended by natural clinoptilolite. Plant, Soil and Environment, 51(1): 26–33

- Novozamsky I, Lexmond T H M, Houba V J G A (1993). Single extraction procedure of soil for evaluation of uptake of some heavy metals by plants. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 51(1-4): 47–58
- O'Connor G A (1988). Use and misuse of the DTPA soil test. Journal of Environmental Quality, 17(4): 715–718
- Pardo T, Clemente R, Bernal M P (2011). Effects of compost, pig slurry and lime on trace element solubility and toxicity in two soils differently affected by mining activities. Chemosphere, 84(5): 642– 650
- Peijnenburg W J G M, Zablotskaja M, Vijver M G (2007). Monitoring metals in terrestrial environments within a bioavailability framework and a focus on soil extraction. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 67(2): 163–179
- Peters R W (1999). Chelant extraction of heavy metals from contaminated soils. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 66(1-2): 151–210
- Pinto E, Almeida A A, Ferreira I M P L V O (2015). Assessment of metal (loid)s phytoavailability in intensive agricultural soils by the application of single extractions to rhizosphere soil. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 113: 418–424
- Pueyo M, Lopez-Sanchez J F, Rauret G (2004). Assessment of CaCl₂, NaNO₃ and NH₄NO₃ extraction procedures for the study of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn extractability in contaminated soils. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 504: 217–226
- Rajkumar M, Sandhya S, Prasad M N, Freitas H (2012). Perspectives of plant-associated microbes in heavy metal phytoremediation. Biotechnology Advances, 30(6): 1562–1574
- Ramos-Miras J J, Roca-Perez L, Guzmán-Palomino M, Boluda R, Gil C (2011). Background levels and baseline values of available heavy metals in Mediterranean greenhouse soils (Spain). Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 110(2): 186–192
- Rao C R M, Sahuquillo A, López-Sánchez J F (2008). A review of different methods applied in environmental geochemistry for single and sequential extraction of trace elements in soil and related materials. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 189(1-4): 291–333
- Rowell D L (1994). Soil Science: Methods and Applications. Essex: Longman Scientific and Technical
- Sahuquillo A, Rigol A, Rauret G (2003). Overview of the use of leaching/extraction tests for risk assessment of trace metals in contaminated soils and sediments. Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 22(3): 152–159
- Sánchez-Camazano M, Sánchez-Martin M J, Lorenzo L F (1998). Significance of soil properties for content and distribution of cadmium and lead in natural calcareous soils. Science of the Total Environment, 218(2-3): 217–226

Soriano-Disla J M, Speir T W, Gómez I, Clucas L M, McLaren R G,

Navarro-Pedreño J (2010). Evaluation of different extraction methods for the assessment of heavy metal bioavailability in various soils. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 213(1-4): 471–483

- Sparks D L (1995). Environmental Soil Chemistry. San Diego: Academic Press, Inc.
- Sposito G, Lund L J, Chang A C (1982). Trace metal chemistry in aridzone field soils amended with sewage sludge: I. Fractionation of Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, and Pb in solid phases. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 46(2): 260–264
- Tang R, Ding C, Dang F, Ma Y, Wang J, Zhang T, Wang X (2018). NMR-based metabolic toxicity of low-level Hg exposure to earthworms. Environmental Pollution, 239: 428–437
- Tang R, Li X, Mo Y, Ma Y, Ding C, Wang J, Zhang T, Wang X (2019). Toxic responses of metabolites, organelles and gut microorganisms of Eisenia fetida in a soil with chromium contamination. Environmental Pollution, 251: 910–920
- van der Ent A, Nkrumah P N, Tibbett M, Echevarria G (2019). Evaluating soil extraction methods for chemical characterization of ultramafic soils in Kinabalu Park (Malaysia). Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 196: 235–246
- Vázquez S, Moreno E, Carpena R O (2008). Bioavailability of metals and As from acidified multicontaminated soils: Use of white lupin to validate several extraction methods. Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 30(2): 193–198
- Venegas A, Rigol A, Vidal M (2016). Changes in heavy metal extractability from contaminated soils bremediated with organic waste or biochar. Geoderma, 279: 132–140
- Vidal J, Perez C, Martínez-Sánchez M J, Navarro M C (2004). Origin and behaviour of heavy metals in agricultural Calcaric Fluvisols in semiarid conditions. Geoderma, 121(3-4): 257–270
- Waterlot C, Pruvot C, Bidar G, Fritsch C, De Vaufleury A, Scheifler R, Douay F (2016). Prediction of extractable Cd, Pb and Zn in contaminated woody habitat soils using a change point detection method. Pedosphere, 26(3): 282–298
- Zbíral J (2016). Determination of plant-available micronutrients by the Mehlich 3 soil extractant: A proposal of critical values. Plant, Soil and Environment, 62: 527–531
- Zeng F, Ali S, Zhang H, Ouyang Y, Qiu B, Wu F, Zhang G (2011). The influence of pH and organic matter content in paddy soil on heavy metal availability and their uptake by rice plants. Environmental Pollution, 159(1): 84–91
- Zhang M, Liu Z, Wang H (2010). Use of single extraction methods to predict bioavailability of heavy metals in polluted soils to rice. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 41(7): 820–831
- Zhang T, Wei H, Yang X H, Xia B, Liu J M, Su C Y, Qiu R L (2014). Influence of the selective EDTA derivative phenyldiaminetetraacetic acid on the speciation and extraction of heavy metals from a contaminated soil. Chemosphere, 109: 1–6